# MAHLER MEASURES, SHORT WALKS AND LOG-SINE INTEGRALS A CASE STUDY IN HYBRID COMPUTATION

### Jonathan M. Borwein FRSC FAA FAAAS

Laureate Professor & Director of CARMA, Univ. of Newcastle THIS TALK: http://carma.newcastle.edu.au/jon/alfcon.pdf

### March 16 AlfCon, Newcastle, March 12–16, 2012

Revised: March 14, 2012

COMPANION PAPER AND SOFTWARE (*Th. Comp Sci*) : http://carma.newcastle.edu.au/jon/wmi-paper.pdf







J.M. Borwein

## Dedication from JB&AS in J. AustMS



### Remark

We remark that it is fitting given the dedication of this article and volume that Alf van der Poorten [1942–2010] wrote the foreword to Lewin's "bible". In fact, he enthusiastically mentions the [log-sine] evaluation



and its relation with inverse central binomial sums.

MA

## Dedication from JB&AS in J. AustMS



### Remark

We remark that it is fitting given the dedication of this article and volume that Alf van der Poorten [1942–2010] wrote the foreword to Lewin's "bible". In fact, he enthusiastically mentions the [log-sine] evaluation

$$-\operatorname{Ls}_{4}^{(1)}\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right) = \frac{17}{6480}\pi^{4}$$

and its relation with inverse central binomial sums.

MA

### Contents. We will cover some of the following:

#### 3. Introduction

- 6. Multiple Polylogarithms
- 7. Log-sine Integrals
- 8. Random Walks
- 13. Mahler Measures
- 14. Carlson's Theorem

#### 2 15. Short Random Walks

- 16. Combinatorics
- 22. Meijer-G functions
- 27. Hypergeometric values of  $W_3$ ,  $W_4$
- 30. Probability and Bessel J
- 38. Derivative values of  $W_3, W_4$

#### **3** 39. Multiple Mahler Measures

- 40. Relations to  $\eta$
- 41. Smyth's results revisited
- 43. Boyd's Conjectures

#### 45. Log-sine Integrals

- 45. Sasaki's Mahler Measures
- 52. Three Cognate Evaluations
- 54. KLO's Mahler Measures
- 58. Conclusion





Multiple Polylogarithms
 Log-sine Integrals
 Random Walks
 Mahler Measures
 Carlson's Theorem

## Abstract

- The Mahler measure of a polynomial of several variables has been a subject of much study over the past thirty years.
  - Very few closed forms are proven but more are conjectured.
- We provide systematic evaluations of various higher and multiple Mahler measures using moments of random walks and values of log-sine integrals.
- We also explore related generating functions for the log-sine integrals and their generalizations.
  - This work would be impossible without very extensive symbolic and numeric computations. It also makes frequent use of the new NIST Handbook of Mathematical Functions.

I intend to show off the interplay between numeric and symbolic computing while exploring the three mathematical topics in my title.

Multiple Polylogarithms
 Log-sine Integrals
 Random Walks
 Mahler Measures
 Carlson's Theorem

## Abstract

- The Mahler measure of a polynomial of several variables has been a subject of much study over the past thirty years.
  - Very few closed forms are proven but more are conjectured.
- We provide systematic evaluations of various higher and multiple Mahler measures using moments of random walks and values of log-sine integrals.
- We also explore related generating functions for the log-sine integrals and their generalizations.
  - This work would be impossible without very extensive symbolic and numeric computations. It also makes frequent use of the new NIST Handbook of Mathematical Functions.

I intend to show off the interplay between numeric and symbolic computing while exploring the three mathematical topics in my title.

7. Multiple Polylogarithms
 8. Log-sine Integrals
 9. Random Walks
 14. Mahler Measures
 15. Carlson's Theorem

## Abstract

- The Mahler measure of a polynomial of several variables has been a subject of much study over the past thirty years.
  - Very few closed forms are proven but more are conjectured.
- We provide systematic evaluations of various higher and multiple Mahler measures using moments of random walks and values of log-sine integrals.
- We also explore related generating functions for the log-sine integrals and their generalizations.
  - This work would be impossible without very extensive symbolic and numeric computations. It also makes frequent use of the new NIST Handbook of Mathematical Functions.

I intend to show off the interplay between numeric and symbolic computing while exploring the three mathematical topics in my title.

7. Multiple Polylogarithms 8. Log-sine Integrals 9. Random Walks 14. Mahler Measures 15. Carlson's Theorem

## Other References

• Joint with: Armin Straub (Tulane) and James Wan (UofN)

- and variously with: David Bailey (LBNL), David Borwein (UWO), Dirk Nuyens (Leuven), Wadim Zudilin (UofN).

Most results are written up in FPSAC 2010, ISSAC 2011 (JB-AS: best student paper), RAMA, Exp. Math, J. AustMS, Can. Math J., Theoretical CS. See:

- www.carma.newcastle.edu.au/~jb616/walks.pdf
- www.carma.newcastle.edu.au/~jb616/walks2.pdf
- www.carma.newcastle.edu.au/~jb616/densities.pdf
- www.carma.newcastle.edu.au/~jb616/logsin.pdf
- www.carma.newcastle.edu.au/~jb616/logsin2.pdf.
- http://carma.newcastle.edu.au/jon/logsin3.pdf

This and related talks are housed at www.carma.newcastle. edu.au/~jb616/papers.html#TALKS

7. Multiple Polylogarithms 8. Log-sine Integrals 9. Random Walks 14. Mahler Measures 15. Carlson's Theorem

## Other References

**1** Joint with: Armin Straub (Tulane) and James Wan (UofN)

- and variously with: David Bailey (LBNL), David Borwein (UWO), Dirk Nuyens (Leuven), Wadim Zudilin (UofN).
- Most results are written up in FPSAC 2010, ISSAC 2011 (JB-AS: best student paper), RAMA, Exp. Math, J. AustMS, Can. Math J., Theoretical CS. See:
  - www.carma.newcastle.edu.au/~jb616/walks.pdf
  - www.carma.newcastle.edu.au/~jb616/walks2.pdf
  - www.carma.newcastle.edu.au/~jb616/densities.pdf
  - www.carma.newcastle.edu.au/~jb616/logsin.pdf
  - www.carma.newcastle.edu.au/~jb616/logsin2.pdf.
  - http://carma.newcastle.edu.au/jon/logsin3.pdf

This and related talks are housed at www.carma.newcastle. edu.au/~jb616/papers.html#TALKS

Multiple Polylogarithms
 Log-sine Integrals
 Random Walks
 Mahler Measures
 Carlson's Theorem

## Other References

**1** Joint with: Armin Straub (Tulane) and James Wan (UofN)

- and variously with: David Bailey (LBNL), David Borwein (UWO), Dirk Nuyens (Leuven), Wadim Zudilin (UofN).
- Most results are written up in FPSAC 2010, ISSAC 2011 (JB-AS: best student paper), RAMA, Exp. Math, J. AustMS, Can. Math J., Theoretical CS. See:
  - www.carma.newcastle.edu.au/~jb616/walks.pdf
  - www.carma.newcastle.edu.au/~jb616/walks2.pdf
  - www.carma.newcastle.edu.au/~jb616/densities.pdf
  - www.carma.newcastle.edu.au/~jb616/logsin.pdf
  - www.carma.newcastle.edu.au/~jb616/logsin2.pdf.
  - http://carma.newcastle.edu.au/jon/logsin3.pdf

This and related talks are housed at www.carma.newcastle. edu.au/~jb616/papers.html#TALKS

#### 3. Introduction

15. Short Random Walks 39. Multiple Mahler Measures 45. Log-sine Integrals

- 7. Multiple Polylogarithms
- 8. Log-sine Integral
- 9. Random Walks
- 14. Mahler Measures
- 15. Carlson's Theorem

### My Collaborators





45. Log-sine Integrals

39. Multiple Mahler Measures

#### 7. Multiple Polylogarithms

- 8. Log-sine Integrals
- 9. Random Walks
- 14. Mahler Measures
- 15. Carlson's Theorem

# Multiple Polylogarithms:

$$\mathrm{Li}_{a_1,...,a_k}(z) := \sum_{n_1 > \dots > n_k > 0} \frac{z^{n_1}}{n_1^{a_1} \cdots n_k^{a_k}}.$$

Thus,  $\operatorname{Li}_{2,1}(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{k^2} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{1}{j}$ . Specializing produces:

- The polylogarithm of order k:  $\operatorname{Li}_k(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n^k}$ .
- Multiple zeta values:

$$\zeta(a_1,\ldots,a_k):=\mathrm{Li}_{a_1,\ldots,a_k}(1).$$

• Multiple Clausen (Cl) and Glaisher functions (Gl) of depth k and weight  $w := \sum a_j$ :

$$\begin{aligned} &\operatorname{Cl}_{a_1,\ldots,a_k}\left(\theta\right) &:= \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \operatorname{Im} \operatorname{Li}_{a_1,\ldots,a_k}(e^{i\theta}) & \text{if } w \text{ even} \\ \operatorname{Re} \operatorname{Li}_{a_1,\ldots,a_k}(e^{i\theta}) & \text{if } w \text{ odd} \end{array} \right\} \\ &\operatorname{Gl}_{a_1,\ldots,a_k}\left(\theta\right) &:= \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \operatorname{Re} \operatorname{Li}_{a_1,\ldots,a_k}(e^{i\theta}) & \text{if } w \text{ even} \\ \operatorname{Im} \operatorname{Li}_{a_1,\ldots,a_k}(e^{i\theta}) & \text{if } w \text{ odd} \end{array} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

45. Log-sine Integrals

39. Multiple Mahler Measures

#### 7. Multiple Polylogarithms

- 8. Log-sine Integrals
- 9. Random Walks
- 14. Mahler Measures
- 15. Carlson's Theorem

# Multiple Polylogarithms:

$$\mathrm{Li}_{a_1,\dots,a_k}(z) := \sum_{n_1 > \dots > n_k > 0} \frac{z^{n_1}}{n_1^{a_1} \cdots n_k^{a_k}}.$$

Thus,  $\operatorname{Li}_{2,1}(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{k^2} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{1}{j}$ . Specializing produces:

- The polylogarithm of order k:  $\operatorname{Li}_k(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n^k}$ .
- Multiple zeta values:

$$\zeta(a_1,\ldots,a_k):=\mathrm{Li}_{a_1,\ldots,a_k}(1).$$

• Multiple Clausen (Cl) and Glaisher functions (Gl) of depth k and weight  $w := \sum a_j$ :

$$\begin{aligned} &\operatorname{Cl}_{a_1,\ldots,a_k}\left(\theta\right) &:= \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \operatorname{Im} \operatorname{Li}_{a_1,\ldots,a_k}(e^{i\theta}) & \text{if } w \text{ even} \\ \operatorname{Re} \operatorname{Li}_{a_1,\ldots,a_k}(e^{i\theta}) & \text{if } w \text{ odd} \end{array} \right\} \\ &\operatorname{Gl}_{a_1,\ldots,a_k}\left(\theta\right) &:= \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \operatorname{Re} \operatorname{Li}_{a_1,\ldots,a_k}(e^{i\theta}) & \text{if } w \text{ even} \\ \operatorname{Im} \operatorname{Li}_{a_1,\ldots,a_k}(e^{i\theta}) & \text{if } w \text{ odd} \end{array} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

45. Log-sine Integrals

39. Multiple Mahler Measures

#### 7. Multiple Polylogarithms

- 8. Log-sine Integrals
- 9. Random Walks
- 14. Mahler Measures
- 15. Carlson's Theorem

# Multiple Polylogarithms:

$$\mathrm{Li}_{a_1,\dots,a_k}(z) := \sum_{n_1 > \dots > n_k > 0} \frac{z^{n_1}}{n_1^{a_1} \cdots n_k^{a_k}}.$$

Thus,  $\operatorname{Li}_{2,1}(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{k^2} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{1}{j}$ . Specializing produces:

- The polylogarithm of order k:  $\operatorname{Li}_k(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n^k}$ .
- Multiple zeta values:

$$\zeta(a_1,\ldots,a_k):=\mathrm{Li}_{a_1,\ldots,a_k}(1).$$

• Multiple Clausen (Cl) and Glaisher functions (Gl) of depth k and weight  $w := \sum a_j$ :

$$\begin{aligned} &\operatorname{Cl}_{a_1,\ldots,a_k}\left(\theta\right) &:= \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \operatorname{Im} \operatorname{Li}_{a_1,\ldots,a_k}(e^{i\theta}) & \text{if } w \text{ even} \\ \operatorname{Re} \operatorname{Li}_{a_1,\ldots,a_k}(e^{i\theta}) & \text{if } w \text{ odd} \end{array} \right\} \\ &\operatorname{Gl}_{a_1,\ldots,a_k}\left(\theta\right) &:= \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \operatorname{Re} \operatorname{Li}_{a_1,\ldots,a_k}(e^{i\theta}) & \text{if } w \text{ even} \\ \operatorname{Im} \operatorname{Li}_{a_1,\ldots,a_k}(e^{i\theta}) & \text{if } w \text{ odd} \end{array} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

45. Log-sine Integrals

39. Multiple Mahler Measures

#### 7. Multiple Polylogarithms

- 8. Log-sine Integrals
- 9. Random Walks
- 14. Mahler Measures
- 15. Carlson's Theorem

# Multiple Polylogarithms:

$$\mathrm{Li}_{a_1,\dots,a_k}(z) := \sum_{n_1 > \dots > n_k > 0} \frac{z^{n_1}}{n_1^{a_1} \cdots n_k^{a_k}}.$$

Thus,  $\operatorname{Li}_{2,1}(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{k^2} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{1}{j}$ . Specializing produces:

- The polylogarithm of order k:  $\operatorname{Li}_k(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n^k}$ .
- Multiple zeta values:

$$\zeta(a_1,\ldots,a_k):=\mathrm{Li}_{a_1,\ldots,a_k}(1).$$

• Multiple Clausen (Cl) and Glaisher functions (Gl) of depth k and weight  $w := \sum a_j$ :

$$\begin{array}{ll} \operatorname{Cl}_{a_1,\ldots,a_k}\left(\theta\right) &:= \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \operatorname{Im} \operatorname{Li}_{a_1,\ldots,a_k}(e^{i\theta}) & \text{if } w \text{ even } \\ \operatorname{Re} \operatorname{Li}_{a_1,\ldots,a_k}(e^{i\theta}) & \text{if } w \text{ odd } \end{array} \right\}, \\ \operatorname{Gl}_{a_1,\ldots,a_k}\left(\theta\right) &:= \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \operatorname{Re} \operatorname{Li}_{a_1,\ldots,a_k}(e^{i\theta}) & \text{if } w \text{ even } \\ \operatorname{Im} \operatorname{Li}_{a_1,\ldots,a_k}(e^{i\theta}) & \text{if } w \text{ odd } \end{array} \right\}. \end{array}$$

#### 8. Log-sine Integrals 9. Random Walks 14. Mahler Measures 15. Carlson's Theorem

# Log-sine Integrals

The log-sine integrals are defined for n = 1, 2, ... by

$$\operatorname{Ls}_{n}(\sigma) := -\int_{0}^{\sigma} \log^{n-1} \left| 2 \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \right| \, \mathrm{d}\theta \tag{1}$$

and their moments for k > 0 given by

$$\operatorname{Ls}_{n}^{(k)}(\sigma) := -\int_{0}^{\sigma} \theta^{k} \log^{n-1-k} \left| 2 \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \right| \, \mathrm{d}\theta.$$
(2)

• Ls<sub>1</sub> ( $\sigma$ ) =  $-\sigma$  and Ls<sub>n</sub><sup>(0)</sup> ( $\sigma$ ) = Ls<sub>n</sub> ( $\sigma$ ), as in Lewin. In

$$\mathrm{Ls}_{2}(\sigma) = \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\sigma) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin(n\sigma)}{n^{2}}$$



3. Introduction 15. Short Random Walks 39. Multiple Mahler Measures 45. Log-sine Integrals 15. Gashier Measures 45. Log-sine Integrals 15. Carter Manuel Measures 15. Carter Manuel Measures 15. Carter Measur

## Log-sine Integrals

The log-sine integrals are defined for  $n = 1, 2, \ldots$  by

$$\operatorname{Ls}_{n}(\sigma) := -\int_{0}^{\sigma} \log^{n-1} \left| 2 \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \right| \, \mathrm{d}\theta \tag{1}$$

and their moments for  $k\geq 0$  given by

$$\operatorname{Ls}_{n}^{(k)}(\sigma) := -\int_{0}^{\sigma} \theta^{k} \log^{n-1-k} \left| 2 \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \right| \, \mathrm{d}\theta.$$
 (2)

•  $Ls_1(\sigma) = -\sigma$  and  $Ls_n^{(0)}(\sigma) = Ls_n(\sigma)$ , as in Lewin. In particular,

$$Ls_{2}(\sigma) = Cl_{2}(\sigma) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin(n\sigma)}{n^{2}}$$
(3)

CARMA

is the Clausen function which plays a prominent role.

Multiple Polylogarithms
 Log-sine Integrals
 Random Walks
 Mahler Measures

15. Carlson's Theorem

## Moments of Uniform Random Walks

### Definition (Moments)

For complex s the n-th moment function is

$$W_n(s) := \int_{[0,1]^n} \left| \sum_{k=1}^n e^{2\pi x_k i} \right|^s \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x}$$

Thus,  $W_n := W_n(1)$  is the expectation.

• The integral for  $W_n$  is analytic precisely for Re s > -2.

**1905**. Originated with Pearson, and Raleigh:

"What is probability at time n that the rambler is within one unit of home?"



Multiple Polylogarithms
 Log-sine Integrals
 Random Walks
 Mahler Measures

15. Carlson's Theorem

## Moments of Uniform Random Walks

### Definition (Moments)

For complex s the n-th moment function is

$$W_n(s) := \int_{[0,1]^n} \left| \sum_{k=1}^n e^{2\pi x_k i} \right|^s \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x}$$

Thus,  $W_n := W_n(1)$  is the expectation.

• The integral for  $W_n$  is analytic precisely for Re s > -2.

**1905**. Originated with Pearson, and Raleigh:

"What is probability at time n that the rambler is within one unit of home?"



Multiple Polylogarithms
 Log-sine Integrals
 Random Walks
 Mahler Measures

15. Carlson's Theorem

## Moments of Uniform Random Walks

### Definition (Moments)

For complex s the n-th moment function is

$$W_n(s) := \int_{[0,1]^n} \left| \sum_{k=1}^n e^{2\pi x_k i} \right|^s \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x}$$

Thus,  $W_n := W_n(1)$  is the expectation.

- The integral for  $W_n$  is analytic precisely for Re s > -2.
- 1905. Originated with Pearson, and Raleigh:

"What is probability at time n that the rambler is within one unit of home?"

CARM

- Multiple Polylogarithms
   Log-sine Integrals
   Random Walks
   Mahler Measures
- 15. Carlson's Theorem

## Moments of Uniform Random Walks

### Definition (Moments)

For complex s the n-th moment function is

$$W_n(s) := \int_{[0,1]^n} \left| \sum_{k=1}^n e^{2\pi x_k i} \right|^s \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x}$$

Thus,  $W_n := W_n(1)$  is the *expectation*.

- The integral for  $W_n$  is analytic precisely for Re s > -2.
- 1905. Originated with Pearson, and Raleigh:

"What is probability at time n that the rambler is within one unit of home?"

CARM

Multiple Polylogarithms
 Log-sine Integrals
 Random Walks
 Mahler Measures
 Carlson's Theorem

Clearly  $W_1 = 1$ . What about  $W_2(1)$ ?

$$W_2 = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \left| e^{2\pi i x} + e^{2\pi i y} \right| \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}y = ?$$

- Mathematica 7 and Maple 14 think the answer is 0.

