

Continued fraction expansions  
of transcendental numbers

Yann Bugeaud (Strasbourg)

Question: Let  $\theta$  be a real algebraic number of degree  $\geq 3$ . Is the sequence of partial quotients of  $\theta$  bounded?

Liouville (1844): Explicit examples of transcendental continued fractions (with unbounded partial quotients).

Maillat (1906): Explicit examples of transcendental continued fractions with bounded partial quotients.

Theorem:  $\xi := [0; a_1, a_2, \dots]$  not quadratic.

If  $a_l \leq M$  for  $l \geq 1$  and if there is an increasing sequence  $(l_k)_{k \geq 1}$  s.t.

$$a_{l_k} = a_{l_k+1} = \dots = a_{k l_k} = 1 \quad (k \geq 1),$$

then  $\xi$  is transcendental.

Liouville: If  $\theta$  is a real algebraic number of degree  $d \geq 2$ , then there exists  $c_1(\theta) > 0$  s.t.

$$|\theta - P/q| \geq c_1(\theta) q^{-d}, \quad \text{for every } P/q \text{ with } q \geq 1.$$

Maillet: If  $\theta$  is a real algebraic number of degree  $d \geq 3$ , then there exists  $c_2(\theta) > 0$  s.t.

$$|\theta - \alpha| \geq c_2(\theta) H(\alpha)^{-d},$$

for every real quadratic nb  $\alpha$ .

Proof:  $\xi_k := [0; a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}, 1, 1, 1, \dots]$

$$|\xi - \xi_k| \leq 9^{-k} \leq 2^{-k} 2^k$$

$$H(\xi_k) \leq (M+2)^{2k}$$

[  $H(\alpha)$  : max. of the absolute values of  
the coeff. of the minimal pol. of  $\alpha$  over  $\mathbb{Z}$  ]

$$|\xi - \xi_k| \leq H(\xi_k)^{-k(\log 2)/(2 \log(M+2))}$$

for  $k \geq 1$ .

Thus,  $\xi$  cannot be algebraic of  
degree  $d \geq 3$ .

In the preceding proof, all the  $\xi_k$  belong to the same quadratic field, namely to  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$ . This observation was used by A. Baker (1962) to improve Maillet's theorem, by appealing to Roth-LeVeque's theorem instead of Maillet's transcendence criterion. A further step was the use of results of W. M. Schmidt.

Roth-LeVeque (1955): Let  $K$  be a given real quadratic field. Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Let  $\theta$  be an alg. nb. outside  $K$ . Then, there is  $c_3(\theta, \epsilon) > 0$  s.t.

$$|\theta - \alpha| \geq c_3(\theta, \epsilon) H(\alpha)^{-2-\epsilon}, \text{ for every quadratic nb } \alpha \text{ in } K.$$

Schmidt (1967): Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . If  $\theta$  is a real algebraic number of degree  $d \geq 3$ , then there exists  $c_4(\theta, \epsilon) > 0$  s.t.

$$|\theta - \alpha| \geq c_4(\theta, \epsilon) H(\alpha)^{-3-\epsilon}, \text{ for every real quadratic nb } \alpha.$$

Schmidt's result was used by Davison (1983), Queffelec (1998), Allouche - Davison - Queffelec - Zamboni (2000) to prove that a real nb whose sequence of partial quotients is the Thue-Morse sequence or any Sturmian sequence is transcendental.

This result of Schmidt is a consequence of its powerful « Subspace Theorem ». So, try to apply the Subspace Theorem to get new examples of transcendental continued fractions!!! That's what we did with Adamczewski (2005, 2007).

Theorem (B., 201?): Let  $(a_n)_{n \geq 1}$  be a bounded sequence of positive integers. Assume that, for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ , and arbitrarily large integers  $N$ , there exists a word  $W_N$  of length  $\lfloor \varepsilon N \rfloor$  having two non-overlapping occurrences in  $a_1 a_2 \dots a_N$ . Then, the real number  $[0; a_1, a_2, \dots]$  is either quadratic or transcendental.



