

D. BORWEIN

1. *Introduction.* Suppose throughout that  $l, a_n$  ( $n = 0, 1, \dots$ ) are arbitrary complex numbers, that  $\alpha$  is a fixed positive number and that  $x$  is a variable in the interval  $[0, \infty)$ . Let

$$s_n = \sum_{r=0}^n a_r, \quad a(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_n x^{2n}}{\Gamma(\alpha n + 1)}, \quad s(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{s_n x^{2n}}{\Gamma(\alpha n + 1)}.$$

We shall be concerned with Borel-type methods of summability  $(B', \alpha)$ ,  $(\tilde{B}, \alpha)$  defined as follows:

$$s_n \rightarrow l(B', \alpha) \text{ if } \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^x e^{-t} a(t) dt = l,$$

$$s_n \rightarrow l(\tilde{B}, \alpha) \text{ if } \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \alpha e^{-x} s(x) = l.$$

Note that  $(B', 1)$  and  $(\tilde{B}, 1)$  are respectively the Borel integral and the Borel exponential methods.

The first of the above definitions appears in Hardy's book ([2], 222). The exponential-type method  $(B, \alpha)$  there defined\* as a companion to  $(B', \alpha)$  differs from the method  $(\tilde{B}, \alpha)$ , which seems to have been first considered, in this context, by Włodarski [4].

It is known ([2], 82-3) that  $(B', \alpha)$  is regular, i.e.  $s_n \rightarrow l(B', \alpha)$  whenever  $s_n \rightarrow l$ ; and it is a trivial consequence of known results ([2], Theorem 33; and Lemma 3(b) below) that  $(\tilde{B}, \alpha)$  is also regular.

A known result, concerning the relative strengths of different  $(B', \lambda)$  methods, is:

If  $\alpha > \beta > 0$ ,  $s_n \rightarrow l(B', \alpha)$  and  $\sum_0^{\infty} a_n x^{\beta n} / \Gamma(\beta n + 1)$  is convergent for all  $x > 0$ , then  $s_n \rightarrow l(B', \beta)$ .

The case  $2\beta = \alpha$  of this result is due to Hardy [3], and the general case to Good [1].

A companion result is:

If  $\alpha > \beta > 0$ ,  $s_n \rightarrow l(\tilde{B}, \alpha)$  and  $\sum_0^{\infty} s_n x^{\beta n} / \Gamma(\beta n + 1)$  is convergent for all  $x > 0$ , then  $s_n \rightarrow l(\tilde{B}, \beta)$ .

The case  $\alpha = 2^{-k}$ ,  $\beta = 2^{-k-m}$  ( $k = 0, 1, \dots$ ;  $m = 1, 2, \dots$ ) of this result has been stated by Włodarski [4], and I have proved the general case in a paper to be published shortly (in *Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc.*).

\*  $s_n \rightarrow l(B, \alpha)$  if  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} e^{-x} \sum_0^{\infty} \sigma(n/\alpha) x^n / n! = l$ , where  $\sigma(t) = \sum_{r \leq t} a_r$ .

The above results have been included for interest only and are not used in the rest of the note, the object of which is to prove the following:

THEOREM. *In order that*

$$s_n \rightarrow l(\tilde{B}, \alpha),$$

*it is necessary and sufficient that*

$$s_n \rightarrow l(B', \alpha) \text{ and } a_n \rightarrow 0(\tilde{B}, \alpha).$$

The case  $\alpha = 1$  of this theorem is known ([2], 183).

2. *Preliminary results.* We suppose in what follows that  $f(x)$  is a continuous function for  $x \geq 0$ , and use the notation

$$f_0(x) = f(x), \quad f_{\delta}(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\delta)} \int_0^x (x-t)^{\delta-1} f(t) dt \quad (\delta > 0).$$

This notation will also be used with other letters in place of  $f$ .

We require three lemmas.

LEMMA 1. *If*

(i)  $\phi(x)$  is continuous for  $x > 0$ ,

(ii)  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \phi(x) = 0$ ,

(iii)  $\int_0^{\infty} |\phi(x)| dx < \infty$ ,

(iv)  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x) = l$ ,

then

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^x f(t) \phi(x-t) dt = l \int_0^{\infty} \phi(t) dt.$$

This is a special case of a standard result ([2], Theorem 6).

LEMMA 2. (a) *If*  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} e^{-x} f(x) = l$  and  $\delta > 0$ , then  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} e^{-x} f_{\delta}(x) = l$ .