• There is always a 1-dimension reduction

$$V_n(s) = \int_{[0,1]^n} \left| \sum_{k=1}^n e^{2\pi x_k i} \right|^s d\mathbf{x}$$
  
=  $\int_{[0,1]^{n-1}} \left| 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} e^{2\pi x_k i} \right|^s d(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$ 

So

$$W_2 = 4 \int_0^{1/4} \cos(\pi x) \,\mathrm{d}x = \frac{4}{\pi}$$



J.M. Borwein

Multiple Polylogarithms
 Log-sine Integrals
 Random Walks
 Mahler Measures
 Carlson's Theorem

Clearly  $W_1 = 1$ . What about  $W_2(1)$ ?

$$W_2 = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \left| e^{2\pi i x} + e^{2\pi i y} \right| \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}y = ?$$

- Mathematica 7 and Maple 14 think the answer is 0.
- There is always a 1-dimension reduction

$$V_n(s) = \int_{[0,1]^n} \left| \sum_{k=1}^n e^{2\pi x_k i} \right|^s \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x}$$
  
=  $\int_{[0,1]^{n-1}} \left| 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} e^{2\pi x_k i} \right|^s \mathrm{d}(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$ 

$$W_2 = 4 \int_0^{1/4} \cos(\pi x) \,\mathrm{d}x = \frac{4}{\pi}$$



J.M. Borwein

Multiple Polylogarithms
 Log-sine Integrals
 Random Walks
 Mahler Measures
 Carlson's Theorem

Clearly  $W_1 = 1$ . What about  $W_2(1)$ ?

$$W_2 = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \left| e^{2\pi i x} + e^{2\pi i y} \right| \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}y = ?$$

- Mathematica 7 and Maple 14 think the answer is 0.
- There is always a 1-dimension reduction

$$W_n(s) = \int_{[0,1]^n} \left| \sum_{k=1}^n e^{2\pi x_k i} \right|^s d\mathbf{x}$$
  
=  $\int_{[0,1]^{n-1}} \left| 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} e^{2\pi x_k i} \right|^s d(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$ 

So

$$W_2 = 4 \int_0^{1/4} \cos(\pi x) \,\mathrm{d}x = \frac{4}{\pi}.$$



J.M. Borwein

Multiple Polylogarithms
 Log-sine Integrals
 Random Walks
 Mahler Measures
 Carlson's Theorem

Clearly  $W_1 = 1$ . What about  $W_2(1)$ ?

$$W_2 = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \left| e^{2\pi i x} + e^{2\pi i y} \right| \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}y = ?$$

- Mathematica 7 and Maple 14 think the answer is 0.

J.M. Borwein

• There is always a 1-dimension reduction

$$W_n(s) = \int_{[0,1]^n} \left| \sum_{k=1}^n e^{2\pi x_k i} \right|^s d\mathbf{x}$$
  
=  $\int_{[0,1]^{n-1}} \left| 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} e^{2\pi x_k i} \right|^s d(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$ 

So

$$W_2 = 4 \int_0^{1/4} \cos(\pi x) \, \mathrm{d}x = \frac{4}{\pi}$$

Mahler Measures

7. Multiple Polylogarithms 8. Log-sine Integrals 9. Random Walks 14. Mahler Measures 15. Carlson's Theorem

 $n \geq 3$  highly nontrivial and  $n \geq 5$  not well understood.

- Similar problems get *much* more difficult in five or more dimensions e.g., Bessel moments, Box integrals, Ising integrals (work with Bailey, Broadhurst, Crandall, ...).
  - In fact,  $W_5 \approx 2.0081618$  was the best estimate we could compute *directly*, on **256** cores at Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory.
  - Bailey and I have a general project to develop symbolic numeric techniques for (meaningful) multi-dim integrals.



Multiple Polylogarithms
 Log-sine Integrals
 Random Walks
 Mahler Measures
 Carlson's Theorem

 $n \geq 3$  highly nontrivial and  $n \geq 5$  not well understood.

- Similar problems get *much* more difficult in five or more dimensions e.g., Bessel moments, Box integrals, Ising integrals (work with Bailey, Broadhurst, Crandall, ...).
  - In fact,  $W_5 \approx 2.0081618$  was the best estimate we could compute *directly*, on **256** cores at Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory.
  - Bailey and I have a general project to develop symbolic numeric techniques for (meaningful) multi-dim integrals.



Multiple Polylogarithms
 Log-sine Integrals
 Random Walks
 Mahler Measures
 Carlson's Theorem

 $n \geq 3$  highly nontrivial and  $n \geq 5$  not well understood.

- Similar problems get *much* more difficult in five or more dimensions e.g., Bessel moments, Box integrals, Ising integrals (work with Bailey, Broadhurst, Crandall, ...).
  - In fact,  $W_5 \approx 2.0081618$  was the best estimate we could compute *directly*, on **256** cores at Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory.
  - Bailey and I have a general project to develop symbolic numeric techniques for (meaningful) multi-dim integrals.



Multiple Polylogarithms
 Log-sine Integrals
 Random Walks
 Mahler Measures
 Carlson's Theorem

 $n \geq 3$  highly nontrivial and  $n \geq 5$  not well understood.

- Similar problems get *much* more difficult in five or more dimensions e.g., Bessel moments, Box integrals, Ising integrals (work with Bailey, Broadhurst, Crandall, ...).
  - In fact,  $W_5 \approx 2.0081618$  was the best estimate we could compute *directly*, on **256** cores at Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory.
  - Bailey and I have a general project to develop symbolic numeric techniques for (meaningful) multi-dim integrals.



Multiple Polylogarithms
 Log-sine Integrals
 Random Walks
 Mahler Measures
 Carlson's Theorem

One 1500-step Ramble: a familiar picture



2D and 3D lattice walks are different:

A drunk man will find his way home but a drunk bird may get lost forever. — Shizuo Kakutani

• 1D (and 3D) easy. Expectation of RMS distance is easy ( $\sqrt{n}$ ).

• 1D or 2D *lattice*: probability one of returning to the origin.

Multiple Polylogarithms
 Log-sine Integrals
 Random Walks
 Mahler Measures
 Carlson's Theorem

One 1500-step Ramble: a familiar picture



2D and 3D lattice walks are different:

A drunk man will find his way home but a drunk bird may get lost forever. — Shizuo Kakutani

• 1D (and 3D) easy. Expectation of RMS distance is easy  $(\sqrt{n})$ .

• 1D or 2D *lattice*: probability one of returning to the origin.

Multiple Polylogarithms
 Log-sine Integrals
 Random Walks
 Mahler Measures
 Carlson's Theorem

One 1500-step Ramble: a familiar picture



2D and 3D lattice walks are different:

A drunk man will find his way home but a drunk bird may get lost forever. — Shizuo Kakutani

• 1D (and 3D) easy. Expectation of RMS distance is easy  $(\sqrt{n})$ .

• 1D or 2D *lattice*: probability one of returning to the origin.

- Multiple Polylogarithms
   Log-sine Integrals
   Random Walks
   Mahler Measures
- 15. Carlson's Theorem

1000 three-step Rambles: a less familiar picture?



Multiple Polylogarithms
 Log-sine Integrals
 Random Walks
 Mahler Measures
 Carlson's Theorem

# Mahler Measures (1923) in several variables

The logarithmic *Mahler measure* of a (Laurent) polynomial *P*:

$$\mu(P) := \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1 \log |P\left(e^{2\pi i\theta_1}, \cdots, e^{2\pi i\theta_n}\right)| \, d\theta_1 \cdots d\theta_n.$$

•  $M_1 := P \mapsto \exp(\mu(P))$  is multiplicative.

- n = 1: P is a product of cyclotomics  $\Leftrightarrow M_1(P) = 1$ . Lehmer's conjecture (1931) is: otherwise  $M_1(P) \ge M_1(1 - x + x^3 - x^4 + x^5 - x^6 + x^7 - x^9 + x^{10})$
- µ(P) turns out to be an example of a period.
- When n = 1 and P has integer coefficients  $M_1(P)$  is an algebraic integer.
- In several dimensions life is harder.
  - We shall see remarkable recent results many more discovered than proven expressing  $\mu(P)$  arithmetical

Multiple Polylogarithms
 Log-sine Integrals
 Random Walks
 Mahler Measures
 Carlson's Theorem

Mahler Measures (1923) in several variables

The logarithmic *Mahler measure* of a (Laurent) polynomial *P*:

$$\mu(P) := \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1 \log |P\left(e^{2\pi i\theta_1}, \cdots, e^{2\pi i\theta_n}\right)| \, d\theta_1 \cdots d\theta_n.$$

•  $M_1 := P \mapsto \exp(\mu(P))$  is multiplicative.

- n = 1: P is a product of cyclotomics  $\Leftrightarrow M_1(P) = 1$ . Lehmer's conjecture (1931) is: otherwise  $M_1(P) \ge M_1(1 - x + x^3 - x^4 + x^5 - x^6 + x^7 - x^9 + x^{10})$
- $\mu(P)$  turns out to be an example of a period.
- When n = 1 and P has integer coefficients  $M_1(P)$  is an algebraic integer.
- In several dimensions life is harder.
  - We shall see remarkable recent results many more discovered than proven expressing  $\mu(P)$  arithmetical

Multiple Polylogarithms
 Log-sine Integrals
 Random Walks
 Mahler Measures
 Log-son's Theorem

# Mahler Measures (1923) in several variables

The logarithmic *Mahler measure* of a (Laurent) polynomial *P*:

$$\mu(P) := \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1 \log |P\left(e^{2\pi i\theta_1}, \cdots, e^{2\pi i\theta_n}\right)| \, d\theta_1 \cdots d\theta_n.$$

•  $M_1 := P \mapsto \exp(\mu(P))$  is multiplicative.

- n = 1: P is a product of cyclotomics  $\Leftrightarrow M_1(P) = 1$ . Lehmer's conjecture (1931) is: otherwise  $M_1(P) \ge M_1(1 - x + x^3 - x^4 + x^5 - x^6 + x^7 - x^9 + x^{10}).$
- $\mu(P)$  turns out to be an example of a period.
- When n = 1 and P has integer coefficients  $M_1(P)$  is an algebraic integer.
- In several dimensions life is harder.
  - We shall see remarkable recent results many more discovered than proven expressing  $\mu(P)$  arithmetically.


Multiple Polylogarithms
 Log-sine Integrals
 Random Walks
 Mahler Measures
 Log-son's Theorem

# Mahler Measures (1923) in several variables

The logarithmic *Mahler measure* of a (Laurent) polynomial *P*:

$$\mu(P) := \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1 \log |P\left(e^{2\pi i\theta_1}, \cdots, e^{2\pi i\theta_n}\right)| \, d\theta_1 \cdots d\theta_n.$$

- $M_1 := P \mapsto \exp(\mu(P))$  is multiplicative.
- n = 1: P is a product of cyclotomics  $\Leftrightarrow M_1(P) = 1$ . Lehmer's conjecture (1931) is: otherwise  $M_1(P) \ge M_1(1 - x + x^3 - x^4 + x^5 - x^6 + x^7 - x^9 + x^{10}).$
- $\mu(P)$  turns out to be an example of a period.
- When n = 1 and P has integer coefficients  $M_1(P)$  is an algebraic integer.
- In several dimensions life is harder.
  - We shall see remarkable recent results many more discovered than proven expressing  $\mu(P)$  arithmetically.



Multiple Polylogarithms
 Log-sine Integrals
 Random Walks
 Mahler Measures
 Log-sins Theorem

Mahler Measures (1923) in several variables

The logarithmic *Mahler measure* of a (Laurent) polynomial *P*:

$$\mu(P) := \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1 \log |P\left(e^{2\pi i\theta_1}, \cdots, e^{2\pi i\theta_n}\right)| \, d\theta_1 \cdots d\theta_n.$$

- $M_1 := P \mapsto \exp(\mu(P))$  is multiplicative.
- n = 1: P is a product of cyclotomics  $\Leftrightarrow M_1(P) = 1$ . Lehmer's conjecture (1931) is: otherwise  $M_1(P) \ge M_1(1 - x + x^3 - x^4 + x^5 - x^6 + x^7 - x^9 + x^{10}).$
- $\mu(P)$  turns out to be an example of a period.
- When n = 1 and P has integer coefficients  $M_1(P)$  is an algebraic integer.
- In several dimensions life is harder.
  - We shall see remarkable recent results many more discovered than proven expressing  $\mu(P)$  arithmetically.



Multiple Polylogarithms
 Log-sine Integrals
 Random Walks
 Mahler Measures
 Larlson's Theorem

Mahler Measures (1923) in several variables

The logarithmic *Mahler measure* of a (Laurent) polynomial *P*:

$$\mu(P) := \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1 \log |P\left(e^{2\pi i\theta_1}, \cdots, e^{2\pi i\theta_n}\right)| \, d\theta_1 \cdots d\theta_n.$$

- $M_1 := P \mapsto \exp(\mu(P))$  is multiplicative.
- n = 1: P is a product of cyclotomics  $\Leftrightarrow M_1(P) = 1$ . Lehmer's conjecture (1931) is: otherwise  $M_1(P) \ge M_1(1 - x + x^3 - x^4 + x^5 - x^6 + x^7 - x^9 + x^{10}).$
- $\mu(P)$  turns out to be an example of a period.
- When n = 1 and P has integer coefficients  $M_1(P)$  is an algebraic integer.
- In several dimensions life is harder.
  - We shall see remarkable recent results many more discovered than proven expressing  $\mu(P)$  arithmetically.



- 7. Multiple Polylogarithms
  8. Log-sine Integrals
  9. Random Walks
  14. Mahler Measures
- 15. Carlson's Theorem

Carlson's Theorem: from discrete to continuous

### Theorem (Carlson (1914, PhD) )

If f(z) is analytic for  $\operatorname{Re}(z) \ge 0$ , its growth on the imaginary axis is bounded by  $e^{cy}$ ,  $|c| < \pi$ , and

$$0 = f(0) = f(1) = f(2) = \dots$$

then f(z) = 0 identically.

- $\sin(\pi z)$  does not satisfy the conditions of the theorem, as it grows like  $e^{\pi y}$  on the imaginary axis.
- $W_n(s)$  satisfies the conditions of the theorem (and is in fact analytic for  $\operatorname{Re}(s) > -2$  when n > 2).
  - There is a lovely 1941 proof by Selberg of the bounded case.

CARA

The theorem lies under much of what follows.

- Multiple Polylogarithms
  Log-sine Integrals
  Random Walks
  Mahler Measures
- 15. Carlson's Theorem

Carlson's Theorem: from discrete to continuous

### Theorem (Carlson (1914, PhD) )

If f(z) is analytic for  $\operatorname{Re}(z) \ge 0$ , its growth on the imaginary axis is bounded by  $e^{cy}$ ,  $|c| < \pi$ , and

$$0 = f(0) = f(1) = f(2) = \dots$$

then f(z) = 0 identically.

- $\sin(\pi z)$  does not satisfy the conditions of the theorem, as it grows like  $e^{\pi y}$  on the imaginary axis.
- $W_n(s)$  satisfies the conditions of the theorem (and is in fact analytic for  $\operatorname{Re}(s) > -2$  when n > 2).
  - There is a lovely 1941 proof by Selberg of the bounded case.

CARMA

• The theorem lies under much of what follows.

- Multiple Polylogarithms
  Log-sine Integrals
  Random Walks
  Mahler Measures
- 15. Carlson's Theorem

Carlson's Theorem: from discrete to continuous

### Theorem (Carlson (1914, PhD) )

If f(z) is analytic for  $\operatorname{Re}(z) \ge 0$ , its growth on the imaginary axis is bounded by  $e^{cy}, |c| < \pi$ , and

$$0 = f(0) = f(1) = f(2) = \dots$$

then f(z) = 0 identically.

- $\sin(\pi z)$  does not satisfy the conditions of the theorem, as it grows like  $e^{\pi y}$  on the imaginary axis.
- $W_n(s)$  satisfies the conditions of the theorem (and is in fact analytic for  $\operatorname{Re}(s) > -2$  when n > 2).
  - There is a lovely 1941 proof by Selberg of the bounded case.

CARMA

• The theorem lies under much of what follows.

- Multiple Polylogarithms
  Log-sine Integrals
  Random Walks
  Mahler Measures
- 15. Carlson's Theorem

Carlson's Theorem: from discrete to continuous

### Theorem (Carlson (1914, PhD) )

If f(z) is analytic for  $\operatorname{Re}(z) \ge 0$ , its growth on the imaginary axis is bounded by  $e^{cy}$ ,  $|c| < \pi$ , and

$$0 = f(0) = f(1) = f(2) = \dots$$

then f(z) = 0 identically.

- $\sin(\pi z)$  does not satisfy the conditions of the theorem, as it grows like  $e^{\pi y}$  on the imaginary axis.
- $W_n(s)$  satisfies the conditions of the theorem (and is in fact analytic for  $\operatorname{Re}(s) > -2$  when n > 2).
  - There is a lovely 1941 proof by Selberg of the bounded case.

CARMA

• The theorem lies under much of what follows.

- 17. Combinatorics
- 23. Meijer-G functions
- 28. Hypergeometric values of  $W_3, W_4$
- 31. Probability and Bessel J
- **39.** Derivative values of  $W_3, W_4$

### A Little History: from a vast literature





L: Pearson posed question (*Nature*, 1905).

R: Rayleigh gave large n asymptotics:  $p_n(x) \sim \frac{2x}{n} e^{-x^2/n}$  (*Nature*, 1905).

CARN

**John William Strutt** (Lord Rayleigh) **(1842-1919)**: discoverer of Argon, explained why sky is blue.

The problem "is the same as that of the composition of n isoperiodic vibrations of unit amplitude and phases distributed at random" he studied in 1880 (diffusion eq'n, Brownian motion, ...)

- UNSW: Donovan and Nuyens, WWII cryptography.
- Appear in quantum chemistry, in quantum physics as hexagonal and diamond lattice integers, etc ...

- 17. Combinatorics
- 23. Meijer-G functions
- 28. Hypergeometric values of  $W_3, W_4$
- 31. Probability and Bessel J
- **39.** Derivative values of  $W_3, W_4$

### A Little History: from a vast literature





L: Pearson posed question (*Nature*, 1905).

R: Rayleigh gave large n asymptotics:  $p_n(x) \sim \frac{2x}{n} e^{-x^2/n}$  (*Nature*, 1905).

CARM

# John William Strutt (Lord Rayleigh) (1842-1919): discoverer of Argon, explained why sky is blue.

The problem "is the same as that of the composition of n isoperiodic vibrations of unit amplitude and phases distributed at random" he studied in 1880 (diffusion eq'n, Brownian motion, ...)

- UNSW: Donovan and Nuyens, WWII cryptography.
- Appear in quantum chemistry, in quantum physics as hexagonal and diamond lattice integers, etc ...

- 17. Combinatorics
- 23. Meijer-G functions
- 28. Hypergeometric values of  $W_3, W_4$
- 31. Probability and Bessel J
- **39.** Derivative values of  $W_3, W_4$

### A Little History: from a vast literature





L: Pearson posed question (*Nature*, 1905).

R: Rayleigh gave large n asymptotics:  $p_n(x) \sim \frac{2x}{n} e^{-x^2/n}$  (*Nature*, 1905).

CARMA

John William Strutt (Lord Rayleigh) (1842-1919): discoverer of Argon, explained why sky is blue.

The problem "is the same as that of the composition of n isoperiodic vibrations of unit amplitude and phases distributed at random" he studied in 1880 (diffusion eq'n, Brownian motion, ...)

- UNSW: Donovan and Nuyens, WWII cryptography.
- Appear in quantum chemistry, in quantum physics as hexagonal and diamond lattice integers, etc ...

- 17. Combinatorics
- 23. Meijer-G functions
- 28. Hypergeometric values of  $W_3, W_4$
- 31. Probability and Bessel J
- **39.** Derivative values of  $W_3, W_4$

### A Little History: from a vast literature





L: Pearson posed question R: Rayleigh gave large n asymptotics: (Nature, 1905).  $p_n(x) \sim \frac{2x}{n} e^{-x^2/n}$  (Nature, 1905).

John William Strutt (Lord Rayleigh) (1842-1919): discoverer of Argon, explained why sky is blue.

The problem "is the same as that of the composition of n isoperiodic vibrations of unit amplitude and phases distributed at random" he studied in 1880 (diffusion eq'n, Brownian motion, ...)

- UNSW: Donovan and Nuyens, WWII cryptography.
- Appear in quantum chemistry, in quantum physics as hexagonal and diamond lattice integers, etc ...



