

MATRIX TRANSFORMATIONS OF WEAKLY MULTIPLICATIVE SEQUENCES OF RANDOM VARIABLES

DAVID BÖRWEIN

1. Introduction

Suppose throughout that $\{X_n\}$ ($n = 0, 1, \dots$) is a sequence of random variables defined on a probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) , and that $\{a_{nk}\}$ ($n, k = 0, 1, \dots$) is a (summability) matrix satisfying

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| < \infty \quad \text{for } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (1)$$

Let

$$b_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n} = E(X_{i_1} X_{i_2} \dots X_{i_n}),$$

$$B_n(q) = \sum_{0 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_n} |b_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n}|^q,$$

where the summation is extended to all integers i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n satisfying $0 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_n$. Let

$$\sigma_n(p) = \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |a_{nk}|^p \right)^{1/(p-1)},$$

and let

$$T_n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{nk} X_k.$$

The primary object of this paper is to establish the following two theorems concerning the almost sure convergence to zero of the sequence $\{T_n\}$.

THEOREM 1. *Let $1 < p \leq 2$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, $0 < M < \infty$, let r be an even positive integer, and let*

$$EX_n^r \leq M \quad \text{for } n = 0, 1, \dots, \quad (2)$$

$$B_r(q) < \infty, \quad (3)$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sigma_n(p)^{r/q} < \infty. \quad (4)$$

Supported in part by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council Canada Grant A-2983.

Received 10 January, 1980.

Then

$$E \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} T_n^r < \infty$$

and, in particular, $T_n \rightarrow 0$ a.s.

THEOREM 2. Let $1 < p \leq 2, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1, 0 < M < \infty$, and let

$$|X_n| \leq M \text{ a.s. for } n = 0, 1, \dots, \tag{5}$$

$$B_n(q)^{1/n} \leq M \text{ for } n = 1, 2, \dots, \tag{6}$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-\varepsilon/\sigma_n(p)} < \infty \text{ for every } \varepsilon > 0. \tag{7}$$

Then

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P[|T_n| > \varepsilon] < \infty \text{ for every } \varepsilon > 0$$

and, in particular, $T_n \rightarrow 0$ a.s.

In Theorem 2 the conditions on the sequence $\{X_n\}$ are more restrictive whereas the conditions on the matrix $\{a_{nk}\}$ are less restrictive than in Theorem 1. It is easily demonstrated (see Hill [4]) that condition (7) is implied by either condition (4) or by

$$\sigma_n(p) < \infty \text{ for } n = 0, 1, \dots \text{ and } \sigma_n(p) \log n \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \tag{8}$$

Evidently condition (8) becomes less restrictive as p decreases. In §5 it is shown that condition (7) does likewise provided that

$$\sup_{n \geq 0} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| < \infty.$$

The sequence $\{X_n\}$ is said to be *multiplicative* if $b_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n} = 0$ whenever $0 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_n$; in particular, it is multiplicative if it is independent with expectation $EX_n = 0$ for $n = 0, 1, \dots$. The sequence is said to be *weakly multiplicative* if it satisfies condition (3) for some pair of positive numbers r, q .

Hill [3, 4] proved Theorems 1 and 2 for the special case in which $p = q = 2$, $\{X_n\}$ is the sequence of Rademacher functions on $\Omega = [0, 1]$ and P is Lebesgue measure. Azuma [1] proved Theorem 2 for the special case in which $p = q = 2$ and $\{X_n\}$ is multiplicative. Other results of a similar nature appear in Chapter 4 of Stout's book [8].

In §6 it is shown that when P is Lebesgue measure on $\Omega = [0, 1]$ then the sequence $\{\cos(k_n x + \alpha)\}$ on Ω satisfies condition (6) for every $q \geq 1$ provided $c > 2$ and $k_{n+1} \geq ck_n > 0$ for $n = 0, 1, \dots$. The sequence is known to be multiplicative if $k_n/(2\pi)$ is an integer and $k_{n+1} \geq 2k_n > 0$ for $n = 0, 1, \dots$.

In §7 it is shown that the standard Cesàro and Euler summability matrices satisfy condition (4) for certain pairs of positive numbers r, p .

2. Preliminary results

Two lemmas are required.

LEMMA 1. Let $1 < p \leq 2$, let r be an even positive integer, let $\{a_k\}$ be a sequence of real numbers and let $\{X_k\}$ satisfy conditions (2) and (3). Then

$$E \left(\sum_{k=0}^m a_k X_k \right)^r \leq K \left(\sum_{k=0}^m |a_k|^p \right)^{r/p} \text{ for } m = 0, 1, \dots,$$

where K is a positive number independent of $\{a_k\}, \{X_k\}$ and m .

