

Browein, D.  
1966  
Tôhoku Math. J. Vol. 18, pp. 71-73

**ON A GENERALISED CESÀRO SUMMABILITY  
METHOD OF INTEGRAL ORDER**

BY

D. BROWEIN

---

Reprinted from the  
Tôhoku Mathematical Journal  
The Second Series, Vol. 18 No. 1, pp. 71-73  
March 1966

## ON A GENERALISED CESÀRO SUMMABILITY METHOD OF INTEGRAL ORDER

D. BORWEIN

(Received October 11, 1965)

Let  $p$  be a non-negative integer,  $\{\lambda_n\}$  a strictly increasing unbounded sequence with  $\lambda_0 \geq 0$ , and let  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$  be an arbitrary series. Write

$$A^p(\tau w) = \sum_{\lambda_n < \tau w} (\tau w - \lambda_n)^p a_n \quad (\tau w \geq 0);$$

$$C_n^0 = \sum_{\nu=0}^n a_\nu, \quad C_n^p = \sum_{\nu=0}^n (\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_\nu) \cdots (\lambda_{n+p} - \lambda_\nu) a_\nu \quad (p \geq 1);$$

$$\lambda_{n,0} = 1, \quad \lambda_{n,p} = \lambda_{n+1} \cdots \lambda_{n+p} \quad (p \geq 1).$$

The series  $\sum a_n$  is said to be

- (i) summable by the Riesz method  $(R, \lambda, p)$  to  $s$  if  $w^{-p} A^p(w) \rightarrow s$  as  $w \rightarrow \infty$ ,
- (ii) summable by the generalised Cesàro method  $(C, \lambda, p)$  to  $s$  if

$$C_n^p / \lambda_{n,p} \rightarrow s \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

The relationship between these two summability methods has been investigated by Jurkat [2] and Burkill [1], who independently defined generalised Cesàro methods essentially the same as the above; and by Russell [3]. All three established the inclusions

$$(I_1): (C, \lambda, p) \subseteq (R, \lambda, p),$$

$$(I_2): (R, \lambda, p) \subseteq (C, \lambda, p)$$

under various hypotheses on the sequence  $\{\lambda_n\}$ . The most general results to date are due to Russell [3], who proved that  $(I_1)$  holds without restriction on  $\{\lambda_n\}$ , and that  $(I_2)$  holds provided

$$(C_1): \frac{\lambda_n}{\lambda_n - \lambda_{n-1}} = O\left(\frac{\lambda_{n+1}}{\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n}\right)$$

when  $p \geq 3$  and unrestrictedly when  $p \leq 2$ .

The object of this note is to prove that (I<sub>2</sub>) also holds if

$$(C_2): \lambda_{n+1} = O(\lambda_n).$$

Conditions (C<sub>1</sub>) and (C<sub>2</sub>) are independent (see [3]).

We shall prove the following theorem in which there is no restriction on  $\{\lambda_n\}$ .

**THEOREM.** *If  $\eta(w)$  is non-negative and monotonic non-decreasing for  $w \geq 0$  and  $A^p(w) = o(\eta(w))$  as  $w \rightarrow \infty$ , then  $C_n^p = o(\eta(\lambda_{n+p}))$ .*

The theorem remains valid if  $o$  is replaced by  $O$  throughout. As an immediate corollary we have:

**COROLLARY.** *If (C<sub>2</sub>) and  $A^p(w) = o(w^p)$  as  $w \rightarrow \infty$ , then  $C_n^p = o(\lambda_{n+1}^p) = o(\lambda_{n,p})$ .*

Since the methods  $(C, \lambda, p)$  and  $(R, \lambda, p)$  are both regular (see [3], Cor. 1B), a consequence of the corollary is that (C<sub>2</sub>) is a sufficient condition for inclusion (I<sub>2</sub>).

**PROOF OF THE THEOREM.** Since the theorem is trivially true when  $p = 0$ , we shall assume that  $p \geq 1$ . Let  $m = m(n)$  be an integer such that

$$\lambda_{m+1} - \lambda_m = \max_{n \leq i \leq n+p-1} (\lambda_{i+1} - \lambda_i), \quad n \leq m \leq n+p-1,$$

and let

$$b_i = b_{n,i} = (p+1) \frac{\lambda_{n+i} - \lambda_m}{\lambda_{m+1} - \lambda_m} \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, p).$$

Then (c.f. Burkill [1], p. 57) there are numbers  $y_i = y_{n,i}$  ( $i = 0, 1, \dots, p$ ) such that

$$(1) \quad (x+b_1)(x+b_2) \cdots (x+b_p) \equiv y_0(x+1)^p + y_1(x+2)^p + \cdots + y_p(x+p+1)^p,$$

which is equivalent to the system of linear equations

$$(2) \quad \sum_{j=0}^p (j+1)^i y_j = k_i \quad (i = 0, 1, \dots, p)$$

where

$$k_i = \binom{p}{i}^{-1} \sum_{1 \leq r_1 < \cdots < r_i \leq p} b_{r_1} b_{r_2} \cdots b_{r_i}.$$

The determinant of the system (2) is

$$\Delta = \prod_{1 \leq r < s \leq p+1} (s-r) \geq 1;$$

and  $y_r = \Delta_r / \Delta$  where  $\Delta_r$  is the determinant of the matrix

$$(c_{i,j}) \quad (i, j = 0, 1, \dots, p) \quad \text{where } c_{i,r} = k_i \text{ and } c_{i,j} = (j+1)^i \quad (j \neq r).$$

Since  $|b_r| < (p+1)^2$ , we see that  $|k_i| < (p+1)^{2p}$  and hence that  $|c_{i,j}| < (p+1)^{2p}$ . Consequently

$$(3) \quad |y_r| = |y_{n,r}| \leq |\Delta_r| < (p+1)! (p+1)^{2p} \quad (r = 0, 1, \dots, p; n = 0, 1, \dots).$$

Putting  $x = (p+1) \frac{\lambda_m - \lambda_v}{\lambda_{m+1} - \lambda_m}$  in identity (1), we obtain

$$(\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_v) \cdots (\lambda_{n+p} - \lambda_v) = y_{n,0} (\mu_{n,0} - \lambda_v)^p + \cdots + y_{n,p} (\mu_{n,p} - \lambda_v)^p$$

where

$$(4) \quad \lambda_m < \mu_{n,i} = \lambda_m + \frac{i+1}{p+1} (\lambda_{m+1} - \lambda_m) \leq \lambda_{m+1} \leq \lambda_{n+p} \quad (i = 0, 1, \dots, p; n = 0, 1, \dots).$$

Hence

$$(5) \quad C_n^p = \sum_{v=0}^m (\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_v) \cdots (\lambda_{n+p} - \lambda_v) a_v = \sum_{i=0}^p y_{n,i} A^p(\mu_{n,i});$$

and the theorem is an immediate consequence of (3), (4) and (5).

#### REFERENCES

- [1] H. BURKILL, On Riesz and Riemann Summability, Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc., 57(1961), 55-60.
- [2] W. B. JURKAT, Über Rieszsche Mittel und verwandte Klassen von Matrixtransformationen, Math. Zeit., 57(1953), 353-394.
- [3] D. C. RUSSELL, On generalised Cesàro means of integral order, Tôhoku Math. Journ., 17(1965), 410-442.

UNIVERSITY OF WESTERN ONTARIO  
LONDON, CANADA.