- 17. Combinatorics
- 23. Meijer-G functions
- 28. Hypergeometric values of  $W_3, W_4$
- 31. Probability and Bessel J
- **39.** Derivative values of  $W_3, W_4$

### A Little History: from a vast literature





CARMA

L: Pearson posed question R: Rayleigh gave large n asymptotics: (Nature, 1905).  $p_n(x) \sim \frac{2x}{n} e^{-x^2/n}$  (Nature, 1905).

John William Strutt (Lord Rayleigh) (1842-1919): discoverer of Argon, explained why sky is blue.

The problem "is the same as that of the composition of n isoperiodic vibrations of unit amplitude and phases distributed at random" he studied in 1880 (diffusion eq'n, Brownian motion, ...)

Karl Pearson (1857-1936): founded statistics, eugenicist & socialist, changed name  $(C \mapsto K)$ , declined knighthood.

- UNSW: Donovan and Nuyens, WWII cryptography.



- 17. Combinatorics
- 23. Meijer-G functions
- 28. Hypergeometric values of  $W_3, W_4$
- 31. Probability and Bessel J
- **39.** Derivative values of  $W_3, W_4$

### A Little History: from a vast literature





L: Pearson posed question R: Rayleigh gave large n asymptotics: (Nature, 1905).  $p_n(x) \sim \frac{2x}{n} e^{-x^2/n}$  (Nature, 1905).

John William Strutt (Lord Rayleigh) (1842-1919): discoverer of Argon, explained why sky is blue.

The problem "is the same as that of the composition of n isoperiodic vibrations of unit amplitude and phases distributed at random" he studied in 1880 (diffusion eq'n, Brownian motion, ...)

**Karl Pearson (1857-1936)**: founded statistics, eugenicist & socialist, changed name  $(C \mapsto K)$ , declined knighthood.

- UNSW: Donovan and Nuyens, WWII cryptography.
- Appear in quantum chemistry, in quantum physics as hexagonal and diamond lattice integers, etc ...



toc

- 17. Combinatorics
- 23. Meijer-G functions
- 28. Hypergeometric values of  $W_3, W_4$
- 31. Probability and Bessel J
- 39. Derivative values of  $W_3, W_4$

# $W_n(k)$ at even values

Even values are easier (combinatorial – no square roots).

k	0	2	4	6	8	10
$W_2(k)$	1	2	6	20	70	252
$W_3(k)$	1	3	15	93	639	4653
$W_4(k)$	1	4	28	256	2716	31504
$W_5(k)$	1	5	45	545	7885	127905

• Can get started by *rapidly* computing many values *naively* as symbolic integrals.

- Observe that  $W_2(s) = \binom{s}{s/2}$  for s > -1.
- Entering 1,5,45,545 in the OIES now gives "The function  $W_5(2n)$  (see Borwein et al. reference for definition)."



- 17. Combinatorics
- 23. Meijer-G functions
- 28. Hypergeometric values of  $W_3, W_4$
- 31. Probability and Bessel J
- **39.** Derivative values of  $W_3, W_4$

# $W_n(k)$ at even values

Even values are easier (combinatorial – no square roots).

k	0	2	4	6	8	10
$W_2(k)$	1	2	6	20	70	252
$W_3(k)$	1	3	15	93	639	4653
$W_4(k)$	1	4	28	256	2716	31504
$W_5(k)$	1	5	45	545	7885	127905

• Can get started by *rapidly* computing many values *naively* as symbolic integrals.

- Observe that  $W_2(s) = \binom{s}{s/2}$  for s > -1.
- Entering 1,5,45,545 in the OIES now gives "The function  $W_5(2n)$  (see Borwein et al. reference for definition)."



- 17. Combinatorics
- 23. Meijer-G functions
- 28. Hypergeometric values of  $W_3, W_4$
- 31. Probability and Bessel J
- **39.** Derivative values of  $W_3, W_4$

# $W_n(k)$ at even values

Even values are easier (combinatorial – no square roots).

k	0	2	4	6	8	10
$W_2(k)$	1	2	6	20	70	252
$W_3(k)$	1	3	15	93	639	4653
$W_4(k)$	1	4	28	256	2716	31504
$W_5(k)$	1	5	45	545	7885	127905

- Can get started by *rapidly* computing many values *naively* as symbolic integrals.
  - Observe that  $W_2(s) = \binom{s}{s/2}$  for s > -1.
  - Entering 1,5,45,545 in the OIES now gives "The function  $W_5(2n)$  (see Borwein et al. reference for definition)."

- 17. Combinatorics
- 23. Meijer-G functions
- 28. Hypergeometric values of  $W_3, W_4$

CARMA

- 31. Probability and Bessel J
- **39.** Derivative values of  $W_3, W_4$

# $W_n(k)$ at even values

Even values are easier (combinatorial - no square roots).

k	0	2	4	6	8	10
$W_2(k)$	1	2	6	20	70	252
$W_3(k)$	1	3	15	93	639	4653
$W_4(k)$	1	4	28	256	2716	31504
$W_5(k)$	1	5	45	545	7885	127905

- Can get started by *rapidly* computing many values *naively* as symbolic integrals.
  - Observe that  $W_2(s) = \binom{s}{s/2}$  for s > -1.
  - Entering 1,5,45,545 in the OIES now gives "The function  $W_5(2n)$  (see Borwein et al. reference for definition)."

#### 15. Short Random Walks

39. Multiple Mahler Measures

45. Log-sine Integrals

#### 17. Combinatorics

- 23. Meijer-G functions
- 28. Hypergeometric values of  $W_3, W_4$
- 31. Probability and Bessel J
- 39. Derivative values of W<sub>3</sub>, W<sub>4</sub>

# $W_n(k)$ at odd integers

n	k = 1	k = 3	k = 5	k = 7	k = 9
2	1.27324	3.39531	10.8650	37.2514	132.449
3	1.57460	6.45168	36.7052	241.544	1714.62
4	1.79909	10.1207	82.6515	822.273	9169.62
5	2.00816	14.2896	152.316	2037.14	31393.1
6	2.19386	18.9133	248.759	4186.19	82718.9

#### Please, memorize this number!

During the three years which I spent at Cambridge my time was wasted, as far as the academical studies were concerned, as completely as at Edinburgh and at school. I attempted mathematics, and even went during the summer of 1828 with a private tutor (a very dull man) to Barmouth, but I got on very slowly. The work was repugnant to me, chiefly from my not being able to see any meaning in the early steps in algebra. This impatience was very foolish, and in after years I have deeply regretted that I did not proceed far enough at least to understand something of the great leading principles of mathematics, for men thus endowed seem to have an extra sense.

#### 15. Short Random Walks

39. Multiple Mahler Measures

45. Log-sine Integrals

- 17. Combinatorics
- 23. Meijer-G functions
- 28. Hypergeometric values of  $W_3, W_4$
- 31. Probability and Bessel J
- 39. Derivative values of W<sub>3</sub>, W<sub>4</sub>

# $W_n(k)$ at odd integers

n	k = 1	k = 3	k = 5	k = 7	k = 9
2	1.27324	3.39531	10.8650	37.2514	132.449
3	1.57460	6.45168	36.7052	241.544	1714.62
4	1.79909	10.1207	82.6515	822.273	9169.62
5	2.00816	14.2896	152.316	2037.14	31393.1
6	2.19386	18.9133	248.759	4186.19	82718.9

### Please, memorize this number!

During the three years which I spent at Cambridge my time was wasted, as far as the academical studies were concerned, as completely as at Edinburgh and at school. I attempted mathematics, and even went during the summer of 1828 with a private tutor (a very dull man) to Barmouth, but I got on very slowly. The work was repugnant to me, chiefly from my not being able to see any meaning in the early steps in algebra. This impatience was very foolish, and in after years I have deeply regretted that I did not proceed far enough at least to understand something of the great leading principles of mathematics, for men thus endowed seem to have an extra sense.

#### 15. Short Random Walks

39. Multiple Mahler Measures

45. Log-sine Integrals

- 17. Combinatorics
- 23. Meijer-G functions
- 28. Hypergeometric values of  $W_3, W_4$
- 31. Probability and Bessel J
- **39.** Derivative values of  $W_3, W_4$

# $W_n(k)$ at odd integers

n	k = 1	k = 3	k = 5	k = 7	k = 9
2	1.27324	3.39531	10.8650	37.2514	132.449
3	1.57460	6.45168	36.7052	241.544	1714.62
4	1.79909	10.1207	82.6515	822.273	9169.62
5	2.00816	14.2896	152.316	2037.14	31393.1
6	2.19386	18.9133	248.759	4186.19	82718.9

#### Please, memorize this number!

During the three years which I spent at Cambridge my time was wasted, as far as the academical studies were concerned, as completely as at Edinburgh and at school. I attempted mathematics, and even went during the summer of 1828 with a private tutor (a very dull man) to Barmouth, but I got on very slowly. The work was repugnant to me, chiefly from my not being able to see any meaning in the early steps in algebra. This impatience was very foolish, and in after years I have deeply regretted that I did not proceed far enough at least to understand something of the great leading principles of mathematics, for men thus endowed seem to have an extra sense. CARMA

- 17. Combinatorics
- 23. Meijer-G functions
- 28. Hypergeometric values of  $W_3, W_4$

CARMA

- 31. Probability and Bessel J
- 39. Derivative values of  $W_3, W_4$

### Resolution at even values

- General even formula counts *n*-letter abelian squares  $x\pi(x)$  of length 2k.
  - Shallit and Richmond (2008) give asymptotics:

$$W_n(2k) = \sum_{a_1 + \dots + a_n = k} \binom{k}{a_1, \dots, a_n}^2.$$
 (4)

• Known to satisfy convolutions:

$$W_{n_1+n_2}(2k) = \sum_{j=0}^{k} {\binom{k}{j}}^2 W_{n_1}(2j) W_{n_2}(2(k-j)).$$

• Has recursions such as:

$$(k+2)^2 W_3(2k+4) - (10k^2 + 30k + 23)W_3(2k+2) +9(k+1)^2 W_3(2k)$$

- 17. Combinatorics
- 23. Meijer-G functions
- 28. Hypergeometric values of  $W_3, W_4$

CARMA

- 31. Probability and Bessel J
- 39. Derivative values of  $W_3, W_4$

### Resolution at even values

- General even formula counts *n*-letter abelian squares  $x\pi(x)$  of length 2k.
  - Shallit and Richmond (2008) give asymptotics:

$$W_n(2k) = \sum_{a_1 + \dots + a_n = k} \binom{k}{a_1, \dots, a_n}^2.$$
 (4)

• Known to satisfy convolutions:

$$W_{n_1+n_2}(2k) = \sum_{j=0}^{k} {\binom{k}{j}}^2 W_{n_1}(2j) W_{n_2}(2(k-j)).$$

• Has recursions such as:

$$(k+2)^2 W_3(2k+4) - (10k^2 + 30k + 23)W_3(2k+2)$$
  
+ $9(k+1)^2 W_2(2k)$ 

- 17. Combinatorics
- 23. Meijer-G functions
- 28. Hypergeometric values of  $W_3, W_4$
- 31. Probability and Bessel J
- 39. Derivative values of W<sub>3</sub>, W<sub>4</sub>

### Resolution at even values

- General even formula counts *n*-letter abelian squares  $x\pi(x)$  of length 2k.
  - Shallit and Richmond (2008) give asymptotics:

$$W_n(2k) = \sum_{a_1 + \dots + a_n = k} \binom{k}{a_1, \dots, a_n}^2.$$
 (4)

• Known to satisfy convolutions:

$$W_{n_1+n_2}(2k) = \sum_{j=0}^{k} {\binom{k}{j}}^2 W_{n_1}(2j) W_{n_2}(2(k-j)).$$

• Has recursions such as:

$$\begin{aligned} (k+2)^2 W_3(2k+4) - (10k^2 + 30k + 23) W_3(2k+2) \\ + 9(k+1)^2 W_3(2k) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

- 17. Combinatorics
- 23. Meijer-G functions
- 28. Hypergeometric values of  $W_3, W_4$
- 31. Probability and Bessel J
- **39.** Derivative values of  $W_3, W_4$

### Analytic continuation: From Carlson's Theorem

• So integer recurrences yield complex functional equations. Viz

 $(s+4)^2 W_3(s+4) - 2(5s^2 + 30s + 46) W_3(s+2) + 9(s+2)^2 W_3(s) = 0.$ 

- This gives analytic continuations of the ramble integrals to the complex plane, with poles at certain negative integers (likewise for all *n*).
  - $W_3(s)$  has a simple pole at -2 with residue  $\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}\pi}$ , and other simple poles at -2k with residues a rational multiple of Res\_2.

"For it is easier to supply the proof when we have previously acquired, by the method [of mechanical theorems], some knowledge of the questions than it is to find it without any previous knowledge. — Archimedes.



- 17. Combinatorics
- 23. Meijer-G functions
- 28. Hypergeometric values of  $W_3, W_4$
- 31. Probability and Bessel J
- **39.** Derivative values of  $W_3, W_4$

Analytic continuation: From Carlson's Theorem

• So integer recurrences yield complex functional equations. Viz

 $(s+4)^2 W_3(s+4) - 2(5s^2 + 30s + 46) W_3(s+2) + 9(s+2)^2 W_3(s) = 0.$ 

- This gives analytic continuations of the ramble integrals to the complex plane, with poles at certain negative integers (likewise for all n).
  - $W_3(s)$  has a simple pole at -2 with residue  $\frac{2}{\sqrt{3\pi}}$ , and other simple poles at -2k with residues a rational multiple of Res<sub>-2</sub>.

"For it is easier to supply the proof when we have previously acquired, by the method [of mechanical theorems], some knowledge of the questions than it is to find it without any previous knowledge. — Archimedes.



- 17. Combinatorics
- 23. Meijer-G functions
- 28. Hypergeometric values of  $W_3, W_4$
- 31. Probability and Bessel J
- **39.** Derivative values of  $W_3, W_4$

Analytic continuation: From Carlson's Theorem

• So integer recurrences yield complex functional equations. Viz

 $(s+4)^2 W_3(s+4) - 2(5s^2 + 30s + 46) W_3(s+2) + 9(s+2)^2 W_3(s) = 0.$ 

- This gives analytic continuations of the ramble integrals to the complex plane, with poles at certain negative integers (likewise for all n).
  - $W_3(s)$  has a simple pole at -2 with residue  $\frac{2}{\sqrt{3\pi}}$ , and other simple poles at -2k with residues a rational multiple of Res<sub>-2</sub>.

"For it is easier to supply the proof when we have previously acquired, by the method [of mechanical theorems], some knowledge of the questions than it is to find it without any previous knowledge. — Archimedes.



- 17. Combinatorics
- 23. Meijer-G functions
- **28.** Hypergeometric values of  $W_3$ ,  $W_4$
- 31. Probability and Bessel J
- 39. Derivative values of W<sub>3</sub>, W<sub>4</sub>

### Odd dimensions look like 3



• JW proved zeroes near to but not at integers:  $W_3(-2n-1)\downarrow 0$ 

- 17. Combinatorics
- 23. Meijer-G functions
- 28. Hypergeometric values of  $W_3, W_4$
- 31. Probability and Bessel J
- 39. Derivative values of W<sub>3</sub>, W<sub>4</sub>

### Odd dimensions look like 3



• JW proved zeroes near to but not at integers:  $W_3(-2n-1)\downarrow 0$ .

#### 15. Short Random Walks

39. Multiple Mahler Measures

45. Log-sine Integrals

- 17. Combinatorics
- 23. Meijer-G functions
- 28. Hypergeometric values of  $W_3$ ,  $W_4$
- 31. Probability and Bessel J
- **39.** Derivative values of  $W_3, W_4$

### Some even dimensions look more like 4



- **L**:  $W_4(s)$  on [-6, 1/2]. **R**:  $W_5$  on [-6, 2] (T),  $W_6$  on [-6, 2] (B).
  - The functional equation (with double poles) for n = 4 is  $(s+4)^3W_4(s+4) - 4(s+3)(5s^2+30s+48)W_4(s+2)$   $+ 64(s+2)^3W_4(s) = 0$ 
    - CARMA

#### 15. Short Random Walks

39. Multiple Mahler Measures

45. Log-sine Integrals

- 17. Combinatorics
- 23. Meijer-G functions
- 28. Hypergeometric values of  $W_3, W_4$
- 31. Probability and Bessel J
- 39. Derivative values of  $W_3, W_4$

# Some even dimensions look more like 4



L:  $W_4(s)$  on [-6, 1/2]. R:  $W_5$  on [-6, 2] (T),  $W_6$  on [-6, 2] (B).

- The functional equation (with double poles) for n = 4 is  $(s+4)^3W_4(s+4) - 4(s+3)(5s^2+30s+48)W_4(s+2)$   $+ 64(s+2)^3W_4(s) = 0$ 
  - There are (infinitely many) multiple poles if and only if 4|n.
  - Why is  $W_4$  positive on **R**?

#### 15. Short Random Walks

39. Multiple Mahler Measures

45. Log-sine Integrals

- 17. Combinatorics
- 23. Meijer-G functions
- 28. Hypergeometric values of  $W_3, W_4$
- 31. Probability and Bessel J
- **39.** Derivative values of  $W_3, W_4$

### Some even dimensions look more like 4



**L**:  $W_4(s)$  on [-6, 1/2]. **R**:  $W_5$  on [-6, 2] (T),  $W_6$  on [-6, 2] (B).

- The functional equation (with double poles) for n = 4 is  $(s+4)^3W_4(s+4) - 4(s+3)(5s^2+30s+48)W_4(s+2)$   $+ 64(s+2)^3W_4(s) = 0$ 
  - There are (infinitely many) multiple poles if and only if  $4|n_{\text{CARMA}}$
  - Why is  $W_4$  positive on **R**?

#### 15. Short Random Walks

39. Multiple Mahler Measures

45. Log-sine Integrals

- 17. Combinatorics
- 23. Meijer-G functions
- 28. Hypergeometric values of  $W_3, W_4$
- 31. Probability and Bessel J
- **39.** Derivative values of  $W_3, W_4$

### Some even dimensions look more like 4



**L**:  $W_4(s)$  on [-6, 1/2]. **R**:  $W_5$  on [-6, 2] (T),  $W_6$  on [-6, 2] (B).

- The functional equation (with double poles) for n = 4 is  $(s+4)^3W_4(s+4) - 4(s+3)(5s^2+30s+48)W_4(s+2)$   $+ 64(s+2)^3W_4(s) = 0$ 
  - There are (infinitely many) multiple poles if and only if  $4|n_{\text{CARMA}}$
  - Why is W<sub>4</sub> positive on R?

- 17. Combinatorics
- 23. Meijer-G functions
- 28. Hypergeometric values of  $W_3, W_4$
- 31. Probability and Bessel J
- **39.** Derivative values of  $W_3, W_4$

# Meijer-G functions (1936-)

### Definition

$$G_{p,q}^{m,n}\begin{pmatrix}a_1,\ldots,a_p\\b_1,\ldots,b_q\end{vmatrix} x ) := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \times$$
$$\int_{\mathcal{L}} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^m \Gamma(b_j-s) \prod_{j=1}^n \Gamma(1-a_j+s)}{\prod_{j=n+1}^p \Gamma(a_j-s) \prod_{j=m+1}^q \Gamma(1-b_j+s)} x^s \mathrm{d}s.$$

• Contour  $\mathcal{L}$  lies between poles of  $\Gamma(1-a_i-s)$  and of  $\Gamma(b_i+s)$ .

- A broad generalization of hypergeometric functions capturing Bessel Y, K and much more.
- Important in CAS if better hidden; often lead to superpositions of generalized hypergeometric terms pF.



- 17. Combinatorics
- 23. Meijer-G functions
- 28. Hypergeometric values of  $W_3, W_4$
- 31. Probability and Bessel J
- **39.** Derivative values of  $W_3, W_4$

# Meijer-G functions (1936-)

### Definition

$$G_{p,q}^{m,n}\begin{pmatrix}a_1,\ldots,a_p\\b_1,\ldots,b_q\end{vmatrix} x := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \times$$
$$\int_{\mathcal{L}} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^m \Gamma(b_j-s) \prod_{j=1}^n \Gamma(1-a_j+s)}{\prod_{i=n+1}^p \Gamma(a_i-s) \prod_{i=m+1}^q \Gamma(1-b_i+s)} x^s \mathrm{d}s$$

• Contour  $\mathcal{L}$  lies between poles of  $\Gamma(1-a_i-s)$  and of  $\Gamma(b_i+s)$ .