This result is due to Móricz [6]; his proof is based on an inequality established by Gapoškin [2]. The case in which $p = 2$ of the following lemma is also due to Móricz [7]; our proof is modelled on his.

LEMMA 2. Let $1 < p \leq 2, u > 0$, let $\{a_k\}$ be a sequence of real numbers, let $\{X_k\}$ satisfy conditions (5) and (6), and let

$$t_m = \sum_{k=0}^m |a_k|^p, \quad S_m = \sum_{k=0}^m a_k X_k.$$

Then

$$E e^{u S_m} \leq C e^{c u^p t_m} \text{ for } m = 0, 1, \dots$$

where C, c are positive numbers independent of $\{a_k\}, \{X_k\}, u$ and m .

Proof. Let $B_n = B_n(q)$ and let

$$\beta > B = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} B_n^{1/n},$$

the finiteness of B being ensured by condition (6).

Because of the convexity of e^{vx} we have, for every real v and $-1 \leq x \leq 1$, that $e^{vx} \leq \cosh v(1 + x \tanh v)$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} E e^{u S_m} &= E \prod_{k=0}^m \exp(u M a_k X_k / M) \\ &\leq \prod_{k=0}^m \cosh u M a_k \cdot E \prod_{k=0}^m (1 + \delta_k X_k) \end{aligned}$$

where $\delta_k = \frac{1}{M} \tanh u M a_k$.

Next, since $\cosh t \leq e^{t^2/2} \leq e^{t^2}$, $\cosh t \leq e^{|t|}$, and $1 < p \leq 2$, we have that $\cosh t \leq e^{t/p}$ and so $\prod_{k=0}^m \cosh uMa_k \leq \prod_{k=0}^m \exp(u^p M^p |a_k|^p) = \exp(u^p M^p t_m)$. Further, by Hölder's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} E \prod_{k=0}^m (1 + \delta_k X_k) &= 1 + \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{0 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_j \leq n} \delta_{i_1} \delta_{i_2} \dots \delta_{i_j} b_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_j} \\ &\leq \left(1 + \sum_{j=1}^m \beta^{jp/q} \sum_{0 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_j \leq n} |\delta_{i_1} \delta_{i_2} \dots \delta_{i_j}|^p \right)^{1/p} \\ &\quad \times \left(1 + \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{\beta^j} \sum_{0 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_j \leq n} |b_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_j}|^q \right)^{1/q}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $1+t \leq e^t$ and $\tanh t \leq t$ when $t \geq 0$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} E \prod_{k=0}^m (1 + \delta_k X_k) &\leq \prod_{k=0}^m \left(1 + \beta^{p/q} |\delta_k|^p \right)^{1/p} \cdot \left(1 + \sum_{j=1}^m B_j / \beta^j \right)^{1/q} \\ &\leq C \exp\left(\frac{1}{p} \beta^{p/q} \sum_{k=0}^m |\delta_k|^p\right) \leq C \exp\left(\frac{1}{p} \beta^{p/q} u^p t_m\right) \end{aligned}$$

where $C = \left(1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} B_j / \beta^j \right)^{1/q} < \infty$.

Collecting inequalities we arrive at the desired result, namely

$$Ee^{uS_m} \leq C \exp\left(\frac{1}{p} \beta^{p/q} u^p t_m\right) \exp(u^p M^p t_m) = Ce^{cu^p t_m}$$

where $c = M^p + \frac{\beta^{p/q}}{p}$.

3. Proof of Theorem 1

Let

$$T_{nm} = \sum_{k=0}^m a_{nk} X_k. \tag{9}$$

By Hölder's inequality and conditions (1) and (2), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} E \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |a_{nk} X_k| \right)^r &\leq E \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| X_k^r \cdot \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| \right)^{r-1} \\ &\leq M \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| \right)^r < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that, for $n = 0, 1, \dots$, $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{nk} X_k$ converges a.s. and so

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} T_{nm} = T_n \text{ a.s.}$$

Hence, by Fatou's Lemma and Lemma 1,

$$\begin{aligned} ET_n^r &= E \liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} T_{nm}^r \leq \liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} ET_{nm}^r \\ &\leq \liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} K \left(\sum_{k=0}^m |a_{nk}|^p \right)^{r/p} \leq K \sigma_n^{r/q}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, by condition (4),

$$E \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} T_n^r \leq K \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sigma_n^{r/q} < \infty,$$

as desired.

