Polyhedral cones, their theta functions, and WHAT THEY SAY ABOUT polytopes

Colloquium, Newcastle University, Australia June 3, 2010

Sinai Robins N a n y a n g T e c h n o l o g i c a l U N I V E R S I T Y , S I N G A P O R E

OUR GRADE SCHOOL triangle experience:

 $\theta_1+\theta_2+\theta_3=\frac{1}{2}$ 2

The sum of all three angles at the vertices of a triangle is equal to 1/2.

How does this elementary result extend to higher dimensions?

1. What is a polygon in higher dimensions?

2. What is an angle in higher dimensions?

3. How do these relations among angles extend to higher dimensions?

An angle can be thought of intuitively as the intersection of a cone with a small sphere, centered at the vertex of the cone.

> θ *vertex* Cone *K*

A cone $K \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is the nonnegative real span of a finite number of vectors in R*^d*.

That is, a cone is defined by

$$
K = {\lambda_1 w_1 + \dots + \lambda_d w_d} \text{ all } \lambda_j \ge 0,
$$

where we assume that the edge vectors w_1, \ldots, w_d are linearly independent in R*^d*.

EXAMPLE: A 3-DIMENSIONAL CONE.

vertex v

Cone K

How do we describe a higher dimensional angle analytically, THOUGH?

A nice analytic description is given by:

A two dim'l angle = $\int_{K} e^{-\pi (x^2 + y^2)}$ *dxdy*

The solid angle at the vertex of a cone *K* is

$$
\omega_K = \int_K e^{-\pi ||x||^2} dx.
$$

A solid angle in dimension d is equivalently:

1. The proportion of a sphere, centered at the vertex of a cone, which intersects the cone.

 The probability that a randomly chosen point in Euclidean space, chosen from a fixed sphere centered at the vertex of K, will lie inside K. 2.

3. A solid angle =
$$
\int_K e^{-\pi ||x||^2} dx
$$

4. The volume of a spherical polytope.

Example: defining the solid angle at a vertex of a 3-dimensional cone.

v

Cone K_v

Example: defining the solid angle at a vertex of a 3-dimensional cone.

v

sphere centered at vertex v

Cone *K^v*

Example: defining the solid angle at a vertex of a 3-dimensional cone.

 \overline{v}

this is a geodesic triangle on the sphere, representing the solid angle at vertex

v.

sphere centered at vertex v

Cone *K^v*

THE MORAL: A SOLID angle in higher dimensions is really THE VOLUME OF A spherical polytope.

We need to extend the notion of a solid angle at a vertex of a cone to the more general notion of a solid angle at ANY point, relative to any polytope *P*.

Given any convex polytope *P*, we define the solid angle

$$
\omega_P(x) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{vol(S_{\epsilon}(x) \cap P)}{vol(S_{\epsilon})}
$$

where S_{ϵ} is a sphere of radius ϵ , centered at *x*.

This can also be thought of as the proportion of a small sphere, centered at *x*, that lies inside *P*.

Example. Let *P* be a triangle.

vertex x x When *F* is an edge of a triangle, we get $\omega_P(x) = \frac{1}{2}.$ *F P*

When *F* is a vertex of a triangle, we get $\omega_P(x) = \theta.$

DEFINITION. THE SOLID ANGLE OF A FACE F OF A POLYTOPE P IS GIVEN BY THE PROPORTION OF A SMALL sphere, centered at any point

$x \in$ THE RELATIVE INTERIOR OF F.

JORGEN GRAM

1850-1916 Born in Denmark

Yes, the same Gram, but a different theorem.

Theorem. (J. Gram, circa 1860)

(The Gram relations)

Given a convex polytope P, we have the following linear equality for the solid angles of its faces:

$$
\sum_{F \subset P} (-1)^{dimF} \omega_F = 0.
$$

Note: all sums include the face $F = P$.

WHY IS THE GRAM THEOREM REALLY A d-dimensional extension of our 2-dimensional elementary school theorem?

For a triangle *P*, the Gram relations give

 $0=(-1)^{0}(\omega_{v_{1}}+\omega_{v_{2}}+\omega_{v_{3}})+(-1)^{1}(1/2+1/2+1/2)+(-1)^{2}(1)$ $=\theta_1+\theta_2+\theta_3-1/2.$

> $\omega_F=1/2$ When *F* is an edge, we get

when $F = P$ we get $\omega_P = 1$.

vertex

When *F* is a vertex, we get $\omega_F = \theta$.

Example of a solid angle of a face *F* - an edge in this case relative to a polytope *P*.