- A broad generalization of hypergeometric functions capturing Bessel *Y*, *K* and much more.
- Important in CAS if better hidden; often lead to superpositions of generalized hypergeometric terms  ${}_{p}F_{q}$



- 17. Combinatorics
- 23. Meijer-G functions
- 28. Hypergeometric values of  $W_3, W_4$
- 31. Probability and Bessel J
- **39.** Derivative values of  $W_3, W_4$

# Meijer-G functions (1936-)

### Definition

$$G_{p,q}^{m,n}\begin{pmatrix}a_1,\dots,a_p\\b_1,\dots,b_q\end{vmatrix} x := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \times$$
$$\int_{\mathcal{L}} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^m \Gamma(b_j-s) \prod_{j=1}^n \Gamma(1-a_j+s)}{\prod_{i=n+1}^p \Gamma(a_i-s) \prod_{i=m+1}^q \Gamma(1-b_j+s)} x^s \mathrm{d}s.$$

- Contour  $\mathcal{L}$  lies between poles of  $\Gamma(1-a_i-s)$  and of  $\Gamma(b_i+s)$ .
  - A broad generalization of hypergeometric functions capturing Bessel Y, K and much more.
  - Important in CAS if better hidden; often lead to superpositions of generalized hypergeometric terms  ${}_{p}F_{q}$

CARMA>

- 17. Combinatorics
- 23. Meijer-G functions
- 28. Hypergeometric values of  $W_3, W_4$

CARMA

- 31. Probability and Bessel J
- 39. Derivative values of  $W_3, W_4$

# Meijer-G functions (1936-)

### Definition

$$G_{p,q}^{m,n}\begin{pmatrix}a_1,\ldots,a_p\\b_1,\ldots,b_q\end{vmatrix} x ) := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \times$$
$$\int_{\mathcal{L}} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^m \Gamma(b_j-s) \prod_{j=1}^n \Gamma(1-a_j+s)}{\prod_{j=n+1}^p \Gamma(a_j-s) \prod_{j=m+1}^q \Gamma(1-b_j+s)} x^s \mathrm{d}s.$$

- Contour  $\mathcal{L}$  lies between poles of  $\Gamma(1-a_i-s)$  and of  $\Gamma(b_i+s)$ .
  - A broad generalization of hypergeometric functions capturing Bessel Y, K and much more.
  - Important in CAS if better hidden; often lead to superpositions of generalized hypergeometric terms pFq.
- 17. Combinatorics
- 23. Meijer-G functions
- 28. Hypergeometric values of  $W_3, W_4$
- 31. Probability and Bessel J
- **39.** Derivative values of  $W_3, W_4$

# Meijer-G forms for $W_3$

Theorem (Meijer form for  $W_3$ )

For s not an odd integer

$$W_3(s) = \frac{\Gamma(1+\frac{s}{2})}{\sqrt{\pi} \ \Gamma(-\frac{s}{2})} \ G_{33}^{21} \left( \begin{array}{c} 1,1,1\\ \frac{1}{2},-\frac{s}{2},-\frac{s}{2} \end{array} \middle| \frac{1}{4} \right)$$

- First found by Crandall via CAS.
- Proved using residue calculus methods.
- $W_3(s)$  is among few non-trivial Meijer-G with a closed form.

The most important aspect in solving a mathematical problem is the conviction of what is the true result. Then it took 2 or 3 years using the techniques that had been developed during the past 20 years or so. — Lennart Carleson (From 1966 IMU address on his positive solution of Luzin's problem).

- 17. Combinatorics
- 23. Meijer-G functions
- 28. Hypergeometric values of  $W_3, W_4$
- 31. Probability and Bessel J
- 39. Derivative values of  $W_3, W_4$

# Meijer-G forms for $W_3$

Theorem (Meijer form for  $W_3$ )

For s not an odd integer

$$W_3(s) = \frac{\Gamma(1+\frac{s}{2})}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(-\frac{s}{2})} G_{33}^{21} \begin{pmatrix} 1,1,1\\ \frac{1}{2},-\frac{s}{2},-\frac{s}{2} \\ \frac{1}{4} \end{pmatrix}.$$

- First found by Crandall via CAS.
- Proved using residue calculus methods.
- $W_3(s)$  is among few non-trivial Meijer-G with a closed form.

The most important aspect in solving a mathematical problem is the conviction of what is the true result. Then it took 2 or 3 years using the techniques that had been developed during the past 20 years or so. — Lennart Carleson (From 1966 IMU address on his positive solution of Luzin's problem).

- 17. Combinatorics
- 23. Meijer-G functions
- 28. Hypergeometric values of  $W_3, W_4$
- 31. Probability and Bessel J
- 39. Derivative values of  $W_3, W_4$

# Meijer-G forms for $W_3$

Theorem (Meijer form for  $W_3$ )

For  $\boldsymbol{s}$  not an odd integer

$$W_3(s) = \frac{\Gamma(1+\frac{s}{2})}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(-\frac{s}{2})} G_{33}^{21} \begin{pmatrix} 1,1,1\\ \frac{1}{2},-\frac{s}{2},-\frac{s}{2} \\ \frac{1}{4} \end{pmatrix}.$$

- First found by Crandall via CAS.
- Proved using residue calculus methods.
- $W_3(s)$  is among few non-trivial Meijer-G with a closed form.

The most important aspect in solving a mathematical problem is the conviction of what is the true result. Then it took 2 or 3 years using the techniques that had been developed during the past 20 years or so. — Lennart Carleson (From 1966 IMU address on his positive solution of Luzin's problem).

- 17. Combinatorics
- 23. Meijer-G functions
- 28. Hypergeometric values of  $W_3, W_4$
- 31. Probability and Bessel J
- 39. Derivative values of  $W_3, W_4$

# Meijer-G forms for $W_3$

Theorem (Meijer form for  $W_3$ )

For s not an odd integer

$$W_3(s) = \frac{\Gamma(1+\frac{s}{2})}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(-\frac{s}{2})} G_{33}^{21} \begin{pmatrix} 1,1,1\\ \frac{1}{2},-\frac{s}{2},-\frac{s}{2} \\ \end{vmatrix} \frac{1}{4}$$

- First found by Crandall via CAS.
- Proved using residue calculus methods.
- $W_3(s)$  is among few non-trivial Meijer-G with a closed form.

The most important aspect in solving a mathematical problem is the conviction of what is the true result. Then it took 2 or 3 years using the techniques that had been developed during the past 20 years or so. — Lennart Carleson (From 1966 IMU address on his positive solution of Luzin's problem).

- 17. Combinatorics
- 23. Meijer-G functions
- 28. Hypergeometric values of  $W_3, W_4$
- 31. Probability and Bessel J
- **39.** Derivative values of  $W_3, W_4$

## Meijer-G form for $W_4$



• Not helpful for odd integers. We must again look elsewhere ...





- 17. Combinatorics
- 23. Meijer-G functions
- 28. Hypergeometric values of  $W_3, W_4$
- 31. Probability and Bessel J
- 39. Derivative values of W<sub>3</sub>, W<sub>4</sub>

### Meijer-G form for $W_4$



• Not helpful for odd integers. We must again look elsewhere ...





- 17. Combinatorics
- 23. Meijer-G functions
- 28. Hypergeometric values of  $W_3, W_4$
- 31. Probability and Bessel J
- **39.** Derivative values of  $W_3, W_4$

## Meijer-G form for $W_4$



• Not helpful for odd integers. We must again look elsewhere ...





- 17. Combinatorics
- 23. Meijer-G functions
- 28. Hypergeometric values of  $W_3, W_4$
- 31. Probability and Bessel J
- **39.** Derivative values of  $W_3, W_4$

## Meijer-G form for $W_4$



• Not helpful for odd integers. We must again look elsewhere ...





- 17. Combinatorics
- 23. Meijer-G functions
- 28. Hypergeometric values of  $W_3, W_4$
- 31. Probability and Bessel J
- **39.** Derivative values of  $W_3, W_4$

## Meijer-G form for $W_4$



• Not helpful for odd integers. We must again look elsewhere ...





- 17. Combinatorics
- 23. Meijer-G functions
- 28. Hypergeometric values of  $W_3$ ,  $W_4$
- 31. Probability and Bessel J
- 39. Derivative values of  $W_3, W_4$

## Visualizing $W_4$ in the complex plane



• Easily drawn now in *Mathematica* from recursion and Meijer-G form.

 To (L) each value is coloured differently (black is zero and white infinity). To (R) we colour by quadrants. Note the poleand zeros.

- 17. Combinatorics
- 23. Meijer-G functions
- 28. Hypergeometric values of  $W_3$ ,  $W_4$
- 31. Probability and Bessel J
- 39. Derivative values of  $W_3, W_4$

## Visualizing $W_4$ in the complex plane



• Easily drawn now in *Mathematica* from recursion and Meijer-G form.

 To (L) each value is coloured differently (black is zero and white infinity). To (R) we colour by quadrants. Note the poles and zeros.

- 17. Combinatorics
- 23. Meijer-G functions
- 28. Hypergeometric values of  $W_3$ ,  $W_4$
- 31. Probability and Bessel J
- 39. Derivative values of  $W_3, W_4$

## Visualizing $W_4$ in the complex plane



- Easily drawn now in *Mathematica* from recursion and Meijer-G form.
  - To (L) each value is coloured differently (black is zero and white infinity). To (R) we colour by quadrants. Note the poles and zeros.

- 17. Combinatorics
- 23. Meijer-G functions
- 28. Hypergeometric values of  $W_3, W_4$
- 31. Probability and Bessel J
- 39. Derivative values of  $W_3, W_4$

## Simplifying the Meijer integral

Corollary (Hypergeometric forms for noninteger s > -2)

$$W_{3}(s) = \frac{1}{2^{2s+1}} \tan\left(\frac{\pi s}{2}\right) {\binom{s}{\frac{s-1}{2}}}^{2} {}_{3}F_{2}\left(\frac{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}{\frac{s+3}{2}} \left|\frac{1}{4}\right\right) + {\binom{s}{\frac{s}{2}}}_{3}F_{2}\left(-\frac{\frac{s}{2}, -\frac{s}{2}, -\frac{s}{2}}{1, -\frac{s-1}{2}} \left|\frac{1}{4}\right),$$

and

$$W_4(s) = \frac{1}{2^{2s}} \tan\left(\frac{\pi s}{2}\right) \binom{s}{\frac{s-1}{2}}{}^3{}_4F_3\left(\frac{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{s}{2}+1}{\frac{s+3}{2}, \frac{s+3}{2}}\Big|1\right) + \binom{s}{\frac{s}{2}}{}_4F_3\left(\frac{\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{s}{2}, -\frac{s}{2}, -\frac{s}{2}}{1, 1, -\frac{s-1}{2}}\Big|1\right).$$

• We (humans) were able to provably take the limit:

$$W_{4}(-1) = \frac{\pi}{4} \, _{7}F_{6} \left( \begin{array}{c} \frac{5}{4}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{4}, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1 \end{array} \right) = \frac{\pi}{4} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(4n+1)\binom{2n}{n}^{0}}{4^{6n}} \\ = \frac{\pi}{4} \, _{6}F_{5} \left( \begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \\ 1, 1, 1, 1, 1 \end{array} \right) + \frac{\pi}{64} \, _{6}F_{5} \left( \begin{array}{c} \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2} \\ 2, 2, 2, 2, 2 \end{array} \right)$$

• We have proven the corresponding result for  $W_4(1)$  .



- 17. Combinatorics
- 23. Meijer-G functions
- 28. Hypergeometric values of  $W_3, W_4$
- 31. Probability and Bessel J
- 39. Derivative values of  $W_3, W_4$

## Simplifying the Meijer integral

Corollary (Hypergeometric forms for noninteger s > -2)

$$W_{3}(s) = \frac{1}{2^{2s+1}} \tan\left(\frac{\pi s}{2}\right) {\binom{s}{\frac{s-1}{2}}}^{2} {}_{3}F_{2}\left(\frac{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}{\frac{s+3}{2}} \left|\frac{1}{4}\right\right) + {\binom{s}{\frac{s}{2}}}_{3}F_{2}\left(-\frac{\frac{s}{2}, -\frac{s}{2}, -\frac{s}{2}}{1, -\frac{s-1}{2}} \left|\frac{1}{4}\right),$$

and

$$W_4(s) = \frac{1}{2^{2s}} \tan\left(\frac{\pi s}{2}\right) \binom{s}{\frac{s-1}{2}}{}^3{}_4F_3\left(\frac{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{s}{2}+1}{\frac{s+3}{2}, \frac{s+3}{2}}\Big|1\right) + \binom{s}{\frac{s}{2}}{}_4F_3\left(\frac{\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{s}{2}, -\frac{s}{2}, -\frac{s}{2}}{1, 1, -\frac{s-1}{2}}\Big|1\right).$$

• We (humans) were able to provably take the limit:

$$W_{4}(-1) = \frac{\pi}{4} \, _{7}F_{6} \left( \begin{array}{c} \frac{5}{4}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{4}, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1 \\ \end{array} \right) = \frac{\pi}{4} \, \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(4n+1) \binom{2n}{n}^{0}}{4^{6n}} \\ = \frac{\pi}{4} \, _{6}F_{5} \left( \begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \\ 1, 1, 1, 1, 1 \\ \end{array} \right) + \frac{\pi}{64} \, _{6}F_{5} \left( \begin{array}{c} \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2} \\ 2, 2, 2, 2, 2 \\ \end{array} \right)$$

• We have proven the corresponding result for  $W_4(1)$  .



- 17. Combinatorics
- 23. Meijer-G functions
- 28. Hypergeometric values of  $W_3, W_4$
- 31. Probability and Bessel J
- 39. Derivative values of  $W_3, W_4$

## Simplifying the Meijer integral

Corollary (Hypergeometric forms for noninteger s > -2)

$$W_3(s) = \frac{1}{2^{2s+1}} \tan\left(\frac{\pi s}{2}\right) {\binom{s}{\frac{s-1}{2}}}^2 {}_3F_2\left(\frac{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}{\frac{s+3}{2}, \frac{s+3}{4}} \right| \frac{1}{4}\right) + {\binom{s}{\frac{s}{2}}}_3F_2\left(\frac{-\frac{s}{2}, -\frac{s}{2}, -\frac{s}{2}}{1, -\frac{s-1}{2}} \right| \frac{1}{4}\right),$$

and

$$W_4(s) = \frac{1}{2^{2s}} \tan\left(\frac{\pi s}{2}\right) \binom{s}{\frac{s-1}{2}}{}^3_4 F_3 \left(\frac{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{s}{2}+1}{\frac{s+3}{2}, \frac{s+3}{2}} \Big| 1\right) + \binom{s}{\frac{s}{2}} {}_4 F_3 \left(\frac{\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{s}{2}, -\frac{s}{2}, -\frac{s}{2}}{1, 1, -\frac{s-1}{2}} \Big| 1\right).$$

• We (humans) were able to provably take the limit:

$$\begin{split} W_4(-1) &= \frac{\pi}{4} \, _7F_6\left( \begin{array}{c} \frac{5}{4}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{4}, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1 \end{array} \right| 1 \right) = \frac{\pi}{4} \, \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \, \frac{(4n+1) \binom{2n}{n}^6}{4^{6n}} \\ &= \frac{\pi}{4} \, _6F_5\left( \begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \\ 1, 1, 1, 1, 1 \end{array} \right| 1 \right) + \frac{\pi}{64} \, _6F_5\left( \begin{array}{c} \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2} \\ 2, 2, 2, 2, 2 \end{array} \right| 1 \right). \end{split}$$

• We have proven the corresponding result for  $W_4(1)$  ....



- 17. Combinatorics
- 23. Meijer-G functions
- 28. Hypergeometric values of  $W_3, W_4$
- 31. Probability and Bessel J
- 39. Derivative values of  $W_3, W_4$

## Simplifying the Meijer integral

Corollary (Hypergeometric forms for noninteger s > -2)

$$W_3(s) = \frac{1}{2^{2s+1}} \tan\left(\frac{\pi s}{2}\right) {\binom{s}{\frac{s-1}{2}}}^2 {}_3F_2\left(\frac{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}{\frac{s+3}{2}, \frac{s+3}{4}} \right| \frac{1}{4}\right) + {\binom{s}{\frac{s}{2}}}_3F_2\left(\frac{-\frac{s}{2}, -\frac{s}{2}, -\frac{s}{2}}{1, -\frac{s-1}{2}} \right| \frac{1}{4}\right),$$

and

$$W_4(s) = \frac{1}{2^{2s}} \tan\left(\frac{\pi s}{2}\right) \binom{s}{\frac{s-1}{2}}{}^3_4 F_3 \left(\frac{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{s}{2}+1}{\frac{s+3}{2}, \frac{s+3}{2}} \Big| 1\right) + \binom{s}{\frac{s}{2}} {}_4 F_3 \left(\frac{\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{s}{2}, -\frac{s}{2}, -\frac{s}{2}}{1, 1, -\frac{s-1}{2}} \Big| 1\right).$$

• We (humans) were able to provably take the limit:

$$W_{4}(-1) = \frac{\pi}{4} \,_{7}F_{6} \left( \begin{array}{c} \frac{5}{4}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{4}, 1, 1, 1, 1 \end{array} \right| 1 \right) = \frac{\pi}{4} \,_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(4 \,_{n} + 1\right) \binom{2 \,_{n}}{n}^{6}}{4^{6 \, n}} \\ = \frac{\pi}{4} \,_{6}F_{5} \left( \begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \\ 1, 1, 1, 1, 1 \end{array} \right| 1 \right) + \frac{\pi}{64} \,_{6}F_{5} \left( \begin{array}{c} \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2} \\ 2, 2, 2, 2, 2 \end{array} \right| 1 \right)$$

• We have proven the corresponding result for  $W_4(1)$  ....



- 17. Combinatorics
- 23. Meijer-G functions
- 28. Hypergeometric values of  $W_3, W_4$

(6)

CLARMA

- 31. Probability and Bessel J
- 39. Derivative values of  $W_3, W_4$

Hypergeometric values of  $W_3, W_4$ : from Meijer-G values.

Much work involving moments of elliptic integrals yields:

Theorem (Tractable hypergeometric form for  $W_3$ )

(a) For 
$$s\neq -3,-5,-7,\ldots$$
 , we have

$$W_3(s) = \frac{3^{s+3/2}}{2\pi} \beta \left(s + \frac{1}{2}, s + \frac{1}{2}\right) {}_3F_2\left(\frac{\frac{s+2}{2}, \frac{s+2}{2}, \frac{s+2}{2}}{1, \frac{s+3}{2}} \left|\frac{1}{4}\right)$$

(b) For every natural number  $k = 1, 2, \ldots$ ,

$$W_3(-2k-1) = \frac{\sqrt{3} \binom{2k}{k}^2}{2^{4k+1} 3^{2k}} {}_3F_2\left( \frac{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}{k+1, k+1} \middle| \frac{1}{4} \right)$$

- 17. Combinatorics
- 23. Meijer-G functions
- 28. Hypergeometric values of  $W_3, W_4$
- 31. Probability and Bessel J
- **39.** Derivative values of  $W_3, W_4$

A Discovery Demystified: on piecing all this together

We first noted that:  $W_3(2k) = \sum_{a_1+a_2+a_3=k} \binom{k}{a_1, a_2, a_3}^2 = \underbrace{{}_3F_2\binom{1/2, -k, -k}{1, 1}}_{=:V_3(2k)}.$ 

We discovered *numerically* that:  $V_3(1) = 1.57459 - .12602652i$ 

#### Theorem (Real part)

For all integers k we have  $W_3(k) = \operatorname{Re}(V_3(k))$ .