4. Proof of Theorem 2

Let u, ε be positive numbers. Then, for T_{nm} given by (9), we have, by Lemma 2, that

$$Ee^{uT_{nm}} \leq C \exp(cu^p \sigma_n^{p-1}),$$

where C, c are positive constants and $\sigma_n = \sigma_n(p)$. In view of conditions (1) and (5),

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} T_{nm} = T_n \text{ a.s.},$$

and hence, by Fatou's Lemma,

$$Ee^{uT_n} = E \liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} e^{uT_{nm}} \leq \liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} Ee^{uT_{nm}} \leq C \exp(cu^p \sigma_n^{p-1}).$$

Consequently, by Chebyshev's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} P[|T_n| > \varepsilon] &= P[T_n > \varepsilon] + P[T_n < -\varepsilon] \leq e^{-u\varepsilon} (Ee^{uT_n} + Ee^{-uT_n}) \\ &\leq 2C \exp(cu^p \sigma_n^{p-1} - u\varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

It follows, on taking $u = (\varepsilon / pc \sigma_n^{p-1})^{q/p}$, that

$$P[|T_n| > \varepsilon] \leq 2C \exp\left(\frac{-\varepsilon^q}{q(pc)^{q/p} \sigma_n}\right),$$

and hence, by condition (7), that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P[|T_n| > \varepsilon] < \infty,$$

as desired. Since ε is an arbitrary positive number this implies that $T_n \rightarrow 0$ a.s. by a corollary of the Borel-Cantelli Lemma (see [8; Theorem 2.1.1.]).

5. Variation in strength of condition \textcircled{A}

We shall prove the following.

PROPOSITION 1. Let $v > w > 1$, and let

$$\sup_{n \geq 0} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| = M < \infty.$$

Then $\sigma_n(w) \leq \sigma_n(v)M^\delta$ for $n = 0, 1, \dots$, and $\delta = \frac{1}{w-1} - \frac{1}{v-1}$, hence condition \textcircled{A} holds with $p = w$ whenever it holds with $p = v$.

Proof. Let $\mu = \frac{v-1}{w-1}, \frac{1}{\lambda} + \frac{1}{\mu} = 1$. Then, by Hölder's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_n(w)^{w-1} &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |a_{nk}|^{w-1} |a_{nk}| \leq \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |a_{nk}|^{v-1} |a_{nk}| \right)^{1/\mu} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| \right)^{1/\lambda} \\ &\leq \sigma_n(v)^{w-1} M^{1/\lambda}, \end{aligned}$$

and the desired inequality follows.

6. A weakly multiplicative sequence

Let P be Lebesgue measure in $\Omega = [0, 1]$, let α be any real number and let

$$X_n = \cos(k_n x + \alpha) \text{ for } x \in \Omega, n = 0, 1, \dots$$

We shall prove the following.

PROPOSITION 2. Let $c > 2$ and let $k_{n+1} \geq ck_n > 0$ for $n = 0, 1, \dots$. Then the sequence $\{X_n\}$ satisfies condition (6) for every $q \geq 1$.

Proof. By induction we have that

$$k_{n+1} \geq k_n + k_{n-1} + \dots + k_0 + k_0(c-1)^n \text{ for } n = 0, 1, \dots$$

Let $0 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_n = m$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |b_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n}| &= \left| E \prod_{r=1}^n X_{i_r} \right| = \frac{1}{2^n} \left| E \prod_{r=1}^n \left(\exp(ik_r x + i\alpha) + \exp(-ik_r x - i\alpha) \right) \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{2^n} \left| E \sum_{\varepsilon_1 = \pm 1, \varepsilon_2 = \pm 1, \dots, \varepsilon_n = \pm 1} \exp(ix(\varepsilon_1 k_{i_1} + \varepsilon_2 k_{i_2} + \dots + \varepsilon_n k_{i_n})) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{2^n}{2^n k_m - k_{m-1} - k_{m-2} - \dots - k_0} \leq \frac{2}{k_0(c-1)^m}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$B_{nm} = \sum_{0 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_n = m} |b_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n}| \leq \binom{m}{n-1} \frac{2}{k_0(c-1)^m},$$

and so, for $0 < t < c-2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n(1)t^n &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} t^n \sum_{m=n-1}^{\infty} B_{nm} \leq \frac{2}{k_0} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(c-1)^m} \sum_{n=1}^{m+1} \binom{m}{n-1} t^n \\ &= \frac{2}{k_0} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1+t}{c-1} \right)^m < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\sup B_n(1)^{1/n} < \infty$, and since $B_n(q)^{1/q} \leq B_n(1)$ for $q \geq 1$, it follows that $\sup_{n \geq 1} B_n(q)^{1/n} < \infty$, as desired.