(b) *If*  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^x e^{-t} f(t) dt = l$  and  $\delta > 0$ , then  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^x e^{-t} f_{\delta}(t) dt = l$ .

*Proof.* Let  $F(x) = \int_0^x e^{-t} f(t) dt$ . Then

$$\Gamma(\delta) e^{-x} f_{\delta}(x) = \int_0^x e^{-t} f(t) (x-t)^{\delta-1} e^{-(x-t)} dt,$$

and so

$$\Gamma(\delta) \int_0^x e^{-t} f_{\delta}(t) dt = \int_0^x F(t) (x-t)^{\delta-1} e^{-(x-t)} dt.$$

Further  $x^{\delta-1}e^{-x}$  is continuous for  $x > 0$  and tends to zero as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ ;  
also

$$\int_0^{\infty} x^{\delta-1} e^{-x} dx = \Gamma(\delta).$$

In virtue of Lemma 1, results (a) and (b) follow.

LEMMA 3. If  $\delta > 4$ ,  $N$  is the integer such that  $N \leq \delta/4 < N+1$ , and

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{\delta n}}{\Gamma(\delta n + 1)},$$

then

$$(a) \delta f(x) = \sum_{n=-N}^N e^{xw_n} + O(1),$$

where  $w_n = e^{i2n\pi/\delta}$ ;

$$(b) \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \delta e^{-x} f(x) = 1;$$

$$(c) e^{-x} \{f(x) - f_1(x)\} = O(e^{-\gamma x}),$$

where  $\gamma = 2 \sin^2 \pi/\delta$ .

*Proof.* Results (a) and (b) are known ([2], 198), (b) being an immediate consequence of (a). In view of (a),

$$f(x) - f_1(x) = \sum_{n=-N}^N (1 - w_{-n}) e^{xw_n} + O(1+x) = O(e^{x \cos(2\pi/\delta)}),$$

and result (c) follows.

Next, we prove that the following two statements are equivalent:

$$(A) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_n x^{\alpha n}}{\Gamma(\alpha n + 1)} \text{ is convergent for all } x \geq 0,$$

$$(B) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{s_n x^{\alpha n}}{\Gamma(\alpha n + 1)} \text{ is convergent for all } x \geq 0.$$

First assume (A). Then, given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is a positive integer  $N$  such that, for  $n > N$ ,

$$|a_n| < \epsilon^n \Gamma(\alpha n + 1) < \epsilon^{n+1} \Gamma(\alpha n + \alpha + 1);$$

so that, for  $n > N$ ,

$$|s_n| < \sum_{r=0}^N |a_r| + (n-N) \epsilon^n \Gamma(\alpha n + 1).$$

Hence, for all  $n$  sufficiently large,

$$|s_n| < (2\epsilon)^n \Gamma(\alpha n + 1),$$

and (B) follows.

Now assume (B). Then, since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{\Gamma(\alpha n + \alpha + 1)/\Gamma(\alpha n + 1)\}^{1/n} = 1$ ,  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} s_n x^{\alpha n + \alpha}/\Gamma(\alpha n + \alpha + 1)$  is convergent for all  $x \geq 0$ . Further,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_n x^{\alpha n}}{\Gamma(\alpha n + 1)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{s_n x^{\alpha n}}{\Gamma(\alpha n + 1)} - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{s_n x^{\alpha n + \alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha n + \alpha + 1)}, \quad (1)$$

and (A) follows.

We conclude this section with two useful identities. Suppose that (A) and (B) hold. It follows from (1) that

$$\begin{aligned} a(x) &= s(x) - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{s_n}{\Gamma(\alpha n + 1) \Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^x t^{\alpha n} (x-t)^{\alpha-1} dt \\ &= s(x) - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^x (x-t)^{\alpha-1} dt \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{s_n t^{\alpha n}}{\Gamma(\alpha n + 1)} \\ &= s(x) - s_{\alpha}(x), \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

the inversion being legitimate since

$$\int_0^x (x-t)^{\alpha-1} dt \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|s_n| t^{\alpha n}}{\Gamma(\alpha n + 1)} < \infty.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^x e^{-t} a(t) dt &= \int_0^x e^{-t} s(t) dt - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^x e^{-t} dt \int_0^t (t-u)^{\alpha-1} s(u) du \\ &= \int_0^x e^{-t} s(t) dt - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^x e^{-u} s(u) du \int_u^{\infty} (t-u)^{\alpha-1} e^{-(t-u)} dt \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^x e^{-u} s(u) du \int_x^{\infty} (t-u)^{\alpha-1} e^{-(t-u)} dt \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^x e^{-u} s(u) du \int_{x-u}^{\infty} t^{\alpha-1} e^{-t} dt. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