Theorem (B., 201?): Let  $(a_n)_{n \geq 1}$  be a bounded sequence of positive integers. Assume that, for some  $\varepsilon > 0$  and arbitrarily large integers  $N$ , there exists a word  $W_N$  of length  $\lfloor \varepsilon N \rfloor$  such that  $W_N$  and its mirror image  $\overleftarrow{W}_N$  occur without overlapping in  $a_1 a_2 \dots a_N$ . Then, the real number  $[0; a_1, a_2, \dots]$  is either quadratic or transcendental.

$$\left[ \overleftarrow{w_1 w_2 \dots w_k} := w_k w_{k-1} \dots w_2 w_1 \right]$$

Let  $\underline{a} := a_1 a_2 \dots$  be an infinite word over  $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ .

For  $n \geq 1$ , set

$$p(n, \underline{a}) := \text{Card} \{ a_{l+1} \dots a_{l+n} : l \geq 0 \}.$$

Obviously,  $1 \leq p(n, \underline{a}) \leq +\infty$ .

Furthermore:

- \* If  $\underline{a}$  is ultimately periodic, then there exists  $C$  s.t.  $p(n, \underline{a}) \leq C$  for  $n \geq 1$ .
- \* If  $\underline{a}$  is not ultimately periodic, then  $p(n, \underline{a}) \geq n+1$  for  $n \geq 1$ .
- \* There exist uncountably many  $\underline{a}$  s.t.  $p(n, \underline{a}) = n+1$  for  $n \geq 1$  (Sturmian words).

Theorem (B., 201?):

Let  $\underline{a} = a_1, a_2, \dots$  be a sequence of positive integers which is not ultimately periodic. If the real number

$[0; a_1, a_2, \dots]$  is algebraic, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{p(n, \underline{a})}{n} = +\infty.$$

In other words: the sequence of partial quotients of a real alg. nb. of degree  $\geq 3$  cannot be « too simple ».

Corollary (Cobham - Loxton - van der Poorten conjecture for continued fractions):

The continued fraction expansion of an algebraic number of degree  $\geq 3$  cannot be generated by a finite automaton.

Proof: Sequences  $\underline{a}$  generated by finite automata satisfy  $p(n, \underline{a}) = O(n)$ , a result of Cobham (1972).

## Schmidt Subspace Theorem

Let  $m \geq 2$  be an integer. Let  $L_1, \dots, L_m$  be linearly independent linear forms in  $\underline{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_m)$  with algebraic coefficients. Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then, the set of solutions  $\underline{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_m) \in \mathbb{Z}^m$  to

$$|L_1(\underline{x}) \times \dots \times L_m(\underline{x})| \leq (\max\{|x_1|, \dots, |x_m|\})^{-\varepsilon}$$

lies in finitely many proper subspaces of  $\mathbb{Q}^m$ .

ex.:  $\theta \neq 0$  algebraic,  $\varepsilon > 0$

$$L_1(x_1, x_2) := \theta x_1 - x_2$$

$$L_2(x_1, x_2) := x_1$$

The set of solutions  $(p, q) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$   
to

$$|q\theta - p| \cdot |q| < |q|^{-\varepsilon}$$

lies in finitely many lines.

$$\rightsquigarrow \left| \theta - \frac{p}{q} \right| < |q|^{-2-\varepsilon} \quad \text{if } q \neq 0.$$

Assume that  $\xi$  is algebraic, where

$$\xi := [0; a_1, a_2, \dots, a_s, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{LE+s}, a_{1+LE+s+1}, \dots]$$

for some  $s$  (large) and  $\epsilon$  (small).