Incidentally, it is evident from the above argument with $c = 2$ that if $k_n/(2\pi)$ is an integer and $k_{n+1} \geq k_n > 0$ for $n = 0, 1, \dots$, then $b_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_n} = 0$ whenever $0 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_n$, that is $\{X_n\}$ is multiplicative.

7. Applications of Theorem 1

(a) The Cesàro matrix C_α ($\alpha > 0$). This is the triangular matrix $\{a_{nk}\}$ given by

$$a_{nk} = \binom{n-k+\alpha-1}{\alpha-1} / \binom{n+\alpha}{\alpha} \text{ for } 0 \leq k \leq n; a_{nk} = 0 \text{ for } k > n.$$

We shall prove the following result.

THEOREM 4. Let $r > q > 1/\alpha$ where r is an even integer and $q \geq 2$, and let $\{X_n\}$ satisfy conditions (2) and (3). Then $X_n \rightarrow 0(C_\alpha)$ a.s.

Proof. It is familiar that, for $\mu > -1$,

$$\binom{n+\mu}{\mu} \sim \frac{n^\mu}{\Gamma(\mu+1)} \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence, for $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_n(p)^{p-1} &= \frac{1}{\binom{n+\alpha}{\alpha}^p} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{k+\alpha-1}{\alpha-1}^p \\ &= O(n^{p(\alpha-1)+1-p\alpha}) = O(n^{1-p}) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,\end{aligned}$$

since $p(\alpha-1) > -1$. Therefore $\sigma_n(p)^{r/q} = O(n^{-r/q})$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and so condition (4) is satisfied.

It follows, by Theorem 1, that

$$T_n = \sum_{k=0}^n a_{nk} X_k \rightarrow 0 \text{ a.s.},$$

that is $X_n \rightarrow 0(C_\alpha)$ a.s.

(b) The Euler matrix E_α ($0 < \alpha < 1$). This is the triangular matrix $\{a_{nk}\}$ given by

$$a_{nk} = \binom{n}{k} \alpha^k (1-\alpha)^{n-k} \text{ for } 0 \leq k \leq n; \quad a_{nk} = 0 \text{ for } k > n.$$

We shall prove the following result.

THEOREM 5. Let $r > 2q \geq 4$ where r is an even integer, and let $\{X_n\}$ satisfy conditions (2) and (3). Then $X_n \rightarrow 0(E_\alpha)$ a.s.

Proof. It is known [9; p. 57] that $n^{1/2} a_{nk} \leq M_\alpha$ for $0 \leq k \leq n$, where M_α is a positive number independent of k and n . Hence, for $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1, n \geq 1$,

$$\sigma_n(p)^{p-1} = \sum_{k=0}^n |a_{nk}|^p \leq M_\alpha n^{-(p-1)/2} \sum_{k=0}^n a_{nk} = M_\alpha n^{-(p-1)/2},$$

and so $\sigma_n(p)^{r/q} = O(n^{-r/2q})$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Condition (4) is thus satisfied and consequently, by Theorem 1, $X_n \rightarrow 0(E_\alpha)$ a.s.

References

1. K. Azuma, "Weighted sums of certain dependant random variables", *Tôhoku Math. J.*, 19 (1967), 357-367.
2. V. F. Gapoškin, "On the convergence of series of weakly multiplicative systems of functions", *Math. USSR-Sb.*, 18 (1972), 361-371.
3. J. D. Hill, "Summability of sequences of 0's and 1's", *Ann. of Math.*, 46 (1945), 556-562.
4. J. D. Hill, "The Borel property of summability methods", *Pacific J. Math.*, 1 (1951), 399-409.
5. J. D. Hill, "Remarks on the Borel property", *Pacific J. Math.*, 4 (1954), 227-242.
6. F. Móricz, "On the convergence properties of weakly multiplicative systems", *Acta Sci. Math.*, 38 (1976), 127-144.

7. F. Móricz, "The law of the iterated logarithm and related results for weakly multiplicative systems", *Anal. Math.*, 2 (1976), 211-229.
8. W. F. Stout, *Almost sure convergence* (Academic Press, New York, 1974).
9. J. V. Uspensky, *Introduction to mathematical probability* (McGraw Hill, New York, 1937).

Department of Mathematics,
The University of Western Ontario,
London,
Ontario,
Canada N6A 5B9.