We have a habit in writing articles published in scientific journals to make the work as finished as possible, to cover up all the tracks, to not worry about the blind alleys or describe how you had the wrong idea first. So there isn't any place to publish, in a dignified manner, what you actually did in order to get to do the work. — Richard Feynman (Nobel acceptance 1966)

- 17. Combinatorics
- 23. Meijer-G functions
- 28. Hypergeometric values of  $W_3, W_4$
- 31. Probability and Bessel J
- **39.** Derivative values of  $W_3, W_4$

A Discovery Demystified: on piecing all this together

We first noted that:  $W_{3}(2k) = \sum_{a_{1}+a_{2}+a_{3}=k} \binom{k}{a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}}^{2} = \underbrace{{}_{3}F_{2}\binom{1/2, -k, -k}{1, 1}}_{=:V_{4}(2k)}.$ 

We discovered *numerically* that:  $V_3(1) = 1.57459 - .12602652i$ 

#### Theorem (Real part)

### For all integers k we have $W_3(k) = \operatorname{Re}(V_3(k))$ .

We have a habit in writing articles published in scientific journals to make the work as finished as possible, to cover up all the tracks, to not worry about the blind alleys or describe how you had the wrong idea first. ... So there isn't any place to publish, in a dignified manner, what you actually did in order to get to do the work. — Richard Feynman (Nobel acceptance 1966)

- 17. Combinatorics
- 23. Meijer-G functions
- 28. Hypergeometric values of  $W_3, W_4$
- 31. Probability and Bessel J
- **39.** Derivative values of  $W_3, W_4$

A Discovery Demystified: on piecing all this together

We first noted that:  $W_{3}(2k) = \sum_{a_{1}+a_{2}+a_{3}=k} {\binom{k}{a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}}}^{2} = \underbrace{{}_{3}F_{2}\binom{1/2, -k, -k}{1, 1}}_{=:V_{3}(2k)}.$ 

We discovered *numerically* that:  $V_3(1) = 1.57459 - .12602652i$ 

#### Theorem (Real part)

For all integers k we have  $W_3(k) = \operatorname{Re}(V_3(k))$ .

We have a habit in writing articles published in scientific journals to make the work as finished as possible, to cover up all the tracks, to not worry about the blind alleys or describe how you had the wrong idea first. ... So there isn't any place to publish, in a dignified manner, what you actually did in order to get to do the work. — Richard Feynman (Nobel acceptance 1966)

- 17. Combinatorics
- 23. Meijer-G functions
- 28. Hypergeometric values of  $W_3, W_4$
- 31. Probability and Bessel J
- 39. Derivative values of  $W_3, W_4$

### Closed Forms for $W_3$

• We then *confirmed* 175 digits of

 $W_3(1) \approx 1.57459723755189365749\dots$ 

• Armed with a knowledge of elliptic integrals:

$$W_{3}(1) = \frac{16\sqrt[3]{4}\pi^{2}}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{3})^{6}} + \frac{3\Gamma(\frac{1}{3})^{6}}{8\sqrt[3]{4}\pi^{4}} = W_{3}(-1) + \frac{6/\pi^{2}}{W_{3}(-1)}, \quad (7)$$
$$W_{3}(-1) = \frac{3\Gamma(\frac{1}{3})^{6}}{8\sqrt[3]{4}\pi^{4}} = \frac{2^{\frac{1}{3}}}{4\pi^{2}}\beta^{2}\left(\frac{1}{3}\right). \quad (8)$$

Here  $\beta(s) := B(s,s) = \frac{\Gamma(s)^2}{\Gamma(2s)}$ 

 Obtained via singular values of the elliptic integral and Legendre's identity.



- 17. Combinatorics
- 23. Meijer-G functions
- 28. Hypergeometric values of  $W_3, W_4$
- 31. Probability and Bessel J
- 39. Derivative values of  $W_3, W_4$

### Closed Forms for $W_3$

• We then *confirmed* 175 digits of

 $W_3(1) \approx 1.57459723755189365749\dots$ 

• Armed with a knowledge of elliptic integrals:

$$W_{3}(1) = \frac{16\sqrt[3]{4}\pi^{2}}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{3})^{6}} + \frac{3\Gamma(\frac{1}{3})^{6}}{8\sqrt[3]{4}\pi^{4}} = W3(-1) + \frac{6/\pi^{2}}{W3(-1)}, \quad (7)$$
$$W_{3}(-1) = \frac{3\Gamma(\frac{1}{3})^{6}}{8\sqrt[3]{4}\pi^{4}} = \frac{2^{\frac{1}{3}}}{4\pi^{2}}\beta^{2}\left(\frac{1}{3}\right). \quad (8)$$

Here  $\beta(s) := B(s, s) = \frac{\Gamma(s)^2}{\Gamma(2s)}$ .

• Obtained via singular values of the elliptic integral and Legendre's identity.



- 17. Combinatorics
- 23. Meijer-G functions
- 28. Hypergeometric values of  $W_3, W_4$

CARMA

- 31. Probability and Bessel J
- 39. Derivative values of W<sub>3</sub>, W<sub>4</sub>

### Closed Forms for $W_3$

• We then *confirmed* 175 digits of

 $W_3(1) \approx 1.57459723755189365749\dots$ 

• Armed with a knowledge of elliptic integrals:

$$W_{3}(1) = \frac{16\sqrt[3]{4}\pi^{2}}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{3})^{6}} + \frac{3\Gamma(\frac{1}{3})^{6}}{8\sqrt[3]{4}\pi^{4}} = W3(-1) + \frac{6/\pi^{2}}{W3(-1)}, \quad (7)$$
$$W_{3}(-1) = \frac{3\Gamma(\frac{1}{3})^{6}}{8\sqrt[3]{4}\pi^{4}} = \frac{2^{\frac{1}{3}}}{4\pi^{2}}\beta^{2}\left(\frac{1}{3}\right). \quad (8)$$

Here  $\beta(s) := B(s, s) = \frac{\Gamma(s)^2}{\Gamma(2s)}$ .

• Obtained via singular values of the elliptic integral and Legendre's identity.

- 17. Combinatorics
- 23. Meijer-G functions
- 28. Hypergeometric values of  $W_3, W_4$
- 31. Probability and Bessel J
- **39.** Derivative values of  $W_3, W_4$

### Probability: Bessel function representations

**1906.** J.C. Kluyver (1860-1932) derived the cumulative radial distribution function  $(P_n)$  and density  $(p_n)$  of the *n*-step distance:

$$P_n(t) = t \int_0^\infty J_1(xt) J_0^n(x) \,\mathrm{d}x$$

$$p_n(t) = t \int_0^\infty J_0(xt) J_0^n(x) x \, \mathrm{d}x \quad (n \ge 4)$$
 (9)

where  $J_n(x)$  is a Bessel function of the first kind

- See also Watson (1932, §49) 3-dim walks are *elementary*.
  - From (11) below, we find

 $p_n(1) = \operatorname{Res}_{-2}(W_{n+1}) \qquad (n \neq 4). \tag{10}$ • As  $p_2(\alpha) = \frac{2}{\pi\sqrt{4-\alpha^2}}$ , we check in *Maple* that the following code returns  $R = 2/(\sqrt{3}\pi)$  symbolically: R:=identify(evalf[20](int(BesselJ(0,x)^3\*x,x=0..infinity))) Mahler Measures

- 17. Combinatorics
- 23. Meijer-G functions
- 28. Hypergeometric values of  $W_3, W_4$
- 31. Probability and Bessel J
- **39.** Derivative values of  $W_3, W_4$

## A Bessel Integral for $W_n$

- Now  $P_n(1) = \frac{J_0(0)^{n+1}}{n+1} = \frac{1}{n+1}$  (Pearson's original question).
- Broadhurst used (9) for  $2k > s > -\frac{n}{2}$  to write

$$W_n(s) = 2^{s+1-k} \frac{\Gamma(1+\frac{s}{2})}{\Gamma(k-\frac{s}{2})} \int_0^\infty x^{2k-s-1} \left(-\frac{1}{x} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}\right)^k J_0^n(x) \mathrm{d}x,$$
(11)

a useful oscillatory 1-dim integral (used below).

• Thence

$$V_{n}(-1) = \int_{0}^{\infty} J_{0}^{n}(x) dx, \quad W_{n}(1) = n \int_{0}^{\infty} J_{1}(x) J_{0}(x)^{n-1} \frac{dx}{x}.$$
(12)
Integrands for  $W_{4}(-1)$  (blue) and
 $W_{4}(1)$  (red) on  $[\pi, 4\pi]$  from (12).
CARMA

- 17. Combinatorics
- 23. Meijer-G functions
- 28. Hypergeometric values of  $W_3, W_4$
- 31. Probability and Bessel J
- **39.** Derivative values of  $W_3, W_4$

### A Bessel Integral for $W_n$

- Now  $P_n(1) = \frac{J_0(0)^{n+1}}{n+1} = \frac{1}{n+1}$  (Pearson's original question).
- Broadhurst used (9) for  $2k>s>-\frac{n}{2}$  to write

$$W_n(s) = 2^{s+1-k} \frac{\Gamma(1+\frac{s}{2})}{\Gamma(k-\frac{s}{2})} \int_0^\infty x^{2k-s-1} \left(-\frac{1}{x} \frac{d}{dx}\right)^k J_0^n(x) dx,$$
(11)

a useful oscillatory 1-dim integral (used below).

• Thence

$$W_{n}(-1) = \int_{0}^{\infty} J_{0}^{n}(x) dx, \quad W_{n}(1) = n \int_{0}^{\infty} J_{1}(x) J_{0}(x)^{n-1} \frac{dx}{x}.$$
(12)
Integrands for  $W_{4}(-1)$  (blue) and
 $W_{4}(1)$  (red) on  $[\pi, 4\pi]$  from (12).

- 17. Combinatorics
- 23. Meijer-G functions
- 28. Hypergeometric values of  $W_3, W_4$
- 31. Probability and Bessel J
- 39. Derivative values of  $W_3, W_4$

### A Bessel Integral for $W_n$

- Now  $P_n(1) = \frac{J_0(0)^{n+1}}{n+1} = \frac{1}{n+1}$  (Pearson's original question).
- Broadhurst used (9) for  $2k > s > -\frac{n}{2}$  to write

$$W_n(s) = 2^{s+1-k} \frac{\Gamma(1+\frac{s}{2})}{\Gamma(k-\frac{s}{2})} \int_0^\infty x^{2k-s-1} \left(-\frac{1}{x} \frac{d}{dx}\right)^k J_0^n(x) dx,$$
(11)

a useful oscillatory 1-dim integral (used below).

Thence

$$W_{n}(-1) = \int_{0}^{\infty} J_{0}^{n}(x) dx, \quad W_{n}(1) = n \int_{0}^{\infty} J_{1}(x) J_{0}(x)^{n-1} \frac{dx}{x}.$$
(12)
Integrands for  $W_{4}(-1)$  (blue) and
 $W_{4}(1)$  (red) on  $[\pi, 4\pi]$  from (12).
CARMA

- 17. Combinatorics
- 23. Meijer-G functions
- 28. Hypergeometric values of  $W_3, W_4$
- 31. Probability and Bessel J
- 39. Derivative values of  $W_3, W_4$

### A Bessel Integral for $W_n$

- Now  $P_n(1) = \frac{J_0(0)^{n+1}}{n+1} = \frac{1}{n+1}$  (Pearson's original question).
- Broadhurst used (9) for  $2k > s > -\frac{n}{2}$  to write

$$W_n(s) = 2^{s+1-k} \frac{\Gamma(1+\frac{s}{2})}{\Gamma(k-\frac{s}{2})} \int_0^\infty x^{2k-s-1} \left(-\frac{1}{x} \frac{d}{dx}\right)^k J_0^n(x) dx,$$
(11)

a useful oscillatory 1-dim integral (used below).

• Thence

$$W_{n}(-1) = \int_{0}^{\infty} J_{0}^{n}(x) dx, \quad W_{n}(1) = n \int_{0}^{\infty} J_{1}(x) J_{0}(x)^{n-1} \frac{dx}{x}.$$
(12)
Integrands for  $W_{4}(-1)$  (blue) and
 $W_{4}(1)$  (red) on  $[\pi, 4\pi]$  from (12).
CARMA

- 17. Combinatorics
- 23. Meijer-G functions
- 28. Hypergeometric values of  $W_3, W_4$
- 31. Probability and Bessel J
- 39. Derivative values of  $W_3, W_4$

### The Densities for n = 3, 4 are Modular

Let  $\sigma(x) := \frac{3-x}{1+x}$ . Then  $\sigma$  is an involution on [0,3] sending [0,1] to [1,3]:

$$p_3(x) = \frac{4x}{(3-x)(x+1)} p_3(\sigma(x)).$$

So  $\frac{3}{4}p'_3(0) = p_3(3) = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2\pi}, p(1) = \infty$ . We found:

$$p_3(\alpha) = \frac{2\sqrt{3}\alpha}{\pi (3+\alpha^2)} \, {}_2F_1\left(\frac{\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}}{1} \left| \frac{\alpha^2 \left(9-\alpha^2\right)^2}{(3+\alpha^2)^3} \right) = \frac{2\sqrt{3}}{\pi} \frac{\alpha}{\mathrm{AG}_3(3+\alpha^2, 3\left(1-\alpha^2\right)^{2/3})}$$

where  $AG_3$  is the *cubically convergent* mean iteration (1991):



- 17. Combinatorics
- 23. Meijer-G functions
- 28. Hypergeometric values of  $W_3, W_4$
- 31. Probability and Bessel J
- 39. Derivative values of  $W_3, W_4$

### The Densities for n = 3, 4 are Modular

Let  $\sigma(x) := \frac{3-x}{1+x}$ . Then  $\sigma$  is an involution on [0,3] sending [0,1] to [1,3]:

$$p_3(x) = \frac{4x}{(3-x)(x+1)} p_3(\sigma(x)).$$

So  $\frac{3}{4}p'_3(0) = p_3(3) = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2\pi}, p(1) = \infty$ . We found:

$$p_{3}(\alpha) = \frac{2\sqrt{3}\alpha}{\pi (3+\alpha^{2})} {}_{2}F_{1}\left(\frac{\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}}{1} \left|\frac{\alpha^{2} \left(9-\alpha^{2}\right)^{2}}{(3+\alpha^{2})^{3}}\right) = \frac{2\sqrt{3}}{\pi} \frac{\alpha}{\mathrm{AG}_{3}(3+\alpha^{2}, 3\left(1-\alpha^{2}\right)^{2/3})}$$

where  $AG_3$  is the *cubically convergent* mean iteration (1991):



- 17. Combinatorics
- 23. Meijer-G functions
- 28. Hypergeometric values of  $W_3, W_4$
- 31. Probability and Bessel J
- **39.** Derivative values of  $W_3, W_4$

### Formula for the 'shark-fin' $p_4$

We ultimately deduce on  $2 \le \alpha \le 4$  a hyper-closed form:

$$p_4(\alpha) = \frac{2}{\pi^2} \frac{\sqrt{16 - \alpha^2}}{\alpha} {}_3F_2\left(\frac{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}{\frac{5}{6}, \frac{7}{6}} \left| \frac{(16 - \alpha^2)^3}{108 \, \alpha^4} \right| \right).$$
(13)



 $\leftarrow p_4$  from (13) vs 18-terms of series

$$\sqrt{ \operatorname{Proves} p_4(2)} = \frac{2^{7/3}\pi}{3\sqrt{3}} \Gamma\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{-6} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{\pi} W_3(-1) \approx 0.494233 < \frac{1}{2}$$

• Marvelously, we found — and proved by a subtle use of distributional Mellin transforms — that on [0, 2] as well:

CARMA

$$p_4(\alpha) = \frac{2}{\pi^2} \frac{\sqrt{16 - \alpha^2}}{\alpha} \operatorname{Re} {}_3F_2 \left( \frac{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}{\frac{5}{6}, \frac{7}{6}} \right| \frac{(16 - \alpha^2)^3}{108 \, \alpha^4}$$

(Discovering this Re brought us full circle

- 17. Combinatorics
- 23. Meijer-G functions
- 28. Hypergeometric values of  $W_3, W_4$
- 31. Probability and Bessel J
- **39.** Derivative values of  $W_3, W_4$

### Formula for the 'shark-fin' $p_4$

We ultimately deduce on  $2 \le \alpha \le 4$  a hyper-closed form:

$$p_4(\alpha) = \frac{2}{\pi^2} \frac{\sqrt{16 - \alpha^2}}{\alpha} {}_3F_2\left(\frac{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}{\frac{5}{6}, \frac{7}{6}} \left| \frac{(16 - \alpha^2)^3}{108 \, \alpha^4} \right| \right).$$
(13)

 $\leftarrow p_4$  from (13) vs 18-terms of series

$$\sqrt{ \text{Proves } p_4(2) = \frac{2^{7/3}\pi}{3\sqrt{3}} \Gamma\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{-6} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{\pi} W_3(-1) \approx 0.494233 < \frac{1}{2}$$

• Marvelously, we found — and proved by a subtle use of distributional Mellin transforms — that on [0, 2] as well:

$$p_4(\alpha) = \frac{2}{\pi^2} \frac{\sqrt{16 - \alpha^2}}{\alpha} \operatorname{Re} {}_3F_2\left(\frac{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}{\frac{5}{6}, \frac{7}{6}} \left| \frac{\left(16 - \alpha^2\right)^3}{108 \, \alpha^4} \right)\right)$$

(Discovering this  $\operatorname{Re}$  brought us full circle.)



- 17. Combinatorics
- 23. Meijer-G functions
- 28. Hypergeometric values of  $W_3, W_4$
- 31. Probability and Bessel J
- 39. Derivative values of W<sub>3</sub>, W<sub>4</sub>

### Densities for $5 \le n \le 8$ (and large *n* approximation)



Both  $p_{2n+4}, p_{2n+5}$  are *n*-times continuously differentiable for x > 0 $(p_n(x) \sim \frac{2x}{n}e^{-x^2/n})$ . So "four is small" but "eight is large."



J.M. Borwein

Mahler Measures

CARMA

- 17. Combinatorics
- 23. Meijer-G functions
- 28. Hypergeometric values of  $W_3, W_4$

CARMA

- 31. Probability and Bessel J
- **39.** Derivative values of  $W_3, W_4$

### Densities for $5 \le n \le 8$ (and large *n* approximation)



Both  $p_{2n+4}, p_{2n+5}$  are *n*-times continuously differentiable for x > 0 $(p_n(x) \sim \frac{2x}{n}e^{-x^2/n})$ . So "four is small" *but* "eight is large."



- 17. Combinatorics
- 23. Meijer-G functions
- 28. Hypergeometric values of  $W_3, W_4$
- 31. Probability and Bessel J
- **39.** Derivative values of  $W_3, W_4$

### The Five Step Walk

+

• The functional equation for  $W_5$  is:

$$225(s+4)^{2}(s+2)^{2}W_{5}(s) = -(35(s+5)^{4}+42(s+5)^{2}+3)W_{5}(s+4)$$
  
(s+6)<sup>4</sup>W<sub>5</sub>(s+6) + (s+4)<sup>2</sup>(259(s+4)^{2}+104)W\_{5}(s+2).