Then  $\xi$  is close to the quadratic nb

$$\alpha := [0; \overline{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_s}]$$

whose minimal polynomial is  $\alpha$

$$P_\alpha(x) := q_{s-1} x^2 - (p_{s-1} - q_s) x - p_s.$$

Namely,  $|\xi - \alpha| \lesssim C^{-2(1+\epsilon)s} \lesssim q_s^{-2(1+\epsilon)}$

[Simplification:  $q_l \asymp C^l$  for  $l \geq 1$ ]

One deduces that

$$\begin{aligned} |P_2(\xi)| &\lesssim |\xi - \alpha| \cdot H(P_2) \\ &\lesssim q_{\Delta}^{-1-2\varepsilon} \end{aligned}$$

Three linear forms :

$$\xi^2 X_1 - \xi X_2 - X_3, \quad X_2, \quad X_3$$

To be evaluated in

$$(X_1, X_2, X_3) = (q_{\Delta-1}, p_{\Delta-1} - q_{\Delta}, p_{\Delta})$$

$$\text{Product} \lesssim q_{\Delta}^{-1-2\varepsilon} \quad q_{\Delta} \quad q_{\Delta}$$

Needed :  $\varepsilon > 1/2$  .



Main idea: to separate the variables.

Four linear forms:

$$\xi^2 Y_1 - \xi Y_2 + \xi Y_3 - Y_4, \quad \xi Y_3 - Y_4, \quad Y_1, \quad Y_4.$$

To be evaluated in

$$(Y_1, Y_2, Y_3, Y_4) = (q_{s-1}, p_{s-1}, q_s, p_s).$$

Note that  $|\xi q_s - p_s| < q_s^{-1}$ .

$$\text{Product} \lesssim q_s^{-1-2\varepsilon} \cdot q_s^{-1} q_s q_s \lesssim q_s^{-2\varepsilon}$$

Needed:  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

Lemma: Let  $\theta$  be a quadratic real nb with ultimately periodic continued fraction expansion

$$\theta := [0; a_1, \dots, a_r, \overline{a_{r+1}, \dots, a_{r+s}}],$$

with  $r \geq 3$  and  $s \geq 1$ . Let  $\theta'$  be its Galois conjugate. If  $a_r \neq a_{r+s}$ , then we have

$$|\theta - \theta'| \ll a_r^2 \max\{a_{r-1}, a_{r-2}\} \cdot q_r^{-2},$$

where  $(p_\ell/q_\ell)_{\ell \geq 1}$  denotes the sequence of convergents to  $\theta$ .

Another consequence of the Subspace Theorem:

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Let  $\xi$  be a real nb which is not algebraic of degree  $\leq 2$ . If there exist arbitrarily large positive integers  $q$  s.t.

$$\max \{ \|q\xi\|, \|q\xi^2\| \} < q^{-\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon},$$

then  $\xi$  is transcendental.

$\|\cdot\|$ : distance to the nearest integer

Let  $\xi := [0; a_1, a_2, \dots]$  and assume that  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_N = a_N, a_{N-1}, \dots, a_1$  (palindrome).

Then, recalling that

$$\frac{q_{N-1}}{q_N} = [0; a_N, a_{N-1}, \dots, a_1] \quad (\text{mirror formula}),$$

we get

$$\frac{q_{N-1}}{q_N} = [0; a_1, a_2, \dots, a_N] = \frac{p_N}{q_N},$$

thus  $p_N = q_{N-1}$  and  $\xi^2$  is close

to  $\frac{p_{N-1}}{q_{N-1}} \cdot \frac{p_N}{q_N} = \frac{p_{N-1}}{q_N}$ . We get that

$$\|q_N \xi\|, \|q_N \xi^2\| \ll q_N^{-1}.$$

It follows that, if there are arbitrarily large integers  $N$  s.t.

$a_1 a_2 \dots a_{N-1} a_N$  is a palindrome,

then the real number  $[0; a_1, a_2, \dots]$  is either quadratic or transcendental.

ex: Thue - Morse continued fraction

$$\underline{t} = 12212112211212212112 \dots$$

$$\underline{t} = \tau^\infty(1) \quad \text{where} \quad \tau(1) = 12, \tau(2) = 21.$$

Note that  $\tau^2(1) = 1221$  and  $\tau^2(2) = 2112$  are palindromes. Thus,  $\tau^{2n}(1)$  is a palindrome for  $n \geq 1$ .