3. *Proof of the theorem. Necessity.* The hypothesis is:

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \alpha e^{-x} s(x) = l.$$

In virtue of (2) and Lemma 2(a), it follows that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} e^{-x} a(x) = 0.$$

Consider now identity (3). Note that  $\int_x^{\infty} t^{\alpha-1} e^{-t} dt$  is a continuous function for  $x > 0$  which tends to zero as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ , and that

$$\int_0^{\infty} dx \int_x^{\infty} t^{\alpha-1} e^{-t} dt = \alpha \Gamma(\alpha).$$

Hence, by Lemma 1,

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^x e^{-t} a(t) dt = l.$$

This completes the proof of the necessity part of the theorem.

*Sufficiency.* The hypotheses are:

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^x e^{-t} a(t) dt = l, \tag{4}$$

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} e^{-x} a(x) = 0. \tag{5}$$

Suppose that

$$kx = \delta > 4,$$

where  $k$  is an integer; and let

$$b(x) = \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} a_{ar}(x), \quad B(x) = \int_0^x e^{-t} b(t) dt, \quad f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{\delta n}}{\Gamma(\delta n + 1)},$$

$$\phi(x) = \frac{d}{dx} \{e^{-x} f_{\delta-1}(x)\}.$$

Then, in virtue of (4) and Lemma 2(b),

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} B(x) = kl; \tag{6}$$

and, by (2),

$$b(x) = s(x) - s_{\delta}(x).$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\delta-1}(x) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\delta n - \delta + 1) \Gamma(\delta - 1)} \int_0^x (x-t)^{\delta-2} t^{\delta n - \delta} dt \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^{\delta n - 1}}{\Gamma(\delta n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Further, it is easily verified that  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} s_{\delta m}(x) = 0$ , and so

$$\begin{aligned} s_{\delta}(x) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \{s_{\delta n}(x) - s_{\delta n + \delta}(x)\} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{\delta n}(x) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\delta n)} \int_0^x t^{\delta n - 1} b(x-t) dt \\ &= \int_0^x b(x-t) f_{\delta-1}(t) dt, \end{aligned}$$

the inversion being legitimate since  $\int_0^x |b(x-t)| f_{\delta-1}(t) dt < \infty$ . A partial integration yields

$$e^{-x} s_{\delta}(x) = \int_0^x B(x-t) \phi(t) dt. \tag{7}$$

We prove next that  $\phi(x)$  satisfies the conditions of Lemma 1. By Lemma 3(b),

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \delta e^{-x} f(x) = 1.$$

Hence, by Lemma 2(a),

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^x \phi(t) dt = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} e^{-x} f_{\delta-1}(x) = 1/\delta$$

and  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \phi(x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} e^{-x} \{f_{\delta-2}(x) - f_{\delta-1}(x)\} = 0.$

Further  $\phi(x)$  is continuous for  $x \geq 0$ , and, in view of Lemma 3(c),

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\infty} |\phi(x)| dx &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\delta-2)} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-x} dx \int_0^x (x-t)^{\delta-3} |f(t) - f_1(t)| dt \\ &= \int_0^{\infty} e^{-t} |f(t) - f_1(t)| dt < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, it follows from (6) and (7), by Lemma 1, that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \alpha e^{-x} s_{\delta}(x) = l.$$

Note that so far we have only used hypothesis (4). To complete the proof we have only to observe that, in virtue of (2) and Lemma 2(a), a consequence of hypothesis (5) is that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} e^{-x} \{s(x) - s_{\delta}(x)\} = 0;$$

whence

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \alpha e^{-x} s(x) = l.$$

*References.*

1. I. J. Good, "Relations between methods of summation of series", *Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.*, 38 (1942), 144-165.
2. G. H. Hardy, *Divergent Series* (Oxford, 1949).
3. ———, "On the summability of series by Borel's and Mittag-Leffler's methods", *Journal London Math. Soc.*, 9 (1934), 153-157.
4. L. Włodarski, "Propriétés des méthodes continues de limitation du type de Borel", *Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci.*, Cl. III, 4 (1956), 173-175.

St. Salvator's College,  
University of St. Andrews.

(Received 23rd May, 1958.)