• We deduce the first two poles — and so all — are simple since

$$\lim_{s \to -2} (s+2)^2 W_5(s) = \frac{4}{225} \left( 285 W_5(0) - 201 W_5(2) + 16 W_5(4) \right) = 0$$

$$\lim_{s \to -4} (s+4)^2 W_5(s) = -\frac{4}{225} \left( 5 W_5(0) - W_5(2) \right) = 0.$$

• We *stumbled* upon

$$p_4(1) = \operatorname{Res}_{-2}(W_5) = \frac{\sqrt{15}}{3\pi} {}_3F_2 \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{2} \\ 1, 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

**???** Is there a hyper-closed form for  $W_5(\mp 1)$  **???** 

J.M. Borwein



- 17. Combinatorics
- 23. Meijer-G functions
- 28. Hypergeometric values of  $W_3, W_4$
- 31. Probability and Bessel J
- **39.** Derivative values of  $W_3, W_4$

### The Five Step Walk

+

• The functional equation for  $W_5$  is:

$$225(s+4)^2(s+2)^2W_5(s) = -(35(s+5)^4 + 42(s+5)^2 + 3)W_5(s+4)$$
  
-  $(s+6)^4W_5(s+6) + (s+4)^2(259(s+4)^2 + 104)W_5(s+2).$ 

• We deduce the first two poles - and so all - are simple since

$$\lim_{s \to -2} (s+2)^2 W_5(s) = \frac{4}{225} \left( 285 W_5(0) - 201 W_5(2) + 16 W_5(4) \right) = 0$$

$$\lim_{s \to -4} (s+4)^2 W_5(s) = -\frac{4}{225} \left( 5 W_5(0) - W_5(2) \right) = 0.$$

• We *stumbled* upon

$$p_4(1) = \operatorname{Res}_{-2}(W_5) = \frac{\sqrt{15}}{3\pi} {}_3F_2 \left( \begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{2} \\ 1, 1 \end{array} \right) - 4 \right)$$

**???** Is there a hyper-closed form for  $W_5(\mp 1)$  **???**
- 17. Combinatorics
- 23. Meijer-G functions
- 28. Hypergeometric values of  $W_3, W_4$
- 31. Probability and Bessel J
- **39.** Derivative values of  $W_3, W_4$

## The Five Step Walk

+

• The functional equation for  $W_5$  is:

$$225(s+4)^2(s+2)^2W_5(s) = -(35(s+5)^4 + 42(s+5)^2 + 3)W_5(s+4)$$
  
-  $(s+6)^4W_5(s+6) + (s+4)^2(259(s+4)^2 + 104)W_5(s+2).$ 

• We deduce the first two poles — and so all — are simple since

$$\lim_{s \to -2} (s+2)^2 W_5(s) = \frac{4}{225} \left( 285 W_5(0) - 201 W_5(2) + 16 W_5(4) \right) = 0$$

$$\lim_{s \to -4} (s+4)^2 W_5(s) = -\frac{4}{225} \left( 5 W_5(0) - W_5(2) \right) = 0.$$

• We *stumbled* upon

$$p_4(1) = \operatorname{Res}_{-2}(W_5) = \frac{\sqrt{15}}{3\pi} {}_3F_2\left( \begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{2} \\ 1, 1 \end{array} \middle| -4 \right).$$

**???** Is there a hyper-closed form for  $W_5(\mp 1)$  **???** 



- 17. Combinatorics
- 23. Meijer-G functions
- 28. Hypergeometric values of  $W_3, W_4$
- 31. Probability and Bessel J
- 39. Derivative values of  $W_3, W_4$

## The Five Step Walk

+

• The functional equation for  $W_5$  is:

$$225(s+4)^2(s+2)^2W_5(s) = -(35(s+5)^4 + 42(s+5)^2 + 3)W_5(s+4)$$
  
-  $(s+6)^4W_5(s+6) + (s+4)^2(259(s+4)^2 + 104)W_5(s+2).$ 

• We deduce the first two poles - and so all - are simple since

$$\lim_{s \to -2} (s+2)^2 W_5(s) = \frac{4}{225} \left( 285 W_5(0) - 201 W_5(2) + 16 W_5(4) \right) = 0$$

$$\lim_{s \to -4} (s+4)^2 W_5(s) = -\frac{4}{225} \left( 5 W_5(0) - W_5(2) \right) = 0.$$

• We stumbled upon

$$p_4(1) = \operatorname{Res}_{-2}(W_5) = \frac{\sqrt{15}}{3\pi} {}_3F_2 \left( \begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{2} \\ 1, 1 \end{array} \right) - 4 \right).$$

**???** Is there a hyper-closed form for  $W_5(\mp 1)$  **???** 



- 17. Combinatorics
- 23. Meijer-G functions
- 28. Hypergeometric values of  $W_3, W_4$
- 31. Probability and Bessel J
- 39. Derivative values of  $W_3, W_4$

- We only knew  $\operatorname{Res}_{-4}(W_5)$  numerically but to 500 digits: (Bailey in about **5.5hrs** on 1 MacPro core).
  - Sidi-"mW" method used: i.e., Gaussian quadrature on intervals of  $[n\pi, (n+1)\pi]$  plus Richardson-like extrapolation.
  - July 2011.  $r_5(2)$  was identified (with help from QFT)!

$$r_5(2) \stackrel{?}{=} \frac{13}{225} r_5(1) - \frac{2}{5\pi^4} \frac{1}{r_5(1)}.$$
 (14)

- Here  $r_5(k) := \operatorname{Res}_{(-2k)}(W_5)$ . Other residues are then combinations as follows:
- From the  $W_5$ -recursion: given  $r_5(0) = 0, r_5(1)$  and  $r_5(2)$  we have

$$\begin{split} r_5(k+3) &= \frac{k^4 r_5(k) - \left(5 + 28\,k + 63\,k^2 + 70\,k^3 + 35\,k^4\right) r_5(k+1)}{225(k+1)^2(k+2)^2} \\ &+ \frac{\left(285 + 518\,k + 259\,k^2\right) r_5(k+2)}{225(k+2)^2}. \end{split}$$

- 17. Combinatorics
- 23. Meijer-G functions
- 28. Hypergeometric values of  $W_3, W_4$
- 31. Probability and Bessel J
- 39. Derivative values of  $W_3, W_4$

- We only knew  $\operatorname{Res}_{-4}(W_5)$  numerically but to 500 digits: (Bailey in about **5.5hrs** on 1 MacPro core).
  - Sidi-"mW" method used: i.e., Gaussian quadrature on intervals of  $[n\pi, (n+1)\pi]$  plus Richardson-like extrapolation.
  - July 2011.  $r_5(2)$  was identified (with help from QFT)!

$$r_5(2) \stackrel{?}{=} \frac{13}{225} r_5(1) - \frac{2}{5\pi^4} \frac{1}{r_5(1)}.$$
 (14)

- Here  $r_5(k) := \operatorname{Res}_{(-2k)}(W_5)$ . Other residues are then combinations as follows:
- From the  $W_5$ -recursion: given  $r_5(0) = 0, r_5(1)$  and  $r_5(2)$  we have

$$\begin{split} r_5(k+3) &= \frac{k^4 r_5(k) - \left(5 + 28\,k + 63\,k^2 + 70\,k^3 + 35\,k^4\right) r_5(k+1)}{225(k+1)^2(k+2)^2} \\ &+ \frac{\left(285 + 518\,k + 259\,k^2\right) r_5(k+2)}{225(k+2)^2}. \end{split}$$

- 17. Combinatorics
- 23. Meijer-G functions
- 28. Hypergeometric values of  $W_3, W_4$
- 31. Probability and Bessel J
- 39. Derivative values of  $W_3, W_4$

- We only knew  $\operatorname{Res}_{-4}(W_5)$  numerically but to 500 digits: (Bailey in about **5.5hrs** on 1 MacPro core).
  - Sidi-"mW" method used: i.e., Gaussian quadrature on intervals of  $[n\pi,(n+1)\pi]$  plus Richardson-like extrapolation.
  - July 2011.  $r_5(2)$  was identified (with help from QFT)!

$$r_5(2) \stackrel{?}{=} \frac{13}{225} r_5(1) - \frac{2}{5\pi^4} \frac{1}{r_5(1)}.$$
 (14)

- Here  $r_5(k) := \operatorname{Res}_{(-2k)}(W_5)$ . Other residues are then combinations as follows:
- From the  $W_5$ -recursion: given  $r_5(0) = 0, r_5(1)$  and  $r_5(2)$  we have

$$\begin{split} r_5(k+3) &= \frac{k^4 r_5(k) - \left(5 + 28\,k + 63\,k^2 + 70\,k^3 + 35\,k^4\right) r_5(k+1)}{225(k+1)^2(k+2)^2} \\ &+ \frac{\left(285 + 518\,k + 259\,k^2\right) r_5(k+2)}{225(k+2)^2}. \end{split}$$

- 17. Combinatorics
- 23. Meijer-G functions
- 28. Hypergeometric values of  $W_3, W_4$
- 31. Probability and Bessel J
- 39. Derivative values of  $W_3, W_4$

## $W_5$ and $p_5$ : Bessel integrals are hard

- We only knew  $\operatorname{Res}_{-4}(W_5)$  numerically but to 500 digits: (Bailey in about **5.5hrs** on 1 MacPro core).
  - Sidi-"mW" method used: i.e., Gaussian quadrature on intervals of  $[n\pi,(n+1)\pi]$  plus Richardson-like extrapolation.
  - July 2011.  $r_5(2)$  was identified (with help from QFT)!

$$r_5(2) \stackrel{?}{=} \frac{13}{225} r_5(1) - \frac{2}{5\pi^4} \frac{1}{r_5(1)}.$$
 (14)

CARM

• Here  $r_5(k) := \operatorname{Res}_{(-2k)}(W_5)$ . Other residues are then combinations as follows:

J.M. Borwein

• From the  $W_5$ -recursion: given  $r_5(0) = 0, r_5(1)$  and  $r_5(2)$  we have

$$r_5(k+3) = \frac{k^4 r_5(k) - (5 + 28 k + 63 k^2 + 70 k^3 + 35 k^4) r_5(k+1)}{225(k+1)^2(k+2)^2}$$

Mahler Measures

- 17. Combinatorics
- 23. Meijer-G functions
- 28. Hypergeometric values of  $W_3, W_4$
- 31. Probability and Bessel J
- 39. Derivative values of  $W_3, W_4$

- We only knew  $\operatorname{Res}_{-4}(W_5)$  numerically but to 500 digits: (Bailey in about **5.5hrs** on 1 MacPro core).
  - Sidi-"mW" method used: i.e., Gaussian quadrature on intervals of  $[n\pi,(n+1)\pi]$  plus Richardson-like extrapolation.
  - July 2011.  $r_5(2)$  was identified (with help from QFT)!

$$r_5(2) \stackrel{?}{=} \frac{13}{225} r_5(1) - \frac{2}{5\pi^4} \frac{1}{r_5(1)}.$$
 (14)

- Here  $r_5(k) := \operatorname{Res}_{(-2k)}(W_5)$ . Other residues are then combinations as follows:
- From the  $W_5$ -recursion: given  $r_5(0) = 0, r_5(1)$  and  $r_5(2)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} r_5(k+3) &= \frac{k^4 r_5(k) - \left(5 + 28\,k + 63\,k^2 + 70\,k^3 + 35\,k^4\right) r_5(k+1)}{225(k+1)^2(k+2)^2} \\ &+ \frac{\left(285 + 518\,k + 259\,k^2\right) r_5(k+2)}{225(k+2)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

- 17. Combinatorics
- 23. Meijer-G functions
- 28. Hypergeometric values of  $W_3, W_4$
- 31. Probability and Bessel J
- **39.** Derivative values of  $W_3, W_4$

## $W_5$ and $p_5$ : Bessel integrals can be hard



Figure: The series at zero and  $p_5$ .

• **1963**. Fettis first rigorously established nonlinearity. A few more residues yield  $p_5(x) = 0.329934 x + 0.00661673 x^3 + 0.000262333 x^5 + 0.0000141185 x^7 + O(x^9)$ 

Hence the strikingly straight shape of  $p_5(x)$  on [0,1] :

"the graphical construction, however carefully reinvestigated, did not permit of our considering the curve to be anything but a *straight* line... Even if it is not absolutely true, it exemplifies the extraordinary power of such integrals of J products to give extremely close approximations to such simple forms as horizontal lines." — Karl Pearson (1906)

- 17. Combinatorics
- 23. Meijer-G functions
- 28. Hypergeometric values of  $W_3, W_4$
- 31. Probability and Bessel J
- **39.** Derivative values of  $W_3, W_4$

## $W_5$ and $p_5$ : Bessel integrals can be hard



Figure: The series at zero and  $p_5$ .

• **1963**. Fettis first rigorously established nonlinearity. A few more residues yield  $p_5(x) = 0.329934 x + 0.00661673 x^3 + 0.000262333 x^5 + 0.0000141185 x^7 + O(x^9)$ 

Hence the strikingly straight shape of  $p_5(x)$  on [0,1] :

"the graphical construction, however carefully reinvestigated, did not permit of our considering the curve to be anything but a *straight* line... Even if it is not absolutely true, it exemplifies the extraordinary power of such integrals of J products to give extremely close approximations to such simple forms as horizontal lines." — Karl Pearson (1906)

- 17. Combinatorics
- 23. Meijer-G functions
- 28. Hypergeometric values of  $W_3, W_4$
- 31. Probability and Bessel J
- **39.** Derivative values of  $W_3, W_4$

## $W_5$ and $p_5$ : Bessel integrals can be hard



Figure: The series at zero and  $p_5$ .

• **1963**. Fettis first rigorously established nonlinearity. A few more residues yield  $p_5(x) = 0.329934 x + 0.00661673 x^3 + 0.000262333 x^5 + 0.0000141185 x^7 + O(x^9)$ 

Hence the strikingly straight shape of  $p_5(x)$  on [0,1]:

"the graphical construction, however carefully reinvestigated, did not permit of our considering the curve to be anything but a *straight* line. . . Even if it is not absolutely true, it exemplifies the extraordinary power of such integrals of J products to give extremely close approximations to such simple forms as horizontal lines." — Karl Pearson (1906)

- 17. Combinatorics
- 23. Meijer-G functions
- 28. Hypergeometric values of  $W_3, W_4$
- 31. Probability and Bessel J
- **39.** Derivative values of  $W_3, W_4$

Short Random Walks: Derivatives of  $W_3, W_4$ 

From the hypergeometric forms above we get:

$$W_3'(0) = \frac{1}{\pi} {}_3F_2\left( \begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2} \end{array} \middle| \frac{1}{4} \right) = \frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Cl}\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right).$$
(15)

The last equality follows from setting  $\theta=\pi/6$  in the identity

$$2\sin(\theta)_{3}F_{2}\left(\frac{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}{\frac{3}{2},\frac{3}{2}}\middle|\sin^{2}\theta\right) = \operatorname{Cl}(2\theta) + 2\theta\log(2\sin\theta).$$

Also

$$W_4'(0) = \frac{4}{\pi^2 4} F_3\left(\begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 1\\ \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2} \end{array} \middle| 1\right) = \frac{7\zeta(3)}{2\pi^2}.$$
 (16)

Here  $Cl(\theta) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin(n\theta)}{n^2}$  is *Clausen's function*. Likewise:



- 17. Combinatorics
- 23. Meijer-G functions
- 28. Hypergeometric values of  $W_3, W_4$
- 31. Probability and Bessel J
- **39.** Derivative values of  $W_3, W_4$

Short Random Walks: Derivatives of  $W_3, W_4$ 

From the hypergeometric forms above we get:

$$W_{3}'(0) = \frac{1}{\pi} {}_{3}F_{2} \left( \frac{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}{\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}} \middle| \frac{1}{4} \right) = \frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Cl} \left( \frac{\pi}{3} \right).$$
(15)

The last equality follows from setting  $\theta = \pi/6$  in the identity

$$2\sin(\theta)_3 F_2\left(\begin{array}{c}\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}\\\frac{3}{2},\frac{3}{2}\end{array}\right|\sin^2\theta\right) = \operatorname{Cl}\left(2\theta\right) + 2\theta\log\left(2\sin\theta\right).$$

Also

$$W_4'(0) = \frac{4}{\pi^2} {}_4F_3\left( \begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 1\\ \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2} \end{array} \middle| 1 \right) = \frac{7\zeta(3)}{2\pi^2}.$$
 (16)

Here  $Cl(\theta) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin(n\theta)}{n^2}$  is *Clausen's function*. Likewise:



- 17. Combinatorics
- 23. Meijer-G functions
- 28. Hypergeometric values of  $W_3, W_4$
- 31. Probability and Bessel J
- 39. Derivative values of  $W_3, W_4$

Short Random Walks: Derivatives of  $W_3, W_4$ 

From the hypergeometric forms above we get:

$$W_3'(0) = \frac{1}{\pi} {}_3F_2\left( \begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2} \end{array} \middle| \frac{1}{4} \right) = \frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Cl}\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right).$$
(15)

The last equality follows from setting  $\theta=\pi/6$  in the identity

$$2\sin(\theta)_3 F_2\left(\begin{array}{c}\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}\\\frac{3}{2},\frac{3}{2}\end{array}\right|\sin^2\theta\right) = \operatorname{Cl}\left(2\theta\right) + 2\theta\log\left(2\sin\theta\right).$$

Also

$$W_4'(0) = \frac{4}{\pi^2} {}_4F_3\left( \left. \frac{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 1}{\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}} \right| 1 \right) = \frac{7\zeta(3)}{2\pi^2}.$$
 (16)

Here  $\operatorname{Cl}(\theta) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin(n\theta)}{n^2}$  is *Clausen's function*. Likewise:  $W'_3(2) = \frac{3}{\pi} \operatorname{Cl}\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right) - \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{2\pi} + 2\dots$ 

CARMA

41. Relations to  $\eta$ 

- 42. Smyth's results revisited
- 44. Boyd's Conjectures

Multiple Mahler Measures: for  $P_1, P_2, \ldots, P_m$ 

$$\mu(P_1, P_2, \dots, P_m) := \int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1 \prod_{k=1}^m \log \left| P_k\left( e^{2\pi i \theta_1}, \cdots, e^{2\pi i \theta_n} \right) \right| \, d\theta_1 \cdots d\theta_n,$$

was introduced by Sasaki (2010); while

 $\mu_m(P) := \mu(P, P, \dots, P), \qquad (\mu_1(P) = \mu(P))$ 

is a higher Mahler measure as in (KLO) Kurakowa, Lalín and Ochiai (2008). Also

$$u_m\left(1+\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} x_k\right) = W_n^{(m)}(\mathbf{0}),$$
(17)

was evaluated in (15), (16) for n = 3 and n = 4 and m = 1:

**1**  $\mu(1+x+y) = L'_3(-1) = \frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Cl}\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right)$  (Smyth)

**2**  $\mu(1 + x + y + z) = 14\zeta'(-2) = \frac{7}{2}\frac{\zeta(3)}{\pi^2}$  (Smyth - So (17) recontured both Smyth's results



41. Relations to  $\eta$ 

- 42. Smyth's results revisited
- 44. Boyd's Conjectures

Multiple Mahler Measures: for  $P_1, P_2, \ldots, P_m$ 

$$\mu(P_1, P_2, \dots, P_m) := \int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1 \prod_{k=1}^m \log \left| P_k \left( e^{2\pi i \theta_1}, \cdots, e^{2\pi i \theta_n} \right) \right| \, d\theta_1 \cdots d\theta_n,$$

was introduced by Sasaki (2010); while

 $\mu_m(P) := \mu(P, P, \dots, P), \qquad (\mu_1(P) = \mu(P))$ 

is a higher Mahler measure as in (KLO) Kurakowa, Lalín and Ochiai (2008). Also

$$u_m\left(1+\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} x_k\right) = W_n^{(m)}(\mathbf{0}),$$
(17)

was evaluated in (15), (16) for n = 3 and n = 4 and m = 1:

**1**  $\mu(1+x+y) = L'_3(-1) = \frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Cl}\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right)$  (Smyth)

- 2  $\mu(1+x+y+z) = 14 \zeta'(-2) = \frac{7}{2} \frac{\zeta(3)}{\pi^2}$  (Smyth)
  - So (17) recaptured both Smyth's results.

41. Relations to  $\eta$ 

- 42. Smyth's results revisited
- 44. Boyd's Conjectures

Multiple Mahler Measures: for  $P_1, P_2, \ldots, P_m$ 

$$\mu(P_1, P_2, \dots, P_m) := \int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1 \prod_{k=1}^m \log \left| P_k \left( e^{2\pi i \theta_1}, \cdots, e^{2\pi i \theta_n} \right) \right| \, d\theta_1 \cdots d\theta_n,$$

was introduced by Sasaki (2010); while

 $\mu_m(P) := \mu(P, P, \dots, P), \qquad (\mu_1(P) = \mu(P))$ 

is a higher Mahler measure as in (KLO) Kurakowa, Lalín and Ochiai (2008). Also

$$u_m\left(1+\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} x_k\right) = W_n^{(m)}(\mathbf{0}),$$
(17)

CARM

was evaluated in (15), (16) for n = 3 and n = 4 and m = 1:

- **1**  $\mu(1+x+y) = L'_3(-1) = \frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Cl}\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right)$  (Smyth)
- **2**  $\mu(1 + x + y + z) = 14 \zeta'(-2) = \frac{7}{2} \frac{\zeta(3)}{\pi^2}$  (Smyth)
- So (17) recaptured both Smyth's results.