For a 3-simplex P, we have the following picture for the solid angles of one of its edges:

To help us analyze solid angles, WE HAVE INTRODUCED THE FOLLOWING Conic theta function for a any cone K:

Def inition.

 $\theta_K(\tau) = \sum e^{\pi i \tau} ||m||^2,$ *m*∈Z*d*∩*K*

where τ is in the upper complex half plane.

Why do we define the conic theta function in this way?

One strong motivation comes from simply discretizing the integral that defines a solid angle!

WE OBSERVE THAT THERE IS A SIMPLE BUT very useful analytic link between solid angles and these conic theta functions, given by:

Lemma. For any cone *K* with vertex *v*,

 $\theta_K(i\epsilon) \approx \epsilon^{-d/2} \omega_K(v),$

asymptotically as $\epsilon \to 0$.

Another motivator / teaser : There are many identities among these conic THETA FUNCTIONS. FOR EXAMPLE:

Theorem. (R) For any convex polytope P,

$$
\theta_P(i\epsilon) = \sum_{F \subset P} (-1)^{dimF} \theta_{K_F}(i\epsilon)
$$

where K_F is the tangent cone to the face F .

WHAT ARE TANGENT cones ?

A QUICK TUTORIAL

Sinai Robins rsinai@ntu.edu.sg

Example: If the face F is a vertex, what does the tangent cone at the vertex look like?

$Face = v$, a vertex

Example: If the face F is a vertex, what does the tangent cone at the vertex look like?

 $\leq y_1$

$Face = v$, a vertex

Face = v , a vertex

 32

 $\leftarrow y_1$

 3.25

 3^{2}

 $\leftarrow y_1$

 K_F

Face = v , a vertex

Definition. The tangent cone K_F of a face $F \subset P$ is defined by

$$
K_F = \{x + \lambda(y - x) \mid x \in F, y \in P, \text{ and } \lambda \ge 0\}.
$$

Intuitively, the tangent cone of F is the union of all rays that have a base point in F and point 'towards P'.

We note that the tangent cone of F contains the affine span of the face F.

Example. when the face is a 1-dimensional edge of a polygon, its tangent cone is a half-plane.

There is a wonderful and very useful identity, known as the "Brianchon-Gram" identity.

tangent cones of any convex closed polytope as follows: It has an Euler charactistic flavor, and it relates all of the

Theorem. (Brianchon-Gram)

$$
1_P = \sum_{F \subset P} (-1)^{\dim F} 1_{K_F}
$$

where 1_{K_F} is the indicator function of the tangent cone to *F*.

Remarks. The Brianchon identity for indicator functions allows us to transfer the computation of a function f over a polytope P TO THE LOCAL COMPUTATION OF f over each tangent cone of P. Definition: A d-dimensional polytope enjoying the property that each of its vertices shares an edge with exactly d other vertices is called a simple polytope.

Example of a simple polytope: The dodecahedron

Example of a non-simple polytope: THE ICOSAHEDRON

DEFINITION. THE FUNDAMENTAL DOMAIN OF a cone K is defined by a parallelepiped.

 $\Pi := {\lambda_1 \omega_1 + \cdots + \lambda_d \omega_d} \mid \text{all } 0 \leq \lambda_i \leq 1$,

whereas by comparison, the cone is defined by

 $K := {\lambda_1 \omega_1 + \cdots + \lambda_d \omega_d} \mid \text{all } 0 \leq \lambda_j$.

Example. In the plane, we have:

The fundamental domain of a two dimensional cone *K*.

ONE ULTIMATE GOAL:

TO FIND A NICE, COMPUTABLY EFFICIENT DESCRIPTION OF EACH SOLID ANGLE ω_F AS A FUNCTION OF THE GIVEN DATA (THE RATIONAL VERTICES) OF THE RATIONAL POLYTOPE.

DEFINITION. WE DEFINE THE GAUSS SUM OF A CONE K BY

$$
S_K(p,q):=\sum_{n\in q\Pi\cap\mathbb{Z}^d}e^{2\pi i\frac{p}{q}||n||^2},
$$

where p, q are any two positive integers, and where Π is a fundamental domain for the cone *K*.

We arrive at a non-linear extension of the classical Gram relations, using polyhedral Gauss sums:

Theorem. (R)

For any convex, simple rational polytope P,

$$
\sum_{F \subset P} (-1)^{\dim F} \omega_F \left\{ \frac{S_{K_F}(p,q)}{\det K_F} \right\} = 0.
$$

Thank You

Reference: www.mathematicaguidebooks.org/soccer/