41. Relations to  $\eta$ 

- 42. Smyth's results revisited
- 44. Boyd's Conjectures

Multiple Mahler Measures: for  $P_1, P_2, \ldots, P_m$ 

$$\mu(P_1, P_2, \dots, P_m) := \int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1 \prod_{k=1}^m \log \left| P_k \left( e^{2\pi i \theta_1}, \cdots, e^{2\pi i \theta_n} \right) \right| \, d\theta_1 \cdots d\theta_n,$$

was introduced by Sasaki (2010); while

 $\mu_m(P) := \mu(P, P, \dots, P), \qquad (\mu_1(P) = \mu(P))$ 

is a higher Mahler measure as in (KLO) Kurakowa, Lalín and Ochiai (2008). Also

$$\mu_m\left(1+\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} x_k\right) = W_n^{(m)}(\mathbf{0}),$$
(17)

was evaluated in (15), (16) for n = 3 and n = 4 and m = 1:

- **1**  $\mu(1+x+y) = L'_3(-1) = \frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Cl}\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right)$  (Smyth)
- **2**  $\mu(1 + x + y + z) = 14 \zeta'(-2) = \frac{7}{2} \frac{\zeta(3)}{\pi^2}$  (Smyth So (17) recentured both Smyth's results



41. Relations to  $\eta$ 

- 42. Smyth's results revisited
- 44. Boyd's Conjectures

Multiple Mahler Measures: for  $P_1, P_2, \ldots, P_m$ 

$$\mu(P_1, P_2, \dots, P_m) := \int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1 \prod_{k=1}^m \log \left| P_k \left( e^{2\pi i \theta_1}, \cdots, e^{2\pi i \theta_n} \right) \right| \, d\theta_1 \cdots d\theta_n,$$

was introduced by Sasaki (2010); while

 $\mu_m(P) := \mu(P, P, \dots, P), \qquad (\mu_1(P) = \mu(P))$ 

is a higher Mahler measure as in (KLO) Kurakowa, Lalín and Ochiai (2008). Also

$$\iota_m\left(1+\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} x_k\right) = W_n^{(m)}(\mathbf{0}),$$
(17)

was evaluated in (15), (16) for n = 3 and n = 4 and m = 1:

- **1**  $\mu(1+x+y) = L'_3(-1) = \frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Cl}\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right)$  (Smyth)
- **2**  $\mu(1+x+y+z) = 14\zeta'(-2) = \frac{7}{2}\frac{\zeta(3)}{\pi^2}$  (Smyth)
- So (17) recaptured both Smyth's results.



41. Relations to η
42. Smyth's results revisited
44. Boyd's Conjectures

## Relations to Dedekind's $\eta$

Denninger's 1997 conjecture, proven recently by Rogers and Zudilin (2011), is

$$\mu(1+x+y+1/x+1/y) \stackrel{?}{=} \frac{15}{4\pi^2} L_E(2)$$

– an L-series value for an elliptic curve E with conductor 15.

• For (17) with n = 5, 6 conjectures of Villegas become:

$$\begin{split} W_{5}^{'}(0) &\stackrel{?}{=} & \left(\frac{15}{4\pi^{2}}\right)^{5/2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \left\{\eta^{3}(e^{-3t})\eta^{3}(e^{-5t}) + \eta^{3}(e^{-t})\eta^{3}(e^{-15t})\right\} t^{3} \,\mathrm{d}t \\ W_{6}^{'}(0) &\stackrel{?}{=} & \left(\frac{3}{\pi^{2}}\right)^{3} \int_{0}^{\infty} \eta^{2}(e^{-t})\eta^{2}(e^{-2t})\eta^{2}(e^{-3t})\eta^{2}(e^{-6t}) t^{4} \,\mathrm{d}t \end{split}$$

where Dedekind's  $\eta$  is  $\eta(q):=q^{1/24}\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}(-1)^nq^{n(3n+1)/4}$ 

• Confirmed to 600 (Sidi) and to 80 digits respectively.



41. Relations to η
42. Smyth's results revisited
44. Boyd's Conjectures

## Relations to Dedekind's $\eta$

Denninger's 1997 conjecture, proven recently by Rogers and Zudilin (2011), is

$$\mu(1+x+y+1/x+1/y) \stackrel{?}{=} \frac{15}{4\pi^2} L_E(2)$$

– an L-series value for an elliptic curve E with conductor 15.

• For (17) with n = 5, 6 conjectures of Villegas become:

$$\begin{split} W_{5}^{'}(0) &\stackrel{?}{=} & \left(\frac{15}{4\pi^{2}}\right)^{5/2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \left\{\eta^{3}(e^{-3t})\eta^{3}(e^{-5t}) + \eta^{3}(e^{-t})\eta^{3}(e^{-15t})\right\} t^{3} \,\mathrm{d}t \\ W_{6}^{'}(0) &\stackrel{?}{=} & \left(\frac{3}{\pi^{2}}\right)^{3} \int_{0}^{\infty} \eta^{2}(e^{-t})\eta^{2}(e^{-2t})\eta^{2}(e^{-3t})\eta^{2}(e^{-6t}) t^{4} \,\mathrm{d}t \end{split}$$

where Dedekind's  $\eta$  is  $\eta(q) := q^{1/24} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^n q^{n(3n+1)/4}$ . • Confirmed to 600 (Sidi) and to 80 digits respectively.

41. Relations to η
42. Smyth's results revisited
44. Boyd's Conjectures

## Relations to Dedekind's $\eta$

Denninger's 1997 conjecture, proven recently by Rogers and Zudilin (2011), is

$$\mu(1+x+y+1/x+1/y) \stackrel{?}{=} \frac{15}{4\pi^2} L_E(2)$$

– an L-series value for an elliptic curve E with conductor 15.

• For (17) with n = 5, 6 conjectures of Villegas become:

$$\begin{split} W_{5}^{'}(0) &\stackrel{?}{=} & \left(\frac{15}{4\pi^{2}}\right)^{5/2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \left\{\eta^{3}(e^{-3t})\eta^{3}(e^{-5t}) + \eta^{3}(e^{-t})\eta^{3}(e^{-15t})\right\} t^{3} \,\mathrm{d}t \\ W_{6}^{'}(0) &\stackrel{?}{=} & \left(\frac{3}{\pi^{2}}\right)^{3} \int_{0}^{\infty} \eta^{2}(e^{-t})\eta^{2}(e^{-2t})\eta^{2}(e^{-3t})\eta^{2}(e^{-6t}) t^{4} \,\mathrm{d}t \end{split}$$

CARMA

where Dedekind's  $\eta$  is  $\eta(q) := q^{1/24} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^n q^{n(3n+1)/4}$ .

• Confirmed to 600 (Sidi) and to 80 digits respectively.

41. Relations to  $\eta$ 42. Smyth's results revisited 44. Boyd's Conjectures

$$\mu(1+x+y)$$
 and  $\mu(1+x+y+z)$  revisited

We recall:

Lemma (Jensen's formula)

$$\int_{0}^{1} \log \left| \alpha + e^{2\pi i t} \right| \, \mathrm{d}t = \log \left( \max\{|\alpha|, 1\} \right).$$
 (18)

We use (18) to reduce to a one dimensional integral:

$$\mu(1+x+y) = \int_{1/6}^{5/6} \log(2\sin(\pi y)) \,\mathrm{d}y = \frac{1}{\pi} \,\mathrm{Ls}_2\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right) = \frac{1}{\pi} \,\mathrm{Cl}_2\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right),$$

which is (15).



41. Relations to  $\eta$ 42. Smyth's results revisited 44. Boyd's Conjectures

CARMA

## $\mu(1+x+y)$ and $\mu(1+x+y+z)$ revisited

Following Boyd, on applying Jensen's formula, for complex a and b we have  $\mu(ax + b) = \log |a| \vee \log |b|$ . Let w := y/z. We now write

$$\begin{split} \mu(\mathbf{1} + x + y + z) &= \mu(\mathbf{1} + x + z(\mathbf{1} + w)) = \mu(\log|\mathbf{1} + w| \lor \log|\mathbf{1} + x|) \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_0^{\pi} \mathrm{d}\theta \int_0^{\pi} \max\left\{\log\left(2\sin\frac{\theta}{2}\right), \log 2\left(\sin\frac{t}{2}\right)\right\} \,\mathrm{d}t \\ &= \frac{2}{\pi^2} \int_0^{\pi} \mathrm{d}\theta \int_0^{\theta} \log\left(2\sin\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \,\mathrm{d}t \\ &= \frac{2}{\pi^2} \int_0^{\pi} \theta \log\left(2\sin\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \,\mathrm{d}\theta \\ &= -\frac{2}{\pi^2} \,\mathrm{Ls}_3^{(1)}(\pi) = \frac{7}{2} \frac{\zeta(3)}{\pi^2}, \end{split}$$

which is (16).

41. Relations to  $\eta$ 42. Smyth's results revisited 44. Boyd's Conjectures

## Boyd's 1998 Conjectures

#### Theorem (Two quadratic evaluations)

Below  $L_{-n}$  is a primitive L-series and G is Catalan's constant.

$$\mu_{3} := \mu(y^{2}(x+1)^{2} + y(x^{2} + \mathbf{6}x + 1) + (x+1)^{2}) = \frac{16}{3\pi} L_{-4}(2)$$

$$= \frac{16}{3\pi} G,$$

$$\mu_{-5} := \mu(y^{2}(x+1)^{2} + y(x^{2} - \mathbf{10}x + 1) + (x+1)^{2}) = \frac{5\sqrt{3}}{\pi} L_{-3}(2)$$

$$= \frac{20}{3\pi} \operatorname{Cl}_{2}\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right).$$

CARMA

41. Relations to  $\eta$ 42. Smyth's results revisited 44. Boyd's Conjectures

## Log-sine Integrals are Again Inside

First proven in **2008** using Bloch-Wigner logarithms, we used a variant of Jensen's formula and slick trigonometry to arrive at:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_3 &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \log(1+4|\cos\theta| + 4|\cos^2\theta|) \,\mathrm{d}\theta \\ &= \frac{4}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi/2} \log(1+2\cos\theta) \,\mathrm{d}\theta \\ &= \frac{4}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi/2} \log\left(\frac{2\sin\frac{3\theta}{2}}{2\sin\frac{\theta}{2}}\right) \,\mathrm{d}\theta \\ &= \frac{4}{3\pi} \left( \mathrm{Ls}_2\left(\frac{3\pi}{2}\right) - 3\,\mathrm{Ls}_2\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right) \right) = \frac{16}{3} \frac{\mathrm{L}_{-4}(2)}{\pi} \end{aligned}$$

as needed, since  $Ls_2\left(\frac{3\pi}{2}\right) = -Ls_2\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right) = L_{-4}(2)$ , which is Catalan's G. ( $\mu_5$  is similar.)

41. Relations to  $\eta$ 42. Smyth's results revisited 44. Boyd's Conjectures

## Log-sine Integrals are Again Inside

First proven in **2008** using Bloch-Wigner logarithms, we used a variant of Jensen's formula and slick trigonometry to arrive at:

$$\mu_{3} = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} \log(1+4|\cos\theta|+4|\cos^{2}\theta|) d\theta$$
  
=  $\frac{4}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi/2} \log(1+2\cos\theta) d\theta$   
=  $\frac{4}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi/2} \log\left(\frac{2\sin\frac{3\theta}{2}}{2\sin\frac{\theta}{2}}\right) d\theta$   
=  $\frac{4}{3\pi} \left( Ls_{2}\left(\frac{3\pi}{2}\right) - 3Ls_{2}\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right) \right) = \frac{16}{3} \frac{L_{-4}(2)}{\pi}$ 

as needed, since  $Ls_2\left(\frac{3\pi}{2}\right) = -Ls_2\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right) = L_{-4}(2)$ , which is Catalan's G. ( $\mu_5$  is similar.)

CARMA

46. Sasaki's Mahler Measures 53. Three Cognate Evaluations 55. KLO's Mahler Measures

59. Conclusion

## Sasaki's Multiple Mahler Measures

 $\mu_k(1+x+y_*) := \mu(1+x+y_1, 1+x+y_2, \dots, 1+x+y_k)$ 

was studied by Sasaki (2010). He used (18) to observe that

$$\mu_k(1+x+y_*) = -\int_{1/6}^{5/6} \log^k \left| 1 + e^{2\pi i t} \right| \, \mathrm{d}t \tag{19}$$

and so provides an evaluation of  $\mu_2(1 + x + y_*)$ . Immediately from (19) and the definition of the log-sine integrals we have:

Theorem (For k = 1, 2, ...)

$$\mu_k(1+x+y_*) = \frac{1}{\pi} \left\{ \operatorname{Ls}_{k+1}\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right) - \operatorname{Ls}_{k+1}(\pi) \right\}, \qquad (20)$$

where  $Ls_{k+1}$  is as given by (1).

MA

46. Sasaki's Mahler Measures 53. Three Cognate Evaluations

55. KLO's Mahler Measures

59. Conclusion

## Sasaki's Multiple Mahler Measures

 $\mu_k(1+x+y_*) := \mu(1+x+y_1, 1+x+y_2, \dots, 1+x+y_k)$ 

was studied by Sasaki (2010). He used (18) to observe that

$$\mu_k(1+x+y_*) = -\int_{1/6}^{5/6} \log^k \left| 1 + e^{2\pi i t} \right| \, \mathrm{d}t \tag{19}$$

and so provides an evaluation of  $\mu_2(1 + x + y_*)$ . Immediately from (19) and the definition of the log-sine integrals we have:

#### Theorem (For k = 1, 2, ...)

$$\mu_k(1+x+y_*) = \frac{1}{\pi} \left\{ \operatorname{Ls}_{k+1}\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right) - \operatorname{Ls}_{k+1}(\pi) \right\}, \qquad (20)$$

where  $Ls_{k+1}$  is as given by (1).

MA

46. Sasaki's Mahler Measures 53. Three Cognate Evaluations 55. KLO's Mahler Measures 59. Conclusion

MA

# $\mathrm{Ls}_{k}\left(\pi ight)$ and $\mathrm{Ls}_{n}^{\left(k ight)}\left(\pi ight)$

$$-\frac{1}{\pi}\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\operatorname{Ls}_{m+1}(\pi)\;\frac{u^m}{m!} = \frac{\Gamma\left(1+u\right)}{\Gamma^2\left(1+\frac{u}{2}\right)} = \binom{u}{u/2}.$$
 (21)

#### Example (Values of $Ls_n(\pi)$ )

For instance, we have  $\mathrm{Ls}_{2}\left(\pi\right)=0$  as well as

$$-\operatorname{Ls}_{3}(\pi) = \frac{1}{12}\pi^{3} \qquad \operatorname{Ls}_{4}(\pi) = \frac{3}{2}\pi\zeta(3)$$
$$-\operatorname{Ls}_{5}(\pi) = \frac{19}{240}\pi^{5} \qquad \operatorname{Ls}_{6}(\pi) = \frac{45}{2}\pi\zeta(5) + \frac{5}{4}\pi^{3}\zeta(3)$$
$$-\operatorname{Ls}_{7}(\pi) = \frac{275}{1344}\pi^{7} + \frac{45}{2}\pi\zeta^{2}(3)$$

46. Sasaki's Mahler Measures 53. Three Cognate Evaluations 55. KLO's Mahler Measures 59. Conclusion

# $\mathrm{Ls}_{n}\left(\pi ight)$ and $\mathrm{Ls}_{n}^{\left(k ight)}\left(\pi ight)$

# Equation (21) is made for a CAS (Mma, Sage or Maple): for k to 7 do simplify(subs(x=0,diff(Pi\*binomial(x,x/2),x\$k))) od We studied general log-sine evaluations with an emphasis on automatic provable evaluations. For example:

Theorem (Borwein-Straub)

For  $2|\mu| < \lambda < 1$  we have

$$-\sum_{n,k\geq 0} \operatorname{Ls}_{n+k+1}^{(k)}(\pi) \frac{\lambda^n}{n!} \frac{(i\mu)^k}{k!} = i \sum_{n\geq 0} \binom{\lambda}{n} \frac{(-1)^n e^{i\pi\frac{\lambda}{2}} - e^{i\pi\mu}}{\mu - \frac{\lambda}{2} + n}$$



46. Sasaki's Mahler Measures 53. Three Cognate Evaluations 55. KLO's Mahler Measures 59. Conclusion

CARMA

## $\mathrm{Ls}_{n}\left(\pi ight)$ and $\mathrm{Ls}_{n}^{\left(k ight)}\left(\pi ight)$

Equation (21) is made for a CAS (Mma, Sage or Maple): for k to 7 do

simplify(subs(x=0,diff(Pi\*binomial(x,x/2),x\$k))) od We studied general log-sine evaluations with an emphasis on automatic provable evaluations. For example:

#### Theorem (Borwein-Straub)

For  $2|\mu| < \lambda < 1$  we have

$$-\sum_{n,k\geq 0} \operatorname{Ls}_{n+k+1}^{(k)}(\pi) \frac{\lambda^n}{n!} \frac{(i\mu)^k}{k!} = i \sum_{n\geq 0} \binom{\lambda}{n} \frac{(-1)^n e^{i\pi\frac{\lambda}{2}} - e^{i\pi\mu}}{\mu - \frac{\lambda}{2} + n}.$$

46. Sasaki's Mahler Measures 53. Three Cognate Evaluations 55. KLO's Mahler Measures 59. Conclusion

CLARMA

## $\mathrm{Ls}_{n}^{\left(k ight)}\left( au ight)$ is Made of Sterner Stuff.

• Contour integration and "polylogarithmics" yield an ugly but very efficient result:

Theorem (Reduction Theorem for  $0 \le \tau \le 2\pi$ )

For n, k such that  $n - k \ge 2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta(k,\{1\}^n) &- \sum_{j=0}^{k-2} \frac{(-i\tau)^j}{j!} \operatorname{Li}_{k-j,\{1\}^n}(\mathrm{e}^{i\tau}) \\ &= \frac{(-i)^{k-1}}{(k-2)!} \frac{(-1)^n}{(n+1)!} \sum_{r=0}^{n+1} \sum_{m=0}^r \binom{n+1}{r} \binom{r}{m} \left(\frac{i}{2}\right)^r (-\pi)^{r-m} \operatorname{Ls}_{n+k-(r-m)}^{(k+m-2)}(\tau). \end{aligned}$$

where  $\operatorname{Li}_{2+k-j,\{1\}^{n-k-2}}(e^{i\tau})$  is a harmonic polylogarithm and  $\zeta(n-k,\{1\}^k)$  is an Euler-Zagier sum.

46. Sasaki's Mahler Measures

53. Three Cognate Evaluations

MA

- 55. KLO's Mahler Measures
- 59. Conclusion

# $\mathrm{Ls}_n^{(k)}\left(rac{\pi}{3} ight)$ : A small miracle occurs: $\mathrm{e}^{-irac{\pi}{3}}=\mathrm{e}^{irac{\pi}{3}}.$

The Reduction Theorem now lets us find all values of  $Ls_n^{(k)}\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right)$ and so of  $\mu_k(1 + x + y_*)$ :

#### Example (Values of $Ls_n(\pi/3)$ )

$$Ls_{2}\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right) = Cl_{2}\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right) - Ls_{3}\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right) = \frac{7}{108}\pi^{3}$$

$$Ls_{4}\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right) = \frac{1}{2}\pi\zeta(3) + \frac{9}{2}Cl_{4}\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right)$$

$$-Ls_{5}\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right) = \frac{1543}{19440}\pi^{5} - 6Gl_{4,1}\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right)$$

$$Ls_{6}\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right) = \frac{15}{2}\pi\zeta(5) + \frac{35}{36}\pi^{3}\zeta(3) + \frac{135}{2}Cl_{6}\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right)$$

$$-Ls_{7}\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right) = \frac{74369}{326592}\pi^{7} + \frac{15}{2}\pi\zeta(3)^{2} - 135Gl_{6,1}\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right)$$

46. Sasaki's Mahler Measures 53. Three Cognate Evaluations 55. KLO's Mahler Measures 59. Conclusion

### A Result for General au

#### • An illustration of results produced by our programs:

#### Example (For $0 \le \tau \le 2\pi$ )

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Ls}_{4}^{(1)}(\tau) &= 2\zeta(3,1) - 2\operatorname{Gl}_{3,1}(\tau) - 2\tau\operatorname{Gl}_{2,1}(\tau) \\ &+ \frac{1}{4}\operatorname{Ls}_{4}^{(3)}(\tau) - \frac{1}{2}\pi\operatorname{Ls}_{3}^{(2)}(\tau) + \frac{1}{4}\pi^{2}\operatorname{Ls}_{2}^{(1)}(\tau) \\ &= \frac{1}{180}\pi^{4} - 2\operatorname{Gl}_{3,1}(\tau) - 2\tau\operatorname{Gl}_{2,1}(\tau) \\ &- \frac{1}{16}\tau^{4} + \frac{1}{6}\pi\tau^{3} - \frac{1}{8}\pi^{2}\tau^{2}. \end{aligned}$$



46. Sasaki's Mahler Measures 53. Three Cognate Evaluations 55. KLO's Mahler Measures 59. Conclusion

## A Result for General au

#### • An illustration of results produced by our programs:

#### Example (For $0 \le \tau \le 2\pi$ )

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Ls}_{4}^{(1)}(\tau) &= 2\zeta(3,1) - 2\operatorname{Gl}_{3,1}(\tau) - 2\tau\operatorname{Gl}_{2,1}(\tau) \\ &+ \frac{1}{4}\operatorname{Ls}_{4}^{(3)}(\tau) - \frac{1}{2}\pi\operatorname{Ls}_{3}^{(2)}(\tau) + \frac{1}{4}\pi^{2}\operatorname{Ls}_{2}^{(1)}(\tau) \\ &= \frac{1}{180}\pi^{4} - 2\operatorname{Gl}_{3,1}(\tau) - 2\tau\operatorname{Gl}_{2,1}(\tau) \\ &- \frac{1}{16}\tau^{4} + \frac{1}{6}\pi\tau^{3} - \frac{1}{8}\pi^{2}\tau^{2}. \end{aligned}$$



46. Sasaki's Mahler Measures

- 53. Three Cognate Evaluations
- 55. KLO's Mahler Measures
- 59. Conclusion

## Irreducibility and Binomial Sums

Example (The first presumably irreducible value for  $\pi/3$ )

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Gl}_{4,1}\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{k}}{n^4} \sin\left(\frac{n\pi}{3}\right) \\ &= \frac{3341}{1632960} \pi^5 - \frac{1}{\pi} \zeta^2(3) - \frac{3}{4\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\binom{2n}{n} n^6} \end{aligned}$$
  
while always  
$$\operatorname{Ls}_{n+2}^{(1)}\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right) &= \frac{n!(-1)^{n+1}}{2^n} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^{n+2}\binom{2k}{k}}. \end{aligned}$$

• Alternating binomial sums come from imaginary values of  $\tau$  via  $\log \sinh$  integrals at  $\rho = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$ .

CARMA
46. Sasaki's Mahler Measures

- 53. Three Cognate Evaluations
- 55. KLO's Mahler Measures
- 59. Conclusion

## Irreducibility and Binomial Sums

Example (The first presumably irreducible value for  $\pi/3$ )

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Gl}_{4,1}\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{k}}{n^4} \sin\left(\frac{n\pi}{3}\right) \\ &= \frac{3341}{1632960} \pi^5 - \frac{1}{\pi} \zeta^2(3) - \frac{3}{4\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\binom{2n}{n}n^6} \end{aligned}$$
while always
$$\operatorname{Ls}_{n+2}^{(1)}\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right) &= \frac{n!(-1)^{n+1}}{2^n} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^{n+2}\binom{2k}{k}}. \end{aligned}$$

 Alternating binomial sums come from imaginary values of τ via log sinh integrals at ρ = 1+√5/2.

CARMA

46. Sasaki's Mahler Measures 53. Three Cognate Evaluations 55. KLO's Mahler Measures 59. Conclusion

## **First** Evaluation

Let

$$\mu_k(1+x+y_*+z_*) := \mu(1+x+y_1+z_1,\ldots,1+x+y_k+z_k).$$
(22)

#### Theorem

For all positive integers k, we have

$$\mu_k(1+x+y_*+z_*) = -\frac{1}{\pi^{k+1}} \int_0^\pi \left(\theta \log\left(2\sin\frac{\theta}{2}\right) - \operatorname{Cl}_2\left(\theta\right)\right)^k \,\mathrm{d}\theta$$

Then

$$\begin{split} \mu_1(1+x+y_*+z_*) &= -\frac{2}{\pi^2} \operatorname{Ls}_3^{(1)}(\pi) = \frac{7}{2} \frac{\zeta(3)}{\pi^2}, \\ \mu_2(1+x+y_*+z_*) &= -\frac{1}{\pi^3} \operatorname{Ls}_5^{(2)}(\pi) + \frac{\pi^2}{90} = \frac{4}{\pi^2} \operatorname{Li}_{3,1}(-1) + \frac{7}{360} \pi^2. \end{split}$$

46. Sasaki's Mahler Measures 53. Three Cognate Evaluations 55. KLO's Mahler Measures 59. Conclusion

Two More Evaluations: with Kummer-type logarithms

Let

$$\lambda_n(x) := (n-2)! \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \operatorname{Li}_{n-k}(x) \log^k |x| + \frac{(-1)^n}{n} \log^n |x|,$$

so that

$$\lambda_1\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \log 2, \quad \lambda_2\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{2}\zeta(2), \quad \lambda_3\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \frac{7}{8}\zeta(3),$$

and  $\lambda_4\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$  is the first to reveal the presence of  $\operatorname{Li}_n\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$ . From the value of  $W_4''(0)$  we derive:

### Theorem

$$\mu_2(1+x+y+z) = \frac{12}{\pi^2} \lambda_4 \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) - \frac{\pi^2}{5}$$
$$\mu(1+x, 1+x, 1+x+y+z) = \frac{4}{3\pi^2} \lambda_5 \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) - \frac{3}{4}\zeta(3) + \frac{31}{16\pi^2}\zeta(5).$$

Mahler Measures

46. Sasaki's Mahler Measures 53. Three Cognate Evaluations 55. KLO's Mahler Measures 59. Conclusion

## KLO's Mahler Measures

### Theorem (Hypergeometric forms for $\mu_n(1 + x + y)$ )

For complex |s| < 2, we may write

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mu_n (1+x+y) \frac{s^n}{n!} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2\pi} 3^{s+1} \frac{\Gamma(1+\frac{s}{2})^2}{\Gamma(s+2)} {}_3F_2 \left( \begin{array}{c} \frac{s+2}{2}, \frac{s+2}{2}, \frac{s+2}{2} \\ 1, \frac{s+3}{2} \end{array} \right) \left| \frac{1}{4} \right)$$
(23)
$$= \frac{\sqrt{3}}{\pi} \left( \frac{3}{2} \right)^{s+1} \int_0^1 \frac{z^{1+s} {}_2F_1 \left( \frac{1+\frac{s}{2}, 1+\frac{s}{2}}{1} \right) \frac{z^2}{4} }{\sqrt{1-z^2}} dz.$$

CARMA

46. Sasaki's Mahler Measures 53. Three Cognate Evaluations 55. KLO's Mahler Measures

59. Conclusion

## Evaluation of $\mu_n(1 + x + y)$ Requires a Taylor Expansion

Consider

$${}_{3}F_{2}\left(\begin{array}{c}\frac{\varepsilon+2}{2},\frac{\varepsilon+2}{2},\frac{\varepsilon+2}{2}\\1,\frac{\varepsilon+3}{2}\end{array}\middle|\frac{1}{4}\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\alpha_{n}\varepsilon^{n}.$$
(24)

Indeed, from (23) and Leibnitz' rule we have

$$\mu_n(1+x+y) = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2\pi} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \alpha_k \beta_{n-k}$$
(25)

where  $\beta_k$  is defined by

$$3^{\varepsilon+1} \frac{\Gamma(1+\frac{\varepsilon}{2})^2}{\Gamma(\varepsilon+2)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_n \varepsilon^n.$$

Note, as above, that  $\beta_k$  is easy to compute.



46. Sasaki's Mahler Measures 53. Three Cognate Evaluations 55. KLO's Mahler Measures 59. Conclusion

MA

# Faà di Bruno's Formula

We can now read off the terms  $\alpha_n$  of the  $\varepsilon$ -expansion:

Theorem (For n = 0, 1, 2, ...) Let  $A_{k,j} := \sum_{m=2}^{2j-1} \frac{2(-1)^{m+1}-1}{m^k}$ . Then  $[\varepsilon^n]_3 F_2 \left( \frac{\varepsilon+2}{2}, \frac{\varepsilon+2}{2}, \frac{\varepsilon+2}{2} \Big| \frac{1}{4} \right) = (-1)^n \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{j} \frac{1}{\binom{2j}{j}} \sum \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{A_{k,j}^{m_k}}{m_k! k^{m_k}}$ (26)

where we sum over all  $m_1, \ldots, m_n$  with  $m_1 + 2m_2 + \ldots + nm_n = n$ .

#### Proof.

Equation (26) follows from (23) on using Faà di Bruno's formula for the *n*-th derivative of the composition on two functions via Pochhammer notation.

46. Sasaki's Mahler Measures 53. Three Cognate Evaluations 55. KLO's Mahler Measures 59. Conclusion

MA

# Faà di Bruno's Formula

We can now read off the terms  $\alpha_n$  of the  $\varepsilon$ -expansion:

Theorem (For n = 0, 1, 2, ...) Let  $A_{k,j} := \sum_{m=2}^{2j-1} \frac{2(-1)^{m+1}-1}{m^k}$ . Then  $[\varepsilon^n]_{3}F_2\left(\frac{\varepsilon+2}{2}, \frac{\varepsilon+2}{2}, \frac{\varepsilon+2}{2} \left| \frac{1}{4} \right) = (-1)^n \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{j} \frac{1}{\binom{2j}{j}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{A_{k,j}^{m_k}}{m_k!k^{m_k}}$ (26) where we sum over all  $m_1, ..., m_n$  with  $m_1 + 2m_2 + ... + nm_n = n$ .

#### Proof.

Equation (26) follows from (23) on using Faà di Bruno's formula for the *n*-th derivative of the composition on two functions via Pochhammer notation.

46. Sasaki's Mahler Measures 53. Three Cognate Evaluations 55. KLO's Mahler Measures 59. Conclusion

MA

# Faà di Bruno's Formula

We can now read off the terms  $\alpha_n$  of the  $\varepsilon$ -expansion:

Theorem (For  $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ ) Let  $A_{k,j} := \sum_{m=2}^{2j-1} \frac{2(-1)^{m+1}-1}{m^k}$ . Then  $\left[\varepsilon^{n}\right]_{3}F_{2}\left(\frac{\varepsilon+2}{2},\frac{\varepsilon+2}{2},\frac{\varepsilon+2}{2}\\1,\frac{\varepsilon+3}{2}\right)=(-1)^{n}\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\frac{2}{j}\frac{1}{\binom{2j}{i}}\sum\prod_{k=1}^{n}\frac{A_{k,j}^{m_{k}}}{m_{k}!k^{m_{k}}}$ (26)

where we sum over all  $m_1, \ldots, m_n$  with  $m_1 + 2m_2 + \ldots + nm_n = n$ .

#### Proof.

Equation (26) follows from (23) on using Faà di Bruno's formula for the n-th derivative of the composition on two functions via Pochhammer notation.

46. Sasaki's Mahler Measures 53. Three Cognate Evaluations 55. KLO's Mahler Measures 59. Conclusion

Davydychev and Kalmykov's Binomial Sums Yield:

### Example

$$\mu_{1}(1 + x + y) = \frac{3}{2\pi} \operatorname{Ls}_{2}\left(\frac{2\pi}{3}\right)$$
  

$$\mu_{2}(1 + x + y) = \frac{3}{\pi} \operatorname{Ls}_{3}\left(\frac{2\pi}{3}\right) + \frac{\pi^{2}}{4}$$
  

$$\mu_{3}(1 + x + y) \stackrel{?}{=} \frac{6}{\pi} \operatorname{Ls}_{4}\left(\frac{2\pi}{3}\right) - \frac{9}{\pi} \operatorname{Cl}_{4}\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right) - \frac{\pi}{4} \operatorname{Cl}_{2}\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right) - \frac{1}{2}\zeta(3).$$

As we had obtained by other methods. Also PSLQ then finds:

$$\pi\mu_4(1+x+y) \stackrel{?}{=} 12 \operatorname{Ls}_5\left(\frac{2\pi}{3}\right) - \frac{49}{3} \operatorname{Ls}_5\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right) + 81 \operatorname{Gl}_{4,1}\left(\frac{2\pi}{3}\right) \\ + 3\pi^2 \operatorname{Gl}_{2,1}\left(\frac{2\pi}{3}\right) + 2\zeta(3) \operatorname{Cl}_2\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right) + \pi \operatorname{Cl}_2\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right)^2 - \frac{29}{90}\pi^5 \operatorname{CRMA}_2$$

46. Sasaki's Mahler Measures 53. Three Cognate Evaluations 55. KLO's Mahler Measures 59. Conclusion

Davydychev and Kalmykov's Binomial Sums Yield:

### Example

$$\mu_{1}(1 + x + y) = \frac{3}{2\pi} \operatorname{Ls}_{2}\left(\frac{2\pi}{3}\right)$$
  

$$\mu_{2}(1 + x + y) = \frac{3}{\pi} \operatorname{Ls}_{3}\left(\frac{2\pi}{3}\right) + \frac{\pi^{2}}{4}$$
  

$$\mu_{3}(1 + x + y) \stackrel{?}{=} \frac{6}{\pi} \operatorname{Ls}_{4}\left(\frac{2\pi}{3}\right) - \frac{9}{\pi} \operatorname{Cl}_{4}\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right) - \frac{\pi}{4} \operatorname{Cl}_{2}\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right) - \frac{1}{2}\zeta(3).$$

As we had obtained by other methods. Also PSLQ then finds:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi\mu_4(1+x+y) &\stackrel{?}{=} & 12\,\mathrm{Ls}_5\left(\frac{2\pi}{3}\right) - \frac{49}{3}\,\mathrm{Ls}_5\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right) + 81\,\mathrm{Gl}_{4,1}\left(\frac{2\pi}{3}\right) \\ &+ & 3\pi^2\,\mathrm{Gl}_{2,1}\left(\frac{2\pi}{3}\right) + 2\zeta(3)\,\mathrm{Cl}_2\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right) + \pi\,\mathrm{Cl}_2\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right)^2 - \frac{29}{90}\pi^5 \end{aligned}$$

46. Sasaki's Mahler Measures 53. Three Cognate Evaluations 55. KLO's Mahler Measures 59. Conclusion

Davydychev and Kalmykov's Binomial Sums Yield:

### Example

$$\mu_{1}(1 + x + y) = \frac{3}{2\pi} \operatorname{Ls}_{2}\left(\frac{2\pi}{3}\right)$$

$$\mu_{2}(1 + x + y) = \frac{3}{\pi} \operatorname{Ls}_{3}\left(\frac{2\pi}{3}\right) + \frac{\pi^{2}}{4}$$

$$\mu_{3}(1 + x + y) \stackrel{?}{=} \frac{6}{\pi} \operatorname{Ls}_{4}\left(\frac{2\pi}{3}\right) - \frac{9}{\pi} \operatorname{Cl}_{4}\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right) - \frac{\pi}{4} \operatorname{Cl}_{2}\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right) - \frac{1}{2}\zeta(3).$$

As we had obtained by other methods. Also PSLQ then finds:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi\mu_4(1+x+y) &\stackrel{?}{=} & 12\,\mathrm{Ls}_5\left(\frac{2\pi}{3}\right) - \frac{49}{3}\,\mathrm{Ls}_5\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right) + 81\,\mathrm{Gl}_{4,1}\left(\frac{2\pi}{3}\right) \\ &+ & 3\pi^2\,\mathrm{Gl}_{2,1}\left(\frac{2\pi}{3}\right) + 2\zeta(3)\,\mathrm{Cl}_2\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right) + \pi\,\mathrm{Cl}_2\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right)^2 - \frac{29}{90}\pi^5. \end{aligned}$$

## Conclusion

We also have generalized arctangent forms, such as:

$$\mu_2(1+x+y) = \frac{24}{5\pi} \operatorname{Ti}_3\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\right) + \frac{2\log 3}{\pi} \operatorname{Cl}_2\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right) - \frac{\log^2 3}{10} - \frac{19\pi^2}{180}$$

**①** We still seek for a complete accounting of  $\mu_n(1+x+y)$ .

- **O** Our log-sine and MZV algorithms uncovered many errors and gaps (e.g., values of Euler sums such as  $\zeta(\overline{2n+11})$  in terms of  $\operatorname{Ls}_{2n}^{(2n-3)}(\pi)$ ) in the literature.
- Automated simplification, validation and correction tools are more and more important.
- 4 Thank you!



## Conclusion

We also have generalized arctangent forms, such as:

$$\mu_2(1+x+y) = \frac{24}{5\pi} \operatorname{Ti}_3\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\right) + \frac{2\log 3}{\pi} \operatorname{Cl}_2\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right) - \frac{\log^2 3}{10} - \frac{19\pi^2}{180}$$

**()** We still seek for a complete accounting of  $\mu_n(1 + x + y)$ .

- Our log-sine and MZV algorithms uncovered many errors and gaps (e.g., values of Euler sums such as ζ(2n+11) in terms of Ls<sup>(2n-3)</sup><sub>2n</sub> (π)) in the literature.
- Automated simplification, validation and correction tools are more and more important.
- 4 Thank you!

CARA

## Conclusion

We also have generalized arctangent forms, such as:

$$\mu_2(1+x+y) = \frac{24}{5\pi} \operatorname{Ti}_3\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\right) + \frac{2\log 3}{\pi} \operatorname{Cl}_2\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right) - \frac{\log^2 3}{10} - \frac{19\pi^2}{180}$$

- **()** We still seek for a complete accounting of  $\mu_n(1 + x + y)$ .
- Our log-sine and MZV algorithms uncovered many errors and gaps (e.g., values of Euler sums such as ζ(2n + 11) in terms of Ls<sup>(2n-3)</sup><sub>2n</sub> (π)) in the literature.
- Automated simplification, validation and correction tools are more and more important.
- 4 Thank you!

CARN

## Conclusion

We also have generalized arctangent forms, such as:

$$\mu_2(1+x+y) = \frac{24}{5\pi} \operatorname{Ti}_3\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\right) + \frac{2\log 3}{\pi} \operatorname{Cl}_2\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right) - \frac{\log^2 3}{10} - \frac{19\pi^2}{180}$$

- **()** We still seek for a complete accounting of  $\mu_n(1 + x + y)$ .
- **Our log-sine and MZV algorithms uncovered many errors and** gaps (e.g., values of Euler sums such as  $\zeta(\overline{2n+11})$  in terms of  $\operatorname{Ls}_{2n}^{(2n-3)}(\pi)$ ) in the literature.
- Automated simplification, validation and correction tools are more and more important.
- 4 Thank you!

CARN

## Conclusion

We also have generalized arctangent forms, such as:

$$\mu_2(1+x+y) = \frac{24}{5\pi} \operatorname{Ti}_3\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\right) + \frac{2\log 3}{\pi} \operatorname{Cl}_2\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right) - \frac{\log^2 3}{10} - \frac{19\pi^2}{180}$$

- **()** We still seek for a complete accounting of  $\mu_n(1 + x + y)$ .
- **Our log-sine and MZV algorithms uncovered many errors and** gaps (e.g., values of Euler sums such as  $\zeta(\overline{2n+11})$  in terms of  $\operatorname{Ls}_{2n}^{(2n-3)}(\pi)$ ) in the literature.
- Automated simplification, validation and correction tools are more and more important.
- 4 Thank you